Bootstrapping $4d \ \mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal theories

Madalena Lemos



IGST 2018 21 August 2018, Copenhagen University

together with

C. Beem, M. Cornagliotto, P. Liendo, W. Peelaers, L. Rastelli, V. Schomerus, B. van Rees

Outline

1 The Superconformal Bootstrap Program

2 (A_1, A_2) Argyres-Douglas Theory

3 Landscape of $4d \mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs

4 Summary & Outlook

Outline

1 The Superconformal Bootstrap Program

2 (A₁, A₂) Argyres-Douglas Theory

3 Landscape of $4d \mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs

4 Summary & Outlook

What is the space of consistent 4d SCFTs?

What is the space of consistent 4d SCFTs?

ightarrow Maximally supersymmetric theories: $\mathcal{N}=$ 4 SYM (?)

What is the space of consistent 4d SCFTs?

ightarrow Maximally supersymmetric theories: $\mathcal{N}=$ 4 SYM (?)

 $\rightarrow~\mathcal{N}=2$ theories: growing list of theories

What is the space of consistent 4d SCFTs?

- $\rightarrow\,$ Maximally supersymmetric theories: $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM (?)
- $ightarrow \mathcal{N}=3$ theories [García-Etxebarria Regalado]
- $\rightarrow~\mathcal{N}=2$ theories: growing list of theories

What is the space of consistent 4d SCFTs?

- ightarrow Maximally supersymmetric theories: $\mathcal{N}=$ 4 SYM (?)
- $ightarrow \mathcal{N}=$ 3 theories [García-Etxebarria Regalado]
- $\rightarrow \mathcal{N}=2$ theories: growing list of theories many lacking a Lagrangian description, isolated SCFTs

What is the space of consistent 4d SCFTs?

- ightarrow Maximally supersymmetric theories: $\mathcal{N}=$ 4 SYM (?)
- $ightarrow \mathcal{N}=3$ theories [García-Etxebarria Regalado]
- $\rightarrow \mathcal{N} = 2$ theories: growing list of theories many lacking a Lagrangian description, isolated SCFTs

Can we bootstrap specific theories?

What is the space of consistent 4d SCFTs?

- \rightarrow Maximally supersymmetric theories: $\mathcal{N}=$ 4 SYM (?)
- $ightarrow \mathcal{N}=3$ theories [García-Etxebarria Regalado]
- $\rightarrow \mathcal{N} = 2$ theories: growing list of theories many lacking a Lagrangian description, isolated SCFTs

Can we bootstrap specific theories?

 \rightarrow "Simplest" $\mathcal{N}=2$ Argyres-Douglas theory?

Conformal field theory defined by

Set of local operators and their correlation functions

Conformal field theory defined by

Set of local operators and their correlation functions

CFT data $\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell,\dots}(x)\}$ and $\langle\mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\dots\mathcal{O}_n(x_n)\rangle$

Conformal field theory defined by

Set of local operators and their correlation functions

CFT data $\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell,\dots}(x)\}$ and $\langle \mathcal{O}_1(x_1) \dots \mathcal{O}_n(x_n) \rangle$ **Operator Product Expansion** $\mathcal{O}_1(x)\mathcal{O}_2(0) = \sum_k \lambda_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_k} \qquad \mathcal{O}_k(0)$

Conformal field theory defined by

Set of local operators and their correlation functions

CFT data $\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell,\dots}(x)\}$ and $\langle \mathcal{O}_1(x_1) \dots \mathcal{O}_n(x_n) \rangle$ **Operator Product Expansion**

 $\mathcal{O}_1(x)\mathcal{O}_2(0) = \sum_{k \text{prim.}} \lambda_{\mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_k} c(x, \partial_x) \mathcal{O}_k(0)$

Conformal field theory defined by

Set of local operators and their correlation functions

CFT data $\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell,\dots}(x)\}$ and $\langle\mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\dots\mathcal{O}_n(x_n)\rangle$ **Operator Product Expansion**

$$\mathcal{O}_1(x)\mathcal{O}_2(0) = \sum_{k \text{prim.}} \lambda_{\mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_k} c(x, \partial_x) \mathcal{O}_k(0)$$

 $\rightarrow\,$ Finite radius of convergence

Conformal field theory defined by

Set of local operators and their correlation functions

CFT data $\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell,\dots}(x)\}$ and $\langle\mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\dots\mathcal{O}_n(x_n)\rangle$

Operator Product Expansion $\mathcal{O}_1(x)\mathcal{O}_2(0) = \sum_{k \text{prim.}} \lambda_{\mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_k} c(x, \partial_x) \mathcal{O}_k(0)$

- $\rightarrow\,$ Finite radius of convergence
- $\rightarrow n-{\rm point}$ function by recursive use of the OPE until $\langle \mathbb{1} \rangle = 1$

Conformal field theory defined by

Set of local operators and their correlation functions

CFT data $\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell,\dots}(x)\}$ and $\{\lambda_{\mathcal{O}_i\mathcal{O}_j\mathcal{O}_k}\}$

Operator Product Expansion $\mathcal{O}_1(x)\mathcal{O}_2(0) = \sum_{k \text{ arrim}} \lambda_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_k} c(x, \partial_x)\mathcal{O}_k(0)$

$$\rightarrow$$
 Finite radius of convergence

 $\rightarrow n-{\rm point}$ function by recursive use of the OPE until $\langle \mathbb{1} \rangle = 1$

Conformal field theory defined by

Set of local operators and their correlation functions

CFT data $\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell,\dots}(x)\}$ and $\{\lambda_{\mathcal{O}_i\mathcal{O}_j\mathcal{O}_k}\}$

Operator Product Expansion

$$\mathcal{O}_1(x)\mathcal{O}_2(0) = \sum_{k ext{prim.}} \lambda_{\mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_k} c(x, \partial_x) \mathcal{O}_k(0)$$

- $\rightarrow\,$ Finite radius of convergence
- $\rightarrow n-{\rm point}$ function by recursive use of the OPE until $\langle \mathbb{1} \rangle = 1$

CFT data strongly constrained

- Unitarity
- ► Associativity of the operator product algebra (O₁O₂)O₃ = O₁(O₂O₃)

Crossing Symmetry $\langle (\mathcal{O}_1(x_1) \ \mathcal{O}_2(x_2))\mathcal{O}_3(x_3) \ \mathcal{O}_4(x_4) \rangle =$







where
$$\Delta_{\mathcal{O}_i} = \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$$
, $u = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2} = z\bar{z}$, $v = \frac{x_{23}^2 x_{14}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2} = (1-z)(1-\bar{z})$



where $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}_i} = \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$, $u = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2} = z\bar{z}$, $v = \frac{x_{23}^2 x_{14}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2} = (1-z)(1-\bar{z})$

The crossing equation $\mathcal{O}_4(\infty)$



The crossing equation $\mathcal{O}_4(\infty)$













Numerical bootstrap [Rattazzi Rychkov Tonni Vichi]



- Numerical bootstrap [Rattazzi Rychkov Tonni Vichi]
- Lightcone bootstrap [see Alday's talk]

[Alday Maldacena, Fitzpatrick Kaplan Poland Simmons-Duffin, Komargodski Zhiboedov]



- Numerical bootstrap [Rattazzi Rychkov Tonni Vichi]
- Lightcone bootstrap [see Alday's talk]

[Alday Maldacena, Fitzpatrick Kaplan Poland Simmons-Duffin, Komargodski Zhiboedov]



Numerical bootstrap [Rattazzi Rychkov Tonni Vichi]

Lightcone bootstrap [see Alday's talk]
[Alday Maldacena, Fitzpatrick Kaplan Poland Simmons-Duffin, Komargodski Zhiboedov]



 \rightarrow reproduced by large spin in *s*-channel

Our tools

Numerical bootstrap [Rattazzi Rychkov Tonni Vichi]

Lightcone bootstrap [see Alday's talk]
[Alday Maldacena, Fitzpatrick Kaplan Poland Simmons-Duffin, Komargodski Zhiboedov]

 $\hookrightarrow \ \ Lorentzian \ \ inversion \ \ formula \ \ of \ \ [Caron-Huot, see his talk]$

Numerical bootstrap review

Numerical bootstrap review

► Solving crossing equations ⇒ constraining space of solutions

 $\,\hookrightarrow\,$ How large can an OPE coefficient be?

Numerical bootstrap review

► Solving crossing equations ⇒ constraining space of solutions

 $\,\hookrightarrow\,$ How large can an OPE coefficient be?

Sum rule: identical scalars ${\cal O}$

$$igg(rac{(1-z)(1-ar{z})}{zar{z}} igg)^{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} \sum_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}} \lambda^2_{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}} g_{\Delta,\ell}(z,ar{z}) = \ \sum_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}} \lambda^2_{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}} g_{\Delta,\ell}(1-z,1-ar{z})$$
► Solving crossing equations ⇒ constraining space of solutions

 $\,\hookrightarrow\,$ How large can an OPE coefficient be?

