### **Collinear Expansion of Cross Sections and the EEC at N3LL' in QCD**

# **Gherardo Vita**



Amplitudes 2021 Zoom, 18 August 2021

Based on:

"Collinear expansion for color singlet cross sections" [2006.03055]
"N-Jettiness Beam Functions at N3LO" [2006.03056]
"TMD PDFs at N3LO" [2006.05329]
"TMD Fragmentation Functions at N3LO" [2012.07853]
"The EEC in the back-to-back limit at N3LO and N3LL?" [2012.07859]
M.A.Ebert, B.Mistlberger, GV

### Outline

# • Introduction

• Kinematic limits of cross sections



# • Collinear expansion of cross sections

Matrix element
 expansion and IBPs



# • Applications

 Energy-Energy Correlation in the back-to-back limit at N3LL` in QCD

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}z} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_0}{2} \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{Hard\ Function}}{H_{q\bar{q}}(Q,\mu)}}_{\mathrm{EEC\ Jet\ Functions}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \vec{b}_T \,\mathrm{d}^2 \vec{q}_T}{(2\pi)^2} e^{\mathrm{i}\vec{q}_T \cdot \vec{b}_T} \,\delta\left(1 - z - \frac{q_T^2}{Q^2}\right) \underbrace{J_q\left(b_T, \mu, \frac{\nu}{Q}\right) J_{\bar{q}}\left(b_T, \mu, \frac{\nu}{Q}\right)}_{\mathrm{EEC\ Jet\ Functions}} \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{TMD\ Soft\ Function}}{\tilde{S}_q(b_T, \mu, \nu)}}_{\mathrm{EEC\ Jet\ Functions}}$$



### Testing the Standard Model at Colliders



- Experimental measurements of key benchmark processes have reached astonishing level of precision.
- Situation will improve even further from future runs/experiments <sup>3</sup>

### Analytic predictions for collider observables

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ab\to X} = \underbrace{\sigma_0}_{\text{LO}} + \underbrace{\alpha_s \sigma_1}_{\text{NLO}} + \underbrace{\alpha_s^2 \sigma_2}_{\text{NNLO}} + \underbrace{\alpha_s^3 \sigma_3}_{\text{N^3LO}} + \dots$$

**Analytic** N3LO cross sections for LHC currently available for  $2 \rightarrow 1$  processes

Inclusive Higgs production and Drell-Yan ( $\gamma$ , Z, W<sup>±</sup> production)



At the **amplitude** level: Recent progress on N3LO 2  $\rightarrow$  2 and NNLO 2  $\rightarrow$  3

[Ahmed, Henn, Mistlberger] [Jin, Luo] [Caola, von Manteuffel, Tancredi]

[Badger, Chicherin, Gehrmann, Heinrich, Henn, Peraro, Wasser, Zhang, Zoia] [Chawdhry, Czakon, Mitov, Poncelet] [Kallweit, Sotnikov, Wiesemann] [Badger, Hansen, Chicherin, Gehrmann, Hartanto, Henn, Marcoli, Moodie, Peraro, Zoia]

### Analytic predictions for collider observables

- **Important!** These are **analytic** computations of full **cross sections**, not amplitudes.
- Integral over **phase space** of final state particles
- Sum over all Real and Virtual corrections
- Analytic cancellation of IR divergences!

Example: Higgs production at N3LO in gg



### Analytic predictions for collider observables

- **Important!** These are **analytic** computations of full **cross sections**, not amplitudes.
- Integral over **phase space** of final state particles
- Make connection to modern multiloop techniques via reverse unitarity

[Anastasiou, Melnikov] [Anastasiou, Dixon, Melnikov]



But...to go from inclusive  $2 \rightarrow 1$  to higher multiplicity or more differential cross sections will require huge progress at each step of the calculation:

- IBPs
- Differential equations
- Space of functions

See talks by Klemm, Badg<sup>er,</sup> Duhr, Weinzierl, ..

### Expansion in kinematic limits



Much simpler structures arise in these limits

### **Expansion for Color Singlet Cross Sections**

Reverse Unitarity: think of

propagators!

