Spherical Infall

**Disk Accretion** 



Radiation Pressure Barrier

at M<sub>star</sub> ~ 40 M<sub>sol</sub> (Kahn 1974, Yorke & Krügel 1977)

- Optically thick Disk
- Anisotropy of Infrared Radiation / Disk Flashlight Effect

(Nakano 1989, Yorke & Bodenheimer 1999)

Kuiper et al. (2010)



Rolf Kuiper (rolf.kuiper@uni-tuebingen.de)

June 13, 2019

Kuiper et al. (2010)



Kuiper et al. (2010)



### Is there a Stellar Upper Mass Limit due to Feedback?

### What about Photo-Evaporation of the Disk?

### Photoionization + Radiation Forces

### Initial Condition:

- 1000 M<sub>☉</sub> mass reservoir (R = 1 pc)
  - = 100  $M_{\odot}$  pre-stellar core fed by large-scales

### Feedback Physics:

- Outflows
- Radiation Forces
- Photoionization / HII Regions

### <u>Grid:</u>

- Axial and midplane symmetry (2D)
- $R_{sink} = 3$  au,  $\Delta x = 0.3$  au



Kuiper & Hosokawa (2018)

## Feedback and Star Formation Efficiencies



Kuiper & Hosokawa (2018)

## Feedback and Star Formation Efficiencies



### Feedback and Outflow Broadening





Kuiper & Hosokawa (2018)

## Feedback and Outflow Broadening



Photoionization > Radiation Forces HII Region Expansion decreases Infall by 50%

Ram Pressure from Infall collimates Outflow Radiation Forces > Photoionization

Disk Structure sets Opening Angle

- × Photoionization
- Radiation Forces

Kuiper & Hosokawa (2018)

Rolf Kuiper (rolf.kuiper@uni-tuebingen.de)

## Feedback and Outflow Broadening



Rolf Kuiper (rolf.kuiper@uni-tuebingen.de)

### Is there a Stellar Upper Mass Limit due to Feedback?

# How is the gas accreted from the disk to the star ? $\rightarrow$ UV-Line Scattering Forces!





#### Kee, Owocki, & Kuiper (2018a,b)

Rolf Kuiper (rolf.kuiper@uni-tuebingen.de)



Kee, Owocki, & Kuiper (2018a,b)



- resolved Stellar Photosphere
- 3D Ray-Tracing (> 2 million rays / timestep) using CAK theory
- Ablation rates (as function of stellar and disk parameters)



Kee & Kuiper (2018)

## What else is new?

- **RMHD-driven Jets & Outflows** (Kölligan & Kuiper 2018, Nies & Kuiper, subm.)
  - Collimated Jets (magneto-centrifugally-driven à la Blandford & Payne 1982)
  - Disk Winds (magnetic-pressure-driven à la Lynden-Bell 2003)
  - Ejection/Accretion efficiency ~ 10%
  - → Talks by Willice Obonyo and Patrick Koch!



### • Disk Fragmentation

- Spectroscopic Binaries / Multiplicity (Meyer et al. 2018)
- Accretion Bursts (Meyer et al. 2017)
- → Talks by Igor Zinchenko, Johan van der Walt, and Aida Ahmadi!
- Ist and 2nd Larson Cores
  - Ist Larson cores do not exist in high-mass star formation (Bhandare et al. 2018)
  - 2nd Larson cores are convective (Bhandare et al., in prep.)
  - → Poster #14 by Asmita Bhandare!

### Is there a Stellar Upper Mass Limit due to Feedback?

- MHD-Jets remove ~10% of Accretion
- Photoionization (only) important on Cluster scales
- **Disk Fragmentation** yields Multiplicity and Accretion Bursts
- Continuum Radiation Forces set Disk Lifetime!
  + Large-scale Cloud Fragmentation → Upper Mass Limit
- UV-Line Radiation Forces stop Disk-to-Star Accretion!
  + Disk Accretion Physics → Upper Mass Limit

### Thanks for your attention!

# Photoionization feeding the Disk



- Protostar keeps bloated until ~30 kyr / ~30 M<sub>sol</sub> (Hosokawa & Omukai 2009, Kuiper & Yorke 2013)
- HII Region fills Bipolar Outflow Cavity
- Thermal Pressure Feedback in the polar directions acts like Scissor Handles

# Feedback and Star Formation Efficiencies

#### "Infinite" Mass Reservoir Finite Mass Reservoir Outflow $10^{-3}$ **10<sup>-3</sup>** + Ionization M<sub>\*</sub> [M<sub>☉</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup>] Outflow only Outflow only **Outflow + Ionization** 10<sup>-4</sup> **Outflow + Radiation** Outflow Outflow + Ionization + Radiation Outflow + Ionization + Radiation + Radiation 10 10 50 60 50 150 20 30 40 70 100 0 M<sub>∗</sub> [M<sub>☉</sub>] $M_* [M_{\odot}]$

- ✓ Outflows
- **X** Photoionization
- Radiation Forces

 $\rightarrow$  see also Talk by Anna Rosen

- **X** Outflows
- ✗ Photoionization
- Radiation Forces

 $M_{star} = 95 M_{\odot}, t_{acc} \sim 0.13 Myr$ 

 $R_{res} \sim 0.24 \text{ pc}, M_{res} \sim 240 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ 

Kuiper & Hosokawa (2018)

200

# Phase Diagram(s) of Feedback



Rolf Kuiper (rolf.kuiper@uni-tuebingen.de)

# Software Development

- Magneto-Hydrodynamics PLUTO 4.1 (Mignone et al. 2007, 2012)
- **Self-Gravity** (Kuiper et al. 2010b)
- **Stellar Evolution** (Kuiper & Yorke 2013)
- **Dust Evolution**: Sublimation and Evaporation
- **Protostellar Outflows** (Kuiper, Yorke, & Turner 2015; Kuiper, Turner, & Yorke 2016)
  - MHD-driven Jets & Outflows (Kölligan & Kuiper 2018; Nies & Kuiper subm.)
- Radiation:
  - Hybrid Scheme: Stellar Irradiation + Continuum (Re-)Emission (Kuiper et al. 2010a)
  - now also in FLASH 4 (Klassen, Kuiper et al. 2014) & ORION (Rosen et al. 2017)
- Variable Equation of State: Thermal Dissociation and Ionization (Vaidya et al. 2015)
- **Photoionization**: Stellar Feedback + Recombination (Kuiper, Yorke, & Mignone, subm.)
- UV-Line Scattering (Kee, Owocki, & Kuiper 2018a,b; Kee & Kuiper 2018)

# Log-spherical Grid Approach

### General Properties:

- Resolution ~ Radius
- High Dynamic Range

### Example:

- $234 \times 64 \times 128 \approx 2$  mill.
- $R_{sink} = 10^{\circ} \dots R_{max} = 10^{5}$
- $\Delta r @ R_{sink} = 0.05$ ( $\approx$  22 levels of Cartesian AMR)