Sum rule: identical scalars ${\cal O}$

$$egin{aligned} & \left(rac{(1-z)(1-ar{z})}{zar{z}}
ight)^{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} \sum_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}} \lambda^2_{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}} g_{\Delta,\ell}(z,ar{z}) = \ & \sum_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}} \lambda^2_{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}} g_{\Delta,\ell}(1-z,1-ar{z}) \end{aligned}$$

 $\rightarrow~$ Identity operator $\lambda_{\mathcal{OOI}}=1$

$$u=z\overline{z},\ v=(1-z)(1-\overline{z})$$

► Solving crossing equations ⇒ constraining space of solutions

 $\,\hookrightarrow\,$ How large can an OPE coefficient be?

Sum rule: identical scalars \mathcal{O}

$$egin{aligned} & \left(rac{(1-z)(1-ar{z})}{zar{z}}
ight)^{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}\sum_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}}\lambda^2_{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}}g_{\Delta,\ell}(z,ar{z}) = \ & \sum_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}}\lambda^2_{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}}g_{\Delta,\ell}(1-z,1-ar{z}) \end{aligned}$$

 $\rightarrow~$ Identity operator $\lambda_{\mathcal{OOI}}=1$

$$u = z\bar{z}, \ v = (1 - z)(1 - \bar{z})$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell} \in \mathcal{O}\mathcal{O} \\ \mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell} \neq \mathbb{1}}} \lambda_{\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}}^{2} \underbrace{\frac{u^{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} g_{\Delta,\ell}(v, u) - v^{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} g_{\Delta,\ell}(u, v)}{v^{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} - u^{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}}}_{F_{\Delta,\ell}(u,v)} = 1$$

Sum rule

 $\sum_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}\in\mathcal{OO},\;\mathcal{O}_{\Delta\ell}\neq\mathbb{1}}\lambda^2_{\mathcal{OOO}_{\Delta,\ell}} \qquad F_{\Delta,\ell}= -1$

Sum rule

$$\lambda^2_{\mathcal{OOO}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}}$$
 $F_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}} + \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell} \in \mathcal{OO}, \ \mathcal{O}_{\Delta\ell} \neq \mathbb{1} \\ \mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell} \neq \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}}} \lambda^2_{\mathcal{OOO}_{\Delta,\ell}}$ $F_{\Delta,\ell} = 1$

Sum rule

$$\lambda^{2}_{\mathcal{OOO}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}}\psi\cdot F_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}} + \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}\in\mathcal{OO},\ \mathcal{O}_{\Delta\ell}\neq\mathbb{1}\\\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}\neq\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}}}\lambda^{2}_{\mathcal{OOO}_{\Delta,\ell}}\ \psi\cdot F_{\Delta,\ell} = \psi\cdot 1$$

Sum rule

$$\lambda^{2}_{\mathcal{OOO}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}}\psi\cdot F_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}} + \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}\in\mathcal{OO},\ \mathcal{O}_{\Delta\ell}\neq\mathbb{1}\\\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}\neq\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}}}\lambda^{2}_{\mathcal{OOO}_{\Delta,\ell}}\ \psi\cdot F_{\Delta,\ell} = \psi\cdot 1$$

$$\hookrightarrow \psi \cdot F_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}(z,\bar{z}) = 1$$

Sum rule

$$\lambda^{2}_{\mathcal{OOO}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}}\psi\cdot \textit{F}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}} + \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}\in\mathcal{OO},\ \mathcal{O}_{\Delta\ell}\neq\mathbb{1}\\\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}\neq\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}}}\lambda^{2}_{\mathcal{OOO}_{\Delta,\ell}}\ \psi\cdot \textit{F}_{\Delta,\ell} = \psi\cdot 1$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \hookrightarrow \ \psi \cdot F_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}(z,\bar{z}) = 1 \\ \hookrightarrow \ \psi \cdot F_{\Delta,\ell}(z,\bar{z}) \geq 0 \ \text{for all} \ \{\Delta,\ell\} \ \text{in spectrum} \end{array}$$

Sum rule

$$\lambda^{2}_{\mathcal{OOO}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}}\psi\cdot \textit{F}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}} + \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}\in\mathcal{OO},\ \mathcal{O}_{\Delta\ell}\neq\mathbb{1}\\\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}\neq\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}}}\lambda^{2}_{\mathcal{OOO}_{\Delta,\ell}}\ \psi\cdot \textit{F}_{\Delta,\ell} = \psi\cdot 1$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \hookrightarrow \ \psi \cdot F_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}(z,\bar{z}) = 1 \\ \hookrightarrow \ \psi \cdot F_{\Delta,\ell}(z,\bar{z}) \ge 0 \text{ for all } \{\Delta,\ell\} \text{ in spectrum} \\ \hookrightarrow \ \text{Minimize } \ \psi \cdot 1 \end{array}$$

Sum rule

$$\lambda^{2}_{\mathcal{OOO}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}}\psi\cdot F_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}} + \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}\in\mathcal{OO},\ \mathcal{O}_{\Delta\ell}\neq\mathbb{1}\\\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}\neq\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}}}\lambda^{2}_{\mathcal{OOO}_{\Delta,\ell}}\ \psi\cdot F_{\Delta,\ell} = \psi\cdot 1$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \hookrightarrow \ \psi \cdot F_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}(z,\bar{z}) = 1 \\ \hookrightarrow \ \psi \cdot F_{\Delta,\ell}(z,\bar{z}) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \{\Delta,\ell\} \text{ in spectrum} \\ \hookrightarrow \ \text{Minimize } \ \psi \cdot 1 \end{array}$$

$$\blacktriangleright \ \lambda^2_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}_{\Delta\star,\ell\star}}\leqslant\psi\cdot\mathbf{1}$$

Sum rule

$$\lambda^{2}_{\mathcal{OOO}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}}\psi\cdot F_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}} + \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}\in\mathcal{OO},\ \mathcal{O}_{\Delta\ell}\neq\mathbb{1}\\\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}\neq\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}}}\lambda^{2}_{\mathcal{OOO}_{\Delta,\ell}}\ \psi\cdot F_{\Delta,\ell} = \psi\cdot 1$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \hookrightarrow \ \psi \cdot F_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}(z,\bar{z}) = 1 \\ \hookrightarrow \ \psi \cdot F_{\Delta,\ell}(z,\bar{z}) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \{\Delta,\ell\} \text{ in spectrum} \\ \hookrightarrow \ \text{Minimize } \ \psi \cdot 1 \end{array}$$

$$\blacktriangleright \ \lambda^2_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}} \leqslant \psi \cdot 1$$

• Truncate
$$\psi = \sum_{m,n}^{m,n \leqslant \Lambda} a_{mn} \partial_z^m \partial_{\bar{z}}^n |_{z=\bar{z}=\frac{1}{2}}$$

Sum rule

$$\lambda^{2}_{\mathcal{OOO}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}}\psi\cdot F_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}} + \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}\in\mathcal{OO},\ \mathcal{O}_{\Delta\ell}\neq\mathbb{1}\\\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}\neq\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}}}\lambda^{2}_{\mathcal{OOO}_{\Delta,\ell}}\ \psi\cdot F_{\Delta,\ell} = \psi\cdot 1$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \hookrightarrow \ \psi \cdot F_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}(z,\bar{z}) = 1 \\ \hookrightarrow \ \psi \cdot F_{\Delta,\ell}(z,\bar{z}) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \{\Delta,\ell\} \text{ in spectrum} \\ \hookrightarrow \ \text{Minimize } \ \psi \cdot 1 \end{array}$$

$$\blacktriangleright \ \lambda^2_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}} \leqslant \psi \cdot 1$$

► Truncate
$$\psi = \sum_{m,n}^{m,n \leqslant \Lambda} a_{mn} \partial_z^m \partial_{\bar{z}}^n |_{z=\bar{z}=\frac{1}{2}}$$

 \hookrightarrow Increase $\Lambda \Rightarrow$ bounds get stronger

Sum rule

$$\lambda^{2}_{\mathcal{OOO}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}}\psi\cdot F_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}} + \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}\in\mathcal{OO},\ \mathcal{O}_{\Delta\ell}\neq\mathbb{1}\\\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}\neq\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}}}\lambda^{2}_{\mathcal{OOO}_{\Delta,\ell}}\ \psi\cdot F_{\Delta,\ell} = \psi\cdot 1$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \hookrightarrow \ \psi \cdot F_{\Delta_{\star},\ell_{\star}}(z,\bar{z}) = 1 \\ \hookrightarrow \ \psi \cdot F_{\Delta,\ell}(z,\bar{z}) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \{\Delta,\ell\} \text{ in spectrum} \\ \hookrightarrow \ \text{Minimize } \ \psi \cdot 1 \end{array}$$

$$\blacktriangleright \quad \lambda^2_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_\star,\ell_\star}} \leqslant \psi \cdot 1$$

► Truncate
$$\psi = \sum_{m,n}^{m,n \leqslant \Lambda} a_{mn} \partial_z^m \partial_{\bar{z}}^n |_{z=\bar{z}=\frac{1}{2}}$$

 \hookrightarrow Increase $\Lambda \Rightarrow$ bounds get stronger
 \hookrightarrow Always true bounds