 $Q^2 = p_h^2$ 

Threshold expansion (very well known in literature)

8

- Consider production of a <u>color singlet</u> state **h** in proton-proton collision
- Measurements: total momentum of radiation, color singlet Q and Y



Limit where total momentum of radiation is soft compared to Q

$$n_{p^2 \sim \lambda^2 Q^2} k^{\mu} \sim \lambda k^{-} \frac{n^{\mu}}{2} + \lambda k^{+} \frac{\bar{n}^{\mu}}{2} + \lambda k_{\perp}^{\mu}, \quad \lambda \ll 1$$

Limit where total momentum of radiation is collinear to proton axis

$$k^{\mu} \sim k^{-} \frac{n^{\mu}}{2} + \lambda^{2} k^{+} \frac{\bar{n}^{\mu}}{2} + \lambda k^{\mu}_{\perp}, \quad \lambda \ll 1$$
Collinear Expansion
Our work!

### **Collinear Expansion for Matrix Elements**

- Kinematic limit  $\longrightarrow$  expansion of Feynman integrands appearing in the calculation of **partonic cross sections** General idea has long history, see e.g. Expansion by region [Beneke, Smirnov '97]
- Take for example double real emission (RR) scalar integral

Ο



• Propagators can be **expanded** easily  $\frac{1}{(p_2 + p_3 + p_4)^2} \xrightarrow{\text{coll}} \frac{1}{2p_2 \cdot (p_3 + p_4) + \lambda^2 2p_3 \cdot p_4} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda^2)^n \frac{(-2p_3 \cdot p_4)^n}{[p_2^+ (p_3^- + p_4^-)]^{n+1}}$ 

 $w_1 = -\frac{\bar{n} \cdot k}{\bar{n} \cdot p_1}, \quad w_2 = -\frac{n \cdot k}{n \cdot p_2},$ 

### Collinear Expansion for double real graphs

• We can perform a **collinear expansion** of the **integrand** 

$$I_{\rm RR} \xrightarrow{\rm coll} \lambda^{2-4\epsilon} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{h+2}}{\mathrm{d}w_1 \mathrm{d}w_2 \mathrm{d}x} \left[ \frac{1}{(p_2+p_3)^2 \left[ p_2^+ (p_3^- + p_4^-) \right]} + \lambda^2 \frac{(-2p_3 \cdot p_4)}{(p_2+p_3)^2 \left[ p_2^+ (p_3^- + p_4^-) \right]^2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3) \right]$$

• Collinear expansion admits diagrammatic representation!



• Same procedure can be applied for mixed loop/radiation integrals (like RV integrals at NNLO)



### **Collinear Expansion and IBPs**



Reverse Unitarity

11

- Simplifications w.r.t. full kinematics are huge and enter at each step:
  - IBPs (smaller set of MI, smaller coefficients)

kinematic dependence!

- $\circ \quad \mbox{System of DE} \quad (e.g. \sim 10 \mbox{ MB for differential N3LO in collinear limit} \\ vs \ \sim 10 \mbox{ GB in full kinematics} )$
- Space of functions (e.g. @N3LO: Elliptic functions for inclusive color singlet production in full kinematics vs only HPL for  $q_T$  distributions in collinear limit)

# Collinear expansion of cross sections: Applications

### **Collinear expansion of cross sections: Applications**



### Applications: EEC in the back-to-back limit

#### "TMD Fragmentation Functions at N3LO" [2012.07853] "The EEC in the back-to-back limit at N3LO and N3LL'" [2012.07859]



### **Energy-Energy Correlation**

• Interesting observable involving measurements on QCD final state radiation is the Energy-Energy Correlation (EEC)

$$\operatorname{EEC}(\chi) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\chi} = \sum_{i,j} \int \mathrm{d}\sigma_{e^+e^- \to ij+X} \, \frac{E_i E_j}{Q^2} \, \delta(\cos\theta_{ij} - \cos\chi)$$



- Measures angle χ between pairs of color charged particles, weighted by energy
- One of the oldest IRC safe observables proposed [Basham, Brown, Ellis, Love, PRL 41, 1585 (1978)]

# **Energy-Energy Correlation: Motivations**



### **Energy-Energy Correlation: End Points**

• It has singular structure and logarithmic enhancement at both end points



- The two limits have very different structure (no symmetry between them)
- Single logarithmic series in small angle limit