3d Ising Model

[Poland Simmons-Duffin Kos, Simmons-Duffin, Poland Simmons-Duffin Kos Vichi]



One \mathbb{Z}_2 -even, one \mathbb{Z}_2 -odd relevant scalar operator

3d Ising Model

[Poland Simmons-Duffin Kos, Simmons-Duffin, Poland Simmons-Duffin Kos Vichi]



One \mathbb{Z}_2 -even, one \mathbb{Z}_2 -odd relevant scalar operator

Conformal field theory defined by $\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell,\dots}(x)\}$ and $\{\lambda_{\mathcal{O}_i\mathcal{O}_j\mathcal{O}_k}\}$

Conformal field theory defined by $\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell,\dots}(x)\}$ and $\{\lambda_{\mathcal{O}_i\mathcal{O}_j\mathcal{O}_k}\}$

The Superconformal Bootstrap

► Conformal families ~→ Superconformal families

Conformal field theory defined by $\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell,\dots}(x)\}$ and $\{\lambda_{\mathcal{O}_i\mathcal{O}_j\mathcal{O}_k}\}$

The Superconformal Bootstrap

- ► Conformal families ~→ Superconformal families
- Finite re-organization of an infinite amount of data

Conformal field theory defined by $\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell,\dots}(x)\}$ and $\{\lambda_{\mathcal{O}_i\mathcal{O}_j\mathcal{O}_k}\}$

The Superconformal Bootstrap

- ► Conformal families ~→ Superconformal families
- Finite re-organization of an infinite amount of data

Q: Is there a solvable truncation of the crossing equations? [see Rastelli's talk]

Conformal field theory defined by $\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell,\dots}(x)\}$ and $\{\lambda_{\mathcal{O}_i\mathcal{O}_j\mathcal{O}_k}\}$

The Superconformal Bootstrap

- ► Conformal families ~→ Superconformal families
- Finite re-organization of an infinite amount of data
- Q: Is there a solvable truncation of the crossing equations? [see Rastelli's talk]
 - \rightarrow Yes, for 4*d* $N \ge 2$ [Beem ML Liendo Peelaers Rastelli van Rees] (and also 6*d* N = (2,0) and 2*d* N = (0,4) [Beem Rastelli van Rees])

Conformal field theory defined by $\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell,\dots}(x)\}$ and $\{\lambda_{\mathcal{O}_i\mathcal{O}_j\mathcal{O}_k}\}$

The Superconformal Bootstrap

- ► Conformal families ~→ Superconformal families
- Finite re-organization of an infinite amount of data
- Q: Is there a solvable truncation of the crossing equations? [see Rastelli's talk]
 - \rightarrow Yes, for 4 $d \ N \ge 2$ [Beem ML Liendo Peelaers Rastelli van Rees] (and also 6 $d \ N = (2,0)$ and 2 $d \ N = (0,4)$ [Beem Rastelli van Rees])
 - $\rightarrow\,$ Subsector $\mathcal{N}\geqslant 2$ SCFTs captured by 2d chiral algebra

 $4d \,\, \mathcal{N} = 2 \,\, \mathrm{SCFTs}
ightarrow 2d \,\, \mathrm{chiral \,\, algebra}$ [see Rastelli's talk]

• $SU(2)_R$ current $\mapsto 2d$ stress tensor T(z)

 $4d \,\, \mathcal{N} = 2 \,\, \mathrm{SCFTs}
ightarrow 2d \,\, \mathrm{chiral \,\, algebra}$ [see Rastelli's talk]

• $SU(2)_R$ current $\mapsto 2d$ stress tensor T(z)

 \in Super-stress tensor multiplet

 $4d \,\, \mathcal{N} \geqslant 2 \,\, extsf{SCFTs} \,
ightarrow 2d \,\, extsf{chiral algebra}$ [see Rastelli's talk]

Super-stress tensor multiplet_{4d} \mapsto (Super-)stress tensor_{2d}

- Super-stress tensor $multiplet_{4d} \mapsto (Super-)stress tensor_{2d}$
- A trivial statement in 2d
 - \rightarrow (super-)stress tensor four-point function fixed in terms of $_{C_{2d}}$

- Super-stress tensor $multiplet_{4d} \mapsto (Super-)stress tensor_{2d}$
- A trivial statement in 2d
 - \rightarrow (super-)stress tensor four-point function fixed in terms of c_{2d} ($\langle\, TT\rangle\propto c)$

- Super-stress tensor $multiplet_{4d} \mapsto (Super-)stress tensor_{2d}$
- A trivial statement in 2d
 - \rightarrow (super-)stress tensor four-point function fixed in terms of $c_{2d}=-12c_{4d}$ ($\langle\,TT\rangle\propto c\,)$

- Super-stress tensor $multiplet_{4d} \mapsto (Super-)stress tensor_{2d}$
- A trivial statement in 2d
 - \rightarrow (super-)stress tensor four-point function fixed in terms of $c_{2d}=-12c_{4d}$ ($\langle\,TT\rangle\propto c)$
 - $\rightarrow 2d$ Superblock decomposition:



- Super-stress tensor multiplet_{4d} \mapsto (Super-)stress tensor_{2d}
- A trivial statement in 2d
 - \rightarrow (super-)stress tensor four-point function fixed in terms of $c_{2d}=-12c_{4d}$ ($\langle\, TT\rangle\propto c)$
 - $\rightarrow 2d$ Superblock decomposition:



 $\rightarrow \lambda_{\mathcal{O}_{2d}}^2$

 $4d \ \mathcal{N} \geqslant 2 \ \mathbf{SCFTs} \rightarrow 2d \ \mathbf{chiral \ algebra}$ [see Rastelli's talk]

- Super-stress tensor $multiplet_{4d} \mapsto (Super-)stress tensor_{2d}$
- A trivial statement in 2d
 - \rightarrow (super-)stress tensor four-point function fixed in terms of $c_{2d}=-12c_{4d}$ ($\langle\, TT\rangle\propto c)$
 - $\rightarrow 2d$ Superblock decomposition:



$$\rightarrow \lambda^2_{\mathcal{O}_{2d}} \rightsquigarrow \lambda^2_{\mathcal{O}_{4d}}$$

assumptions: interacting theory, unique stress tensor

 $4d \ \mathcal{N} \geqslant 2 \ \mathbf{SCFTs} \rightarrow 2d \ \mathbf{chiral \ algebra}$ [see Rastelli's talk]

- Super-stress tensor multiplet_{4d} → (Super-)stress tensor_{2d}
- A trivial statement in 2d
 - \rightarrow (super-)stress tensor four-point function fixed in terms of $c_{2d}=-12c_{4d}$ ($\langle\, TT\rangle\propto c)$
 - $\rightarrow 2d$ Superblock decomposition:



assumptions: interacting theory, unique stress tensor

- ▶ Super-stress tensor multiplet_{4d} → (Super-)stress tensor_{2d}
- A trivial statement in 2d
 - \rightarrow (super-)stress tensor four-point function fixed in terms of $c_{2d}=-12c_{4d}$ ($\langle\, TT\rangle\propto c)$
 - $\rightarrow 2d$ Superblock decomposition:



From 2d (super-)stress tensor four-point function (assumptions: interacting theory, unique stress tensor)

 $ightarrow 4d \; \mathcal{N}=4 \; {\sf SCFTs} \; c=a \geqslant rac{3}{4} \;$ [Beem Rastelli van Rees]



From 2d (super-)stress tensor four-point function (assumptions: interacting theory, unique stress tensor)

 $ightarrow 4d \; \mathcal{N}=4 \; \mathsf{SCFTs} \; c=a \geqslant rac{3}{4} \;$ [Beem Rastelli van Rees]

ightarrow 4d \mathcal{N} \geqslant 3 SCFTs $c=a>rac{13}{24}$ [Cornagliotto ML Schomerus]

from interpreting \mathcal{O}_{2d} as a 4d operator



From 2d (super-)stress tensor four-point function (assumptions: interacting theory, unique stress tensor)

→ 4d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCFTs $c = a \ge \frac{3}{4}$ [Beem Rastelli van Rees] → 4d $\mathcal{N} \ge 3$ SCFTs $c = a > \frac{13}{24}$ [Cornagliotto ML Schomerus] from interpreting \mathcal{O}_{2d} as a 4d operator



From 2d (super-)stress tensor four-point function (assumptions: interacting theory, unique stress tensor)

 $\rightarrow 4d \ \mathcal{N} = 4 \ \text{SCFTs} \ c = a \ge \frac{3}{4} \quad \text{[Beem Rastelli van Rees]}$ $\rightarrow 4d \ \mathcal{N} \ge 3 \ \text{SCFTs} \ c = a > \frac{13}{24} \quad \text{[Cornagliotto ML Schomerus]}$ $\text{from interpreting } \mathcal{O}_{2d} \text{ as a } 4d \text{ operator}$ $\rightarrow 4d \ \mathcal{N} \ge 2 \ \text{SCFTs} \ c \ge \frac{11}{30} \quad \text{[Liendo Ramirez Seo]}$


Landscape of $4d \mathcal{N} \ge 2$ SCFTs

From 2d (super-)stress tensor four-point function (assumptions: interacting theory, unique stress tensor)

 $\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \ 4d \ \mathcal{N} = 4 \ \text{SCFTs} \ c = a \geqslant \frac{3}{4} \quad [\text{Beem Rastelli van Rees}] \\ \rightarrow \ 4d \ \mathcal{N} \geqslant 3 \ \text{SCFTs} \ c = a > \frac{13}{24} \quad [\text{Cornagliotto ML Schomerus}] \\ \text{from interpreting } \mathcal{O}_{2d} \ \text{as a } 4d \ \text{operator} \\ \rightarrow \ 4d \ \mathcal{N} \geqslant 2 \ \text{SCFTs} \ c \geqslant \frac{11}{30} \quad [\text{Liendo Ramirez Seo}] \\ \rightarrow \ \text{Saturated by the} \ (A_1, A_2) \ \text{Argyres-Douglas theory} \end{array}$



Outline

1 The Superconformal Bootstrap Program

2 (A_1, A_2) Argyres-Douglas Theory

3 Landscape of $4d \mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs

4 Summary & Outlook

 \rightarrow Originally obtained on the Coulomb branch of a 4*d* $\mathcal{N} = 2$ susy gauge theory with gauge group *SU*(3)

- \rightarrow Originally obtained on the Coulomb branch of a 4*d* $\mathcal{N} = 2$ susy gauge theory with gauge group *SU*(3)
- $\rightarrow~\mathcal{N}=1$ Lagrangian description

- ightarrow Originally obtained on the Coulomb branch of a 4*d* $\mathcal{N}=2$ susy gauge theory with gauge group *SU*(3)
- $\rightarrow~\mathcal{N}=1$ Lagrangian description
- $\rightarrow\,$ Strongly coupled isolated SCFT no marginal deformations

- ightarrow Originally obtained on the Coulomb branch of a 4*d* $\mathcal{N}=2$ susy gauge theory with gauge group *SU*(3)
- $ightarrow \, \mathcal{N} = 1$ Lagrangian description
- $\rightarrow\,$ Strongly coupled isolated SCFT no marginal deformations
- \rightarrow Just another SCFT

- ightarrow Originally obtained on the Coulomb branch of a 4*d* $\mathcal{N}=2$ susy gauge theory with gauge group *SU*(3)
- $\rightarrow~\mathcal{N}=1$ Lagrangian description
- $\rightarrow\,$ Strongly coupled isolated SCFT no marginal deformations
- \rightarrow Just another SCFT
- \rightarrow Chiral algebra[(A_1, A_2)] = Lee-Yang minimal model [Beem Rastelli]

- \rightarrow Originally obtained on the Coulomb branch of a 4*d* $\mathcal{N}=2$ susy gauge theory with gauge group *SU*(3)
- $ightarrow \, \mathcal{N} = 1$ Lagrangian description
- $\rightarrow\,$ Strongly coupled isolated SCFT no marginal deformations
- \rightarrow Just another SCFT
- \rightarrow Chiral algebra[(A_1, A_2)] = Lee-Yang minimal model [Beem Rastelli]
- Our tools beyond protected subsector
 - Numerical bootstrap
 [Rattazzi Rychkov Tonni Vichi]

- ightarrow Originally obtained on the Coulomb branch of a 4*d* $\mathcal{N}=2$ susy gauge theory with gauge group *SU*(3)
- $\rightarrow~\mathcal{N}=1$ Lagrangian description
- $\rightarrow\,$ Strongly coupled isolated SCFT no marginal deformations
- \rightarrow Just another SCFT
- \rightarrow Chiral algebra[(A_1, A_2)] = Lee-Yang minimal model [Beem Rastelli]

Our tools beyond protected subsector

- Numerical bootstrap [Rattazzi Rychkov Tonni Vichi]
- Lightcone bootstrap

[Alday Maldacena, Fitzpatrick Kaplan Poland Simmons-Duffin, Komargodski Zhiboedov]

 \hookrightarrow Lorentzian inversion formula of [Caron-Huot]

How can we approach it?

How can we approach it?

• Known: 4 $d \mathcal{N} = 2$ chiral operator ϕ

$$\Delta_{\phi}=rac{6}{5}$$

How can we approach it?

• Known: 4*d* $\mathcal{N} = 2$ chiral operator ϕ

$$(\mathcal{Q}'_{\alpha}\phi=0)$$

$$\Delta_{\phi}=rac{6}{5}$$

How can we approach it?

• Known: $4d \mathcal{N} = 2$ chiral operator ϕ $(\mathcal{Q}'_{\alpha}\phi = 0)$

$$\Delta_{\phi} = rac{6}{5}$$
 $U(1)_r$ charge $r = \Delta_{\phi}$

How can we approach it?

• Known: $4d \mathcal{N} = 2$ chiral operator ϕ $(\mathcal{Q}'_{\alpha}\phi = 0)$

$$\Delta_{\phi} = rac{6}{5}$$
 $U(1)_r$ charge $r = \Delta_{\phi}$

• Study
$$\langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\, \overline{\phi}(x_3)\, \overline{\phi}(x_4)
angle$$

How can we approach it?

• Known: $4d \mathcal{N} = 2$ chiral operator ϕ $(\mathcal{Q}'_{\alpha}\phi = 0)$

$$\Delta_{\phi} = rac{6}{5}$$
 $U(1)_r$ charge $r = \Delta_{\phi}$

Study
$$\langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\,\overline{\phi}(x_3)\,\overline{\phi}(x_4)\rangle$$

conjugate of ϕ

How can we approach it?

• Known: $4d \mathcal{N} = 2$ chiral operator ϕ $(\mathcal{Q}'_{\alpha}\phi = 0)$

$$\Delta_{\phi} = rac{6}{5}$$
 $U(1)_r$ charge $r = \Delta_{\phi}$

Study
$$\langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\overline{\phi}(x_3)\overline{\phi}(x_4)\rangle$$

conjugate of ϕ

Two OPE channels:

$$\hookrightarrow \phi \phi \sim \phi^2 + \cdots$$

How can we approach it?

• Known: $4d \mathcal{N} = 2$ chiral operator ϕ $(\mathcal{Q}'_{\alpha}\phi = 0)$

$$\Delta_{\phi} = rac{6}{5}$$
 $U(1)_r$ charge $r = \Delta_{\phi}$

Study
$$\langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\,\overline{\phi}(x_3)\,\overline{\phi}(x_4)\rangle$$

conjugate of ϕ

Two OPE channels:

$$\begin{array}{l} \hookrightarrow \ \phi\phi\sim\phi^2+\cdots \\ \hookrightarrow \ \phi\bar{\phi}\sim {\rm Identity}+{\rm Super-stress\ tensor}+\cdots \end{array}$$

How can we approach it?

• Known: $4d \mathcal{N} = 2$ chiral operator ϕ $(\mathcal{Q}'_{\alpha}\phi = 0)$

$$\Delta_{\phi} = rac{6}{5}$$
 $U(1)_r$ charge $r = \Delta_{\phi}$

Study
$$\langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\,\overline{\phi}(x_3)\,\overline{\phi}(x_4)\rangle$$

conjugate of ϕ

Two OPE channels:

$$\hookrightarrow \phi \phi \sim \phi^2 + \cdots$$

- $\hookrightarrow \ \phi \bar{\phi} \sim {
 m Identity} + {
 m Super-stress tensor} + \cdots$
- ► Conformal blocks ~→ superconformal blocks

How can we approach it?

• Known: $4d \mathcal{N} = 2$ chiral operator ϕ $(\mathcal{Q}'_{\alpha}\phi = 0)$

$$\Delta_{\phi} = rac{6}{5}$$
 $U(1)_r$ charge $r = \Delta_{\phi}$

Study
$$\langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\overline{\phi}(x_3)\overline{\phi}(x_4)\rangle$$

conjugate of ϕ

Two OPE channels:

$$\hookrightarrow \phi \phi \sim \phi^2 + \cdots$$

 $\hookrightarrow \ \phi \bar{\phi} \sim \text{Identity} + \text{Super-stress tensor} + \cdots$

► Conformal blocks ~→ superconformal blocks

(only in $\phi ar \phi$ channel) [Fitzpatrick Kaplan Khandker Li Poland Simmons-Duffin]

Does $\langle \phi \phi \overline{\phi} \overline{\phi} \rangle$ know about $c \ge \frac{11}{30}$?