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}z} \stackrel{z \to 0}{\sim} \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{L-1} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^L c_{L,m} \frac{\mathrm{log}^m z}{z}$$

• **Double logarithmic** series at  $z \rightarrow 1$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}z} \stackrel{z \to 1}{\sim} \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{2L-1} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^L d_{L,m} \frac{\mathrm{log}^m(1-z)}{(1-z)}$$

- Plus contact terms ~  $\delta(1-z)$ ,  $\delta(z)$
- We can derive factorization theorems at both ends in SCET for resummation

### EEC in the back to back limit (in QCD)



(After analytic continuation, UV, SCET-II, rapidity regularization and IR  $\dots$ )

### Fully differential calculation in collinear limit @N3L0

• We calculated the collinear expansion of the partonic cross section for DY and Higgs @N3LO <u>differential</u> in  $(Q_T, \tau, z)$ 



- $\circ~\mathrm{RVV}$ : known in full kinematics ]
- RRV: 170 Master Integrals



- RRR: **320** Master Integrals
- 2 scales (dependence on small scale in collinear limit trivializes), algebraic dependence on variables
- Still well beyond the current level of technology for standard algorithmic techniques

How did we solve the system of DE?

- $\circ$  We constructed dLog integrand basis for coupled systems on the maximal cut
- We generalized to multiple variables with algebraic dependence Roman Lee's algorithm to obtain ε-form
- Boundaries from differential soft integrals
- $\circ$  Result has large non-rational alphabet

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A} &= \{1-x,x,z-x(z-1),x(z-1)+1,x(z-1)+2,x(z-1)-z+2,(z-1)x-x+1,x(z-1)^2+2(z-1)+1, \\ & \left(x(z-1)^2+4x(z-1)+4\right)z+(z+1)\sqrt{x^2(z-1)^3+5x^2(z-1)^2+8x(z-1)+4}\sqrt{z}, \\ & z\sqrt{x(z-1)+1}+\sqrt{z}\sqrt{x(z-1)^2-3x(z-1)+z}, \sqrt{x(z-1)+1}\sqrt{z}\sqrt{x(z-1)^2-3x(z-1)+z}-(x(z-1)-1)z, \end{split}$$

 "Mother" calculation. Project/integrate to obtain Beam Functions, TMDFF, EEC Jet Function

$$J_q\left(b_T, \mu, \frac{\nu}{Q}\right) = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\zeta \zeta \sum_i \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{qi}\left(\zeta, \vec{b}_T, \mu, \frac{\nu}{\omega_b}\right)$$

$$\sim \sum_i \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\zeta \zeta \mathcal{F}\left\{\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}w_2 \lim_{\text{strict coll.}} \left[\delta\left[q_T^2 - Q^2(1-\zeta)w_2(1-x)\right] \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\eta}_{\bar{q}+h\to i+X}}{\mathrm{d}w_1 \mathrm{d}w_2 \mathrm{d}x}\right]_{w_1=-\frac{1-\zeta}{\zeta}}\right]$$

### EEC in the back to back limit in QCD @N3LO

- Thanks to our calculation we have the full behaviour of the EEC for  $z \to 1$ in QCD up to  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$  analytically
- This result is for electron-positron annihilation but we have derived also the case of gluon induced Higgs decay
- Having full analytic control of result we can compare to *N*=4 where EEC is already known at this order. [Korchemsky; Chicherin, Henn, Sokatchev, Yar Simmons Duffin, Kologlu, Kravchuk, Zhiboedoy
- We observe that the principle of maximal transcendentality holds also at this order!
- This also gives very non-trivial cross check involving Gluon EEC, Quark EEC and EEC in  $\mathcal{N}{=}4$