 $\phi\phi\sim\underbrace{\boldsymbol{\lambda_{\phi^2}^2}}_{\text{unknown}} \underbrace{\phi^2}_{\boldsymbol{\Delta}=2\boldsymbol{\Delta_\phi}}+\cdots$



[Cornagliotto ML Liendo]



[Cornagliotto ML Liendo]



[Cornagliotto ML Liendo]

 $\phi\phi\sim\lambda_{\phi^2}^2\underbrace{\phi^2}_{\Delta=2\Delta_\phi}+\lambda_{\mathcal{C}_\ell}^2\underbrace{\mathcal{C}_{\ell>0}}_{\Delta=2\Delta_\phi+\ell}+\cdots$





Inverting the $\phi\phi$ OPE

ightarrow Same as bosonic inversion, valid for $\ell > 1$



- $\rightarrow\,$ Same as bosonic inversion, valid for $\ell>1$
- ightarrow Feed in low twist in t/u-channel: $\bar{\phi}\phi$ OPE



- $\rightarrow\,$ Same as bosonic inversion, valid for $\ell>1$
- \rightarrow Feed in low twist in t/u-channel: $\bar{\phi}\phi$ OPE
 - \hookrightarrow Only input: $ar{\phi}\phi\sim 1+$ Stress tensor multiplet



- ightarrow Same as bosonic inversion, valid for $\ell>1$
- \rightarrow Feed in low twist in t/u-channel: $\bar{\phi}\phi$ OPE
 - $\,\, \hookrightarrow \,$ Only input: $ar{\phi}\phi\sim 1+$ Stress tensor multiplet
- ightarrow Get *s*-channel ($\phi\phi$) large spin



- ightarrow Same as bosonic inversion, valid for $\ell>1$
- \rightarrow Feed in low twist in t/u-channel: $\bar{\phi}\phi$ OPE
- ightarrow Get *s*-channel ($\phi\phi$) large spin



- ightarrow Same as bosonic inversion, valid for $\ell>1$
- \rightarrow Feed in low twist in t/u-channel: $\bar{\phi}\phi$ OPE
- ightarrow Get *s*-channel ($\phi\phi$) large spin

Outline

1 The Superconformal Bootstrap Program

2 (A₁, A₂) Argyres-Douglas Theory

3 Landscape of $4d \mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs

4 Summary & Outlook
Landscape of $4d \mathcal{N} \ge 2$ SCFTs

Projection of space of SCFTs to an axis

 $\rightarrow 4d \ \mathcal{N} = 4 \ \text{SCFTs} \ c = a \ge \frac{3}{4} \quad \text{[Beem Rastelli van Rees]}$ $\rightarrow 4d \ \mathcal{N} \ge 3 \ \text{SCFTs} \ c = a > \frac{13}{24} \quad \text{[Cornagliotto ML Schomerus]}$ $\rightarrow 4d \ \mathcal{N} \ge 2 \ \text{SCFTs} \ c \ge \frac{11}{20} \quad \text{[Liendo Ramirez Seo]}$



Landscape of $4d \mathcal{N} \ge 2$ SCFTs

Projection of space of SCFTs to an axis

 $\rightarrow 4d \ \mathcal{N} = 4 \ \text{SCFTs} \ c = a \ge \frac{3}{4} \quad \text{[Beem Rastelli van Rees]}$ $\rightarrow 4d \ \mathcal{N} \ge 3 \ \text{SCFTs} \ c = a > \frac{13}{24} \quad \text{[Cornagliotto ML Schomerus]}$ $\rightarrow 4d \ \mathcal{N} \ge 2 \ \text{SCFTs} \ c \ge \frac{11}{20} \quad \text{[Liendo Ramirez Seo]}$



Finer view of the space of theories:

 \Rightarrow Organize theories by flavor symmetry

Landscape of $4d \mathcal{N} \ge 2$ SCFTs

Projection of space of SCFTs to an axis

 $\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \ 4d \ \mathcal{N} = 4 \ \text{SCFTs} \ c = a \geqslant \frac{3}{4} \quad \text{[Beem Rastelli van Rees]} \\ \rightarrow \ 4d \ \mathcal{N} \geqslant 3 \ \text{SCFTs} \ c = a > \frac{13}{24} \quad \text{[Cornagliotto ML Schomerus]} \\ \rightarrow \ 4d \ \mathcal{N} \geqslant 2 \ \text{SCFTs} \ c \geqslant \frac{11}{30} \quad \text{[Liendo Ramirez Seo]} \end{array}$



Finer view of the space of theories:

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Organize theories by flavor symmetry $\langle TT
angle \propto c$, $\langle JJ
angle \propto k$

4d Flavor current supermultiplet



▶ 4*d* Flavor current supermultiplet $\mapsto \langle JJJJ \rangle_{2d}$



▶ 4*d* Flavor current supermultiplet $\mapsto \langle JJJJ \rangle_{2d} \rightsquigarrow \lambda_{4d}^2 \ge 0$



[Beem ML Liendo Peelaers Rastelli van Rees]

▶ 4*d* Flavor current supermultiplet $\mapsto \langle JJJJ \rangle_{2d} \rightsquigarrow \sum \lambda_{4d}^2 \ge 0$



[Beem ML Liendo Peelaers Rastelli van Rees]

▶ 4*d* Flavor current supermultiplet $\mapsto \langle JJJJ \rangle_{2d} \rightsquigarrow \sum \lambda_{4d}^2 \ge 0$



[Beem ML Liendo Peelaers Rastelli van Rees, ML Liendo]

▶ 4*d* Flavor current supermultiplet $\mapsto \langle JJJJ \rangle_{2d} \rightsquigarrow \sum \lambda_{4d}^2 \ge 0$



[Beem ML Liendo Peelaers Rastelli van Rees, ML Liendo]

▶ 4*d* Flavor current supermultiplet $\mapsto \langle JJJJ \rangle_{2d} \rightsquigarrow \sum \lambda_{4d}^2 \ge 0$



[Beem ML Liendo Peelaers Rastelli van Rees, ML Liendo]

Outline

- **1** The Superconformal Bootstrap Program
- **2** (A₁, A₂) Argyres-Douglas Theory
- **3** Landscape of $4d \mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs
- **4** Summary & Outlook

Constrained the "simplest" Argyres-Douglas theory

Constrained the "simplest" Argyres-Douglas theory Zoom in to other isolated $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs? (at corners of su(2), su(3), e_6 , e_7 , e_8 exclusion curves)

Constrained the "simplest" Argyres-Douglas theory

Zoom in to other isolated $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs?

(at corners of su(2), su(3), e_6 , e_7 , e_8 exclusion curves)

 $\rightarrow\,$ Mixed system: stress tensor & flavor current multiplets

Constrained the "simplest" Argyres-Douglas theory

Zoom in to other isolated $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs?

(at corners of su(2), su(3), e_6 , e_7 , e_8 exclusion curves)

- $\rightarrow\,$ Mixed system: stress tensor & flavor current multiplets
- $\rightarrow\,$ Stronger numerical constraints on the space of theories?

Constrained the "simplest" Argyres-Douglas theory

Zoom in to other isolated $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs?

(at corners of su(2), su(3), e_6 , e_7 , e_8 exclusion curves)

- $\rightarrow\,$ Mixed system: stress tensor & flavor current multiplets
- $\rightarrow\,$ Stronger numerical constraints on the space of theories?

Superblocks for Super-stress tensor multiplets

Constrained the "simplest" Argyres-Douglas theory

Zoom in to other isolated $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs?

(at corners of su(2), su(3), e_6 , e_7 , e_8 exclusion curves)

- $\rightarrow\,$ Mixed system: stress tensor & flavor current multiplets
- $\rightarrow\,$ Stronger numerical constraints on the space of theories?

Superblocks for Super-stress tensor multiplets

 \rightarrow Bounds on (c, k) did not come from superprimary of stress tensor

Constrained the "simplest" Argyres-Douglas theory

Zoom in to other isolated $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs?

(at corners of su(2), su(3), e_6 , e_7 , e_8 exclusion curves)

- $\rightarrow\,$ Mixed system: stress tensor & flavor current multiplets
- $\rightarrow\,$ Stronger numerical constraints on the space of theories?

Superblocks for Super-stress tensor multiplets

 \rightarrow Bounds on (c, k) did not come from superprimary of stress tensor – compute whole superblock?

Constrained the "simplest" Argyres-Douglas theory

Zoom in to other isolated $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs?

(at corners of su(2), su(3), e_6 , e_7 , e_8 exclusion curves)

- $\rightarrow\,$ Mixed system: stress tensor & flavor current multiplets
- $\rightarrow\,$ Stronger numerical constraints on the space of theories?
- Superblocks for Super-stress tensor multiplets
 - \rightarrow Bounds on (c, k) did not come from superprimary of stress tensor compute whole superblock?
 - \rightarrow Two-dimensional long blocks <code>[Cornagliotto ML Schomerus]</code> needed for $c>\frac{13}{24}$ for $\mathcal{N}=3$ SCFTs

Constrained the "simplest" Argyres-Douglas theory

Zoom in to other isolated $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs?

(at corners of su(2), su(3), e_6 , e_7 , e_8 exclusion curves)

- $\rightarrow\,$ Mixed system: stress tensor & flavor current multiplets
- $\rightarrow\,$ Stronger numerical constraints on the space of theories?

Superblocks for Super-stress tensor multiplets

- \rightarrow Bounds on (c, k) did not come from superprimary of stress tensor compute whole superblock?
- \rightarrow Two-dimensional long blocks <code>[Cornagliotto ML Schomerus]</code> needed for $c>\frac{13}{24}$ for $\mathcal{N}=3$ SCFTs
- $\rightarrow \ \ Weight-shifting \ \ operators? \ \ \ [Karateev \ Kravchuk \ Simmons-Duffin]$
- $\rightarrow~{\rm Calogero-Sutherland~approach?}~{\rm [see~Schomerus'~talk]}$

Constrained the "simplest" Argyres-Douglas theory

Zoom in to other isolated $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs?

(at corners of su(2), su(3), e_6 , e_7 , e_8 exclusion curves)

- $\rightarrow\,$ Mixed system: stress tensor & flavor current multiplets
- $\rightarrow\,$ Stronger numerical constraints on the space of theories?

Superblocks for Super-stress tensor multiplets

- \rightarrow Bounds on (c, k) did not come from superprimary of stress tensor compute whole superblock?
- \rightarrow Two-dimensional long blocks <code>[Cornagliotto ML Schomerus]</code> needed for $c>\frac{13}{24}$ for $\mathcal{N}=3$ SCFTs
- $\rightarrow \ \ Weight-shifting \ \ operators? \ \ \ [Karateev \ Kravchuk \ Simmons-Duffin]$
- $\rightarrow~{\rm Calogero-Sutherland~approach?}~{\rm [see~Schomerus'~talk]}$

What is the "smallest" $\mathcal{N} = 3$ SCFT?

Thank you!

Backup slides

Outline

Inversion formula

b Lorentzian inversion formula for (A_1, A_2)

6 A solvable subsector

7 Constraining the space of $4d \mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs













ightarrow t-channel dominated by lowest twist au_m operators



ightarrow t-channel dominated by lowest twist au_m operators



ightarrow t-channel dominated by lowest twist au_m operators

ightarrow behavior reproduced by infinite sum over *s*-channel spins



ightarrow t-channel dominated by lowest twist au_m operators

- ightarrow behavior reproduced by infinite sum over s-channel spins
- → Large spin spectrum of CFT



ightarrow t-channel dominated by lowest twist au_m operators

- ightarrow behavior reproduced by infinite sum over *s*-channel spins
- → Large spin spectrum of CFT

$$\mathbb{1} \Rightarrow \Delta \to 2\Delta_{\phi} + 2n + \ell \qquad (\phi \Box^n \partial_{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_\ell} \phi)$$

A Lorentzian inversion formula

Large spin perturbation theory

 \rightarrow Very successful for 3*d* Ising model

[Alday Zhiboedov, Simmons-Duffin]

A Lorentzian inversion formula

Large spin perturbation theory

 \rightarrow Very successful for 3*d* Ising model

[Alday Zhiboedov, Simmons-Duffin]

 $\rightarrow\,$ down to spin two!
Large spin perturbation theory

 \rightarrow Very successful for 3*d* Ising model

- $\rightarrow\,$ down to spin two!
- \rightarrow Invert *s*-channel OPE: Euclidean inversion formula

Large spin perturbation theory

 \rightarrow Very successful for 3*d* Ising model

- $\rightarrow\,$ down to spin two!
- \rightarrow Invert *s*-channel OPE: Euclidean inversion formula
- $ightarrow \, c(\Delta,\ell)$ with poles where operators are, residues $\sim \lambda^2_{\Delta,\ell}$

Large spin perturbation theory

 \rightarrow Very successful for 3*d* Ising model

- $\rightarrow\,$ down to spin two!
- \rightarrow Invert *s*-channel OPE: Euclidean inversion formula
- $ightarrow \, c(\Delta,\ell)$ with poles where operators are, residues $\sim \lambda^2_{\Delta,\ell}$
- $\rightarrow\,$ Need to know full correlation function to get full spectrum

Large spin perturbation theory

 \rightarrow Very successful for 3*d* Ising model

- \rightarrow down to spin two!
- \rightarrow Invert *s*-channel OPE: Euclidean inversion formula
- $ightarrow \, c(\Delta,\ell)$ with poles where operators are, residues $\sim \lambda^2_{\Delta,\ell}$
- $\rightarrow\,$ Need to know full correlation function to get full spectrum
- $\rightarrow\,$ only makes sense for integer $\ell\,$

Large spin perturbation theory

 \rightarrow Very successful for 3*d* Ising model

- \rightarrow down to spin two!
- \rightarrow Invert *s*-channel OPE: Euclidean inversion formula
- $ightarrow \, c(\Delta,\ell)$ with poles where operators are, residues $\sim \lambda^2_{\Delta,\ell}$
- $\rightarrow\,$ Need to know full correlation function to get full spectrum
- $\rightarrow\,$ only makes sense for integer ℓ
- \rightarrow $_{\rm [Caron-Huot]}$ Inversion formula <code>analytic</code> in spin for $\ell>1$

Large spin perturbation theory

 \rightarrow Very successful for 3*d* Ising model

- \rightarrow down to spin two!
- \rightarrow Invert *s*-channel OPE: Euclidean inversion formula
- $ightarrow \, c(\Delta,\ell)$ with poles where operators are, residues $\sim \lambda^2_{\Delta,\ell}$
- $\rightarrow\,$ Need to know full correlation function to get full spectrum
- $\rightarrow\,$ only makes sense for integer ℓ
- ightarrow [Caron-Huot] Inversion formula analytic in spin for $\ell>1$
- \rightarrow Operators organize in trajectories

Large spin perturbation theory

 \rightarrow Very successful for 3*d* Ising model

- \rightarrow down to spin two!
- \rightarrow Invert *s*-channel OPE: Euclidean inversion formula
- $ightarrow \, c(\Delta,\ell)$ with poles where operators are, residues $\sim \lambda^2_{\Delta,\ell}$
- $\rightarrow\,$ Need to know full correlation function to get full spectrum
- $\rightarrow\,$ only makes sense for integer ℓ
- \rightarrow $_{\rm [Caron-Huot]}$ Inversion formula <code>analytic</code> in spin for $\ell>1$
- $\rightarrow~{\rm Operators}$ organize in trajectories
- ightarrow large ℓ dominated by low t-channel twists

Outline

Inversion formula

5 Lorentzian inversion formula for (A_1, A_2)

6 A solvable subsector

7 Constraining the space of $4d \mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs

Invert $\phi\phi$ OPE

- $\rightarrow\,$ Same as bosonic inversion, valid for $\ell>1$
- $\rightarrow~{\rm Feed}~{\rm in}~\bar\phi\phi\sim 1+{\rm Stress}$ tensor multiplet $+\ldots$

Invert $\phi\phi$ OPE

- $\rightarrow\,$ Same as bosonic inversion, valid for $\ell>1$
- ightarrow Feed in $ar{\phi}\phi\sim$ 1 + Stress tensor multiplet + . . .

Invert $\bar{\phi}\phi$ OPE

 $\rightarrow~$ Supersymmetric inversion: valid for $\ell \geqslant 0$

Invert $\phi\phi$ OPE

- $\rightarrow\,$ Same as bosonic inversion, valid for $\ell>1$
- ightarrow Feed in $ar{\phi}\phi\sim$ 1 + Stress tensor multiplet + \dots

Invert $\bar{\phi}\phi$ OPE

- $\rightarrow\,$ Supersymmetric inversion: valid for $\ell \geqslant 0$
- ightarrow Feed in low twist in *t*-channel ($ar{\phi}\phi$)

Invert $\phi\phi$ OPE

- $\rightarrow\,$ Same as bosonic inversion, valid for $\ell>1$
- ightarrow Feed in $ar{\phi}\phi\sim$ 1 + Stress tensor multiplet + \dots

Invert $\bar{\phi}\phi$ OPE

→ Supersymmetric inversion: valid for $\ell \ge 0$ → Feed in low twist in *t*-channel $(\bar{\phi}\phi)$ $\hookrightarrow \bar{\phi}\phi \sim 1 + \text{Stress tensor multiplet} + ...$

Invert $\phi\phi$ OPE

- $\rightarrow\,$ Same as bosonic inversion, valid for $\ell>1$
- ightarrow Feed in $ar{\phi}\phi\sim$ 1 + Stress tensor multiplet + \dots

Invert $\bar{\phi}\phi$ OPE

- $\rightarrow~$ Supersymmetric inversion: valid for $\ell \geqslant 0$
- ightarrow Feed in low twist in *t*-channel $(ar{\phi}\phi)$

ightarrow and in *u*-channel ($\phi\phi$)

Bounding OPE coefficients



Bounding OPE coefficients



Inverting the $\phi\bar{\phi}$ OPE

- $\rightarrow~$ Supersymmetric inversion: valid for $\ell \geqslant 0$
- $\rightarrow~{\rm Only~input:}~\bar\phi\phi\sim 1+{\rm Stress}$ tensor multiplet

Inverting the $\phi\bar{\phi}$ OPE

- $\rightarrow~$ Supersymmetric inversion: valid for $\ell \geqslant 0$
- $\rightarrow\,$ Only input: $\bar{\phi}\phi\sim1+$ Stress tensor multiplet



Outline

Inversion formula

b Lorentzian inversion formula for (A_1, A_2)

6 A solvable subsector

7 Constraining the space of $4d \mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs

Organize operators in representations of superconformal algebra

 $\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,(j_1,j_2),}\}$

Organize operators in representations of superconformal algebra

 $\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,(j_1,j_2)}, \underbrace{R}_{SU(2)_R}, \underbrace{r}_{U(1)_r}, f\}$

Organize operators in representations of superconformal algebra

$$\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,(j_1,j_2),\underbrace{R}_{SU(2)_R},\underbrace{r}_{U(1)_r},f}\}$$

Claim

ightarrow Pick a plane $\mathbb{R}^2 \in \mathbb{R}^4$,

Organize operators in representations of superconformal algebra

$$\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,(j_1,j_2),\underbrace{R}_{SU(2)_R},\underbrace{r}_{U(1)_r},f}\}$$

$$ightarrow$$
 Pick a plane $\mathbb{R}^2 \in \mathbb{R}^4$, $(z, ar{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

Organize operators in representations of superconformal algebra

$$\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,(j_1,j_2),\underbrace{R}_{SU(2)_R},\underbrace{r}_{U(1)_r},f}\}$$

$$ightarrow$$
 Pick a plane $\mathbb{R}^2 \in \mathbb{R}^4$, $(z, ar{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1^{l_1}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) \dots \mathcal{O}_n^{l_n}(z_n, \bar{z}_n) \rangle$$

Organize operators in representations of superconformal algebra

$$\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,(j_1,j_2),\underbrace{R}_{SU(2)_R},\underbrace{r}_{U(1)_r},f}\}$$

- ightarrow Pick a plane $\mathbb{R}^2 \in \mathbb{R}^4$, $(z, ar{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$
- ightarrow Restrict to operators with $\Delta=2R+j_1+j_2$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1^{I_1}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) \dots \mathcal{O}_n^{I_n}(z_n, \bar{z}_n) \rangle$$

Organize operators in representations of superconformal algebra

$$\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,(j_1,j_2),\underbrace{R}_{SU(2)_R},\underbrace{r}_{U(1)_r},f}\}$$

- ightarrow Pick a plane $\mathbb{R}^2 \in \mathbb{R}^4$, $(z, ar{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$
- ightarrow Restrict to operators with $\Delta=2R+j_1+j_2$

$$u_{I_1}(\bar{z}_1)\ldots u_{I_n}(\bar{z}_n)\langle \mathcal{O}_1^{I_1}(z_1,\bar{z}_1)\ldots \mathcal{O}_n^{I_n}(z_n,\bar{z}_n)\rangle$$

Organize operators in representations of superconformal algebra

$$\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,(j_1,j_2),\underbrace{R}_{SU(2)_R},\underbrace{r}_{U(1)_r},f}\}$$

Claim

- ightarrow Pick a plane $\mathbb{R}^2 \in \mathbb{R}^4$, $(z, ar{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$
- ightarrow Restrict to operators with $\Delta=2R+j_1+j_2$

$$u_{I_1}(\bar{z}_1)\ldots u_{I_n}(\bar{z}_n)\langle \mathcal{O}_1^{I_1}(z_1,\bar{z}_1)\ldots \mathcal{O}_n^{I_n}(z_n,\bar{z}_n)\rangle = f(z_i)$$

\rightarrow Meromorphic!

Why?

Subsector = Cohomology of nilpotent Q

Why?

 \blacktriangleright Subsector = Cohomology of nilpotent $\mathbb{Q}\sim \mathcal{Q}+\mathcal{S}$

- $\blacktriangleright \ \ Subsector = Cohomology \ of \ \ nilpotent \ \ \mathbb Q \sim \mathcal Q + \mathcal S$
- $\rightarrow~$ Cohomology at the origin $\Rightarrow~$ non-empty classes

- $\blacktriangleright \ \ Subsector = Cohomology \ of \ \ nilpotent \ \ \mathbb Q \sim \mathcal Q + \mathcal S$
- $\rightarrow~$ Cohomology at the origin $\Rightarrow~$ non-empty classes

$$\Delta = 2R + j_1 + j_2$$

Why?

- $\blacktriangleright \ \ Subsector = Cohomology \ of \ \ nilpotent \ \ \mathbb Q \sim \mathcal Q + \mathcal S$
- $\rightarrow~\mbox{Cohomology}$ at the origin $\Rightarrow~\mbox{non-empty}$ classes

$$\Delta = 2R + j_1 + j_2$$

• On plane $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \times \mathfrak{sl}_2$

- $\blacktriangleright \ \ Subsector = Cohomology \ of \ \ nilpotent \ \ \mathbb Q \sim \mathcal Q + \mathcal S$
- $\rightarrow~$ Cohomology at the origin $\Rightarrow~$ non-empty classes

$$\Delta = 2R + j_1 + j_2$$



- $\blacktriangleright \ \ Subsector = Cohomology \ of \ \ nilpotent \ \ \mathbb{Q} \sim \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{S}$
- $\rightarrow~$ Cohomology at the origin $\Rightarrow~$ non-empty classes

$$\Delta = 2R + j_1 + j_2$$



- $\blacktriangleright \ \ Subsector = Cohomology \ of \ \ nilpotent \ \ \mathbb Q \sim \mathcal Q + \mathcal S$
- $\rightarrow\,$ Cohomology at the origin \Rightarrow non-empty classes

$$\Delta = 2R + j_1 + j_2$$



- $\blacktriangleright \ \ Subsector = Cohomology \ of \ \ nilpotent \ \ \mathbb{Q} \sim \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{S}$
- $\rightarrow~\mbox{Cohomology}$ at the origin $\Rightarrow~\mbox{non-empty}$ classes

$$\Delta = 2R + j_1 + j_2$$

- On plane \mathfrak{sl}_2 × \mathfrak{sl}_2 does not does not
- ightarrow twisted translations $u_I(ar{z})$
- \hookrightarrow diagonal subalgebra $\bar{\mathfrak{sl}}_2 \times \mathfrak{su}(2)_R$ is Q exact

- $\blacktriangleright \ \ Subsector = Cohomology \ of \ \ nilpotent \ \ \mathbb Q \sim \mathcal Q + \mathcal S$
- $\rightarrow\,$ Cohomology at the origin \Rightarrow non-empty classes

$$\Delta = 2R + j_1 + j_2$$

- On plane \mathfrak{sl}_2 × \mathfrak{sl}_2 does not does not
- ightarrow twisted translations $u_I(ar{z})$
- \hookrightarrow diagonal subalgebra $\bar{\mathfrak{sl}}_2 \times \mathfrak{su}(2)_R$ is Q exact
- $\,\hookrightarrow\,$ anti-holomorphic dependence drops out
Example: free hypermultiplet

Example: free hypermultiplet

$$Q' = egin{bmatrix} Q \ ilde{Q}^{\star} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad ilde{Q}' = egin{bmatrix} ilde{Q} \ -Q^{\star} \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: free hypermultiplet

Complex scalars in hypermultiplet are in the cohomology

$$Q' = \begin{bmatrix} Q \ ilde{Q}^{\star} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad ilde{Q}' = \begin{bmatrix} ilde{Q} \ -Q^{\star} \end{bmatrix}$$

 $u_I = (1, \bar{z})$

Example: free hypermultiplet

$$Q' = egin{bmatrix} Q \ ilde{Q}^{\star} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad ilde{Q}' = egin{bmatrix} ilde{Q} \ -Q^{\star} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$u_I = (1, \overline{z})$$

 $q(z, \overline{z}) = u_I Q^I$

Example: free hypermultiplet

$$Q' = \begin{bmatrix} Q \ ilde{Q}^{\star} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad ilde{Q}' = \begin{bmatrix} ilde{Q} \ -Q^{\star} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$egin{aligned} u_I &= (1,ar{z}) \ q(z,ar{z}) &= u_I Q' = Q(z,ar{z}) + ar{z} ilde{Q}^\star(z,ar{z}) \end{aligned}$$

Example: free hypermultiplet

$$Q' = \begin{bmatrix} Q \ ilde{Q}^{\star} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad ilde{Q}' = \begin{bmatrix} ilde{Q} \ -Q^{\star} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$egin{aligned} u_I &= (1,ar{z}) \ q(z,ar{z}) &= u_I Q^I = Q(z,ar{z}) + ar{z} ilde{Q}^\star(z,ar{z}) \ ilde{q}(z,ar{z}) &= u_I ilde{Q}^I \end{aligned}$$

Example: free hypermultiplet

$$Q' = \begin{bmatrix} Q \ ilde{Q}^{\star} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad ilde{Q}' = \begin{bmatrix} ilde{Q} \ -Q^{\star} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$egin{aligned} u_{l} &= (1,ar{z}) \ q(z,ar{z}) &= u_{l}Q^{l} = Q(z,ar{z}) + ar{z} ilde{Q}^{\star}(z,ar{z}) \ ar{q}(z,ar{z}) &= u_{l} ilde{Q}^{l} = ilde{Q}(z,ar{z}) - ar{z}Q^{\star}(z,ar{z}) \end{aligned}$$

Example: free hypermultiplet

Complex scalars in hypermultiplet are in the cohomology

$$Q' = \begin{bmatrix} Q \ ilde{Q}^{\star} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad ilde{Q}' = \begin{bmatrix} ilde{Q} \ -Q^{\star} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$u_{I} = (1, \overline{z})$$

$$q(z, \overline{z}) = u_{I}Q^{I} = Q(z, \overline{z}) + \overline{z}\tilde{Q}^{\star}(z, \overline{z})$$

$$\tilde{q}(z, \overline{z}) = u_{I}\tilde{Q}^{I} = \tilde{Q}(z, \overline{z}) - \overline{z}Q^{\star}(z, \overline{z})$$

 $\rightarrow q(z, \bar{z})\tilde{q}(0) \sim$

Example: free hypermultiplet

Complex scalars in hypermultiplet are in the cohomology

$$Q' = \begin{bmatrix} Q \ ilde{Q}^{\star} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad ilde{Q}' = \begin{bmatrix} ilde{Q} \ -Q^{\star} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$u_{I} = (1, \bar{z})$$

$$q(z, \bar{z}) = u_{I}Q^{I} = Q(z, \bar{z}) + \bar{z}\tilde{Q}^{\star}(z, \bar{z})$$

$$\tilde{q}(z, \bar{z}) = u_{I}\tilde{Q}^{I} = \tilde{Q}(z, \bar{z}) - \bar{z}Q^{\star}(z, \bar{z})$$

 $ightarrow \, q(z,ar{z}) \widetilde{q}(0) \sim ar{z} \widetilde{Q}^{\star}(z,ar{z}) \widetilde{Q}(0) \sim$

Example: free hypermultiplet

$$Q' = \begin{bmatrix} Q \ ilde{Q}^{\star} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad ilde{Q}' = \begin{bmatrix} ilde{Q} \ -Q^{\star} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$u_{I} = (1, \bar{z})$$

$$q(z, \bar{z}) = u_{I}Q^{I} = Q(z, \bar{z}) + \bar{z}\tilde{Q}^{*}(z, \bar{z})$$

$$\tilde{q}(z, \bar{z}) = u_{I}\tilde{Q}^{I} = \tilde{Q}(z, \bar{z}) - \bar{z}Q^{*}(z, \bar{z})$$

$$\Rightarrow q(z, \bar{z})\tilde{q}(0) \sim \bar{z}\tilde{Q}^{*}(z, \bar{z})\tilde{Q}(0) \sim \frac{\bar{z}}{z\bar{z}}$$

Example: free hypermultiplet

_

$$Q' = \begin{bmatrix} Q \ ilde{Q}^{\star} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad ilde{Q}' = \begin{bmatrix} ilde{Q} \ -Q^{\star} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$u_{I} = (1, \bar{z})$$

$$q(z, \bar{z}) = u_{I}Q^{I} = Q(z, \bar{z}) + \bar{z}\tilde{Q}^{\star}(z, \bar{z})$$

$$\tilde{q}(z, \bar{z}) = u_{I}\tilde{Q}^{I} = \tilde{Q}(z, \bar{z}) - \bar{z}Q^{\star}(z, \bar{z})$$

$$\rightarrow q(z, \bar{z})\tilde{q}(0) \sim \bar{z}\tilde{Q}^{\star}(z, \bar{z})\tilde{Q}(0) \sim \frac{\bar{z}}{z\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{z}$$

Which operators are in the cohomology?

ightarrow Stress tensor $T_{\mu
u}$

Which operators are in the cohomology?

ightarrow Stress tensor $T_{\mu
u} \rightsquigarrow$ superdescendant

- \rightarrow Stress tensor $T_{\mu
 u} \rightsquigarrow$ superdescendant
- $\rightarrow~$ Stress tensor supermultiplet

- \rightarrow Stress tensor $T_{\mu
 u} \rightsquigarrow$ superdescendant
- $\rightarrow~$ Stress tensor supermultiplet

$$T(z)T(0) \sim -12 \frac{c_{4d}/2}{z^4} + 2 \frac{T(0)}{z^2} + \frac{\partial T(0)}{z} + \dots,$$

- \rightarrow Stress tensor $T_{\mu
 u} \rightsquigarrow$ superdescendant
- ightarrow Stress tensor supermultiplet $\Rightarrow 2d$ stress tensor

$$T(z)T(0) \sim -12 \frac{c_{4d}/2}{z^4} + 2 \frac{T(0)}{z^2} + \frac{\partial T(0)}{z} + \dots,$$

Which operators are in the cohomology?

- ightarrow Stress tensor $T_{\mu
 u} \rightsquigarrow$ superdescendant
- ightarrow Stress tensor supermultiplet $\Rightarrow 2d$ stress tensor

$$T(z)T(0) \sim -12 \frac{c_{4d}/2}{z^4} + 2 \frac{T(0)}{z^2} + \frac{\partial T(0)}{z} + \dots,$$

 $\, \hookrightarrow \, \operatorname{\mathsf{Global}}\, \mathfrak{sl}_2 \text{ enhances to Virasoro}$

Which operators are in the cohomology?

- \rightarrow Stress tensor $T_{\mu
 u} \rightsquigarrow$ superdescendant
- ightarrow Stress tensor supermultiplet $\Rightarrow 2d$ stress tensor

$$T(z)T(0) \sim -12 \frac{c_{4d}/2}{z^4} + 2 \frac{T(0)}{z^2} + \frac{\partial T(0)}{z} + \dots,$$

 $\, \hookrightarrow \, \operatorname{\mathsf{Global}}\, \mathfrak{sl}_2 \text{ enhances to Virasoro}$

 \hookrightarrow $c_{2d} = -12c_{4d}$

Which operators are in the cohomology?

 \rightarrow Theory with flavor symmetry

- $\rightarrow\,$ Theory with flavor symmetry
- $\rightarrow\,$ Multiplet containing flavor current

- $\rightarrow\,$ Theory with flavor symmetry
- $\rightarrow\,$ Multiplet containing flavor current
- \hookrightarrow Affine Kac Moody current algebra

$$J^{a}(z)J^{b}(0) \sim -rac{k_{4d}/2\delta^{ab}}{z^{2}} + if^{abc}rac{J^{c}(0)}{z} + \dots ,$$

- $\rightarrow\,$ Theory with flavor symmetry
- $\rightarrow\,$ Multiplet containing flavor current
- \hookrightarrow Affine Kac Moody current algebra

$$J^{a}(z)J^{b}(0) \sim -rac{k_{4d}/2\delta^{ab}}{z^{2}} + if^{abc}rac{J^{c}(0)}{z} + \dots ,$$

$$\hookrightarrow$$
 $k_{2d} = -\frac{k_{4d}}{2}$

- $\rightarrow\,$ Theory with flavor symmetry
- $\rightarrow\,$ Multiplet containing flavor current
- \hookrightarrow Affine Kac Moody current algebra

$$J^{a}(z)J^{b}(0) \sim -rac{k_{4d}/2\delta^{ab}}{z^{2}} + if^{abc}rac{J^{c}(0)}{z} + \dots ,$$

 $\rightarrow\,$ Cohomology classes $\Rightarrow\,$ Operators in chiral algebra

- $\rightarrow\,$ Cohomology classes \Rightarrow Operators in chiral algebra
- \rightarrow conformal weight $h = R + j_1 + j_2 \geqslant 0$

- $\rightarrow\,$ Cohomology classes $\Rightarrow\,$ Operators in chiral algebra
- \rightarrow conformal weight $h = R + j_1 + j_2 \ge 0$
- $\rightarrow\,$ Each $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplet contributes at most with one \mathfrak{sl}_2 primary

- $\rightarrow\,$ Cohomology classes $\Rightarrow\,$ Operators in chiral algebra
- \rightarrow conformal weight $h = R + j_1 + j_2 \ge 0$
- $\rightarrow\,$ Each $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplet contributes at most with one \mathfrak{sl}_2 primary
- \rightarrow Very specific non-unitary chiral algebra constrained by unitarity of 4*d* theory

- $\rightarrow\,$ Cohomology classes $\Rightarrow\,$ Operators in chiral algebra
- \rightarrow conformal weight $h = R + j_1 + j_2 \geqslant 0$
- $\rightarrow\,$ Each $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplet contributes at most with one \mathfrak{sl}_2 primary
- \rightarrow Very specific non-unitary chiral algebra constrained by unitarity of 4*d* theory

ightarrow some operators acquire negative norms

Outline

Inversion formula

5 Lorentzian inversion formula for (A_1, A_2)

6 A solvable subsector

7 Constraining the space of $4d \mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs

su(2) flavor symmetry



[Beem, ML, Liendo, Peelaers, Rastelli, van Rees; ML, Liendo] [Beem, ML, Liendo, Rastelli, van Rees]

*e*₆ flavor symmetry









[Beem, ML, Liendo, Peelaers, Rastelli, van Rees; ML, Liendo]





[Beem, ML, Liendo, Peelaers, Rastelli, van Rees; ML, Liendo]