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{C_F} \frac{d\sigma^{(3)}}{dz} &= -4C_F^2 \mathcal{L}_5(z) \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_4(z) \Big[ -30C_F^2 - \frac{220}{9} C_F C_A + \frac{40}{9} C_F n_f \Big] \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_3(z) \Big[ C_F^2(-16\zeta_2 - 104) + \frac{88}{9} C_F n_f + C_F C_A \Big( -16\zeta_2 - \frac{388}{9} \Big) \\ &- \frac{242}{9} C_A^2 + \frac{89}{8} C_A n_f - \frac{8}{9} n_f^2 \Big] \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_2(z) \Big[ C_F^2(-144\zeta_2 - 16\zeta_3 - 189) + C_F C_A \Big( -\frac{592}{3}\zeta_2 - 72\zeta_3 + \frac{244}{3} \Big) \\ &+ C_F n_f \Big( \frac{88}{3} \zeta_2 - \frac{40}{3} \Big) + C_A^2 \Big( \frac{2471}{27} - \frac{88}{3} \zeta_2 \Big) \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_4 n_f \Big( \frac{16}{3} \zeta_2 - \frac{760}{9} \Big) + \frac{44n_f^2}{7} \Big] \\ \mathbf{S} \mathbf{C} &+ \mathcal{L}_1(z) \Big[ C_F^2 \Big( -\frac{542}{3} - 412\zeta_2 + 224\zeta_3 - 192\zeta_4 \Big) \\ &+ C_F n_f \Big( \frac{536}{9} \zeta_2 + \frac{32}{3} \zeta_3 - \frac{479}{9} \Big) + C_A^2 \Big( -\frac{916}{9} \zeta_2 - 44\zeta_4 - \frac{2354}{81} \Big) \\ &+ C_F n_f \Big( \frac{536}{9} \zeta_2 + \frac{32}{3} \zeta_3 - \frac{479}{9} \Big) + C_A^2 \Big( -\frac{916}{9} \zeta_2 - 44\zeta_4 - \frac{2354}{81} \Big) \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_6(z) \Big[ C_F^2 \Big( 64\zeta_3 \zeta_2 - 402\zeta_2 + 332\zeta_3 - 552\zeta_4 + 48\zeta_5 - \frac{169}{2} \Big) \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_6(z) \Big[ C_F^2 \Big( 64\zeta_3 \zeta_2 - 402\zeta_2 + 332\zeta_3 - 552\zeta_4 + 48\zeta_5 - \frac{169}{2} \Big) \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_6(z) \Big[ C_F^2 \Big( 64\zeta_3 \zeta_2 - 402\zeta_2 + \frac{212}{9} \zeta_2 - \frac{2812}{9} \zeta_3 - \frac{1342}{3} \zeta_4 - 120\zeta_5 + \frac{3358}{9} \Big) \\ &+ C_F C_A \Big( -128\zeta_3 \zeta_2 + \frac{212}{9} \zeta_2 - \frac{2812}{9} \zeta_3 - \frac{1342}{3} \zeta_4 - 120\zeta_5 + \frac{3358}{9} \Big) \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_6(z) \Big[ C_F^2 \Big( \frac{4220}{9} \zeta_2 - \frac{596}{9} \zeta_3 + \frac{326}{3} \zeta_4 - 40\zeta_5 - \frac{4241}{27} \Big) \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_8(z) \Big[ C_F^2 \Big( -\frac{37}{3} - \frac{1049}{9} \zeta_2 + \frac{530}{9} \zeta_3 + 512\zeta_2 \zeta_3 - 64\zeta_3^2 - 1396\zeta_4 + \frac{3136}{3} \zeta_5 - 672\zeta_6 \Big) \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_7 \Big( \frac{1169}{27} \zeta_2 + \frac{16}{9} \zeta_3 - \frac{92}{3} \zeta_4 + \frac{3142}{27} \Big) \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_7 \Big( \frac{1169}{27} \zeta_2 + \frac{16}{9} \zeta_3 - \frac{3326}{27} \zeta_3 - \frac{436}{5} \zeta_5 - \frac{224}{3} \zeta_2 \zeta_3 \Big) \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_8 \Big( \frac{15626}{81} - \frac{3326}{81} \zeta_2 - \frac{3327}{27} \zeta_3 - \frac{3815}{8} \zeta_4 - \frac{272}{3} \zeta_5 - \frac{700}{3} \zeta_2 \zeta_3 + 59\zeta_6 - 56\zeta_3^2 \Big) \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_7 \Big( \frac{1648}{81} - \frac{16}{81} \zeta_2 - \frac{464}{27} \zeta_3 - \frac{16}{9} \zeta_3 \Big] . 20 \\ \end{aligned}$$

### Peeking into the bulk of the EEC: N=2 moment

• Thanks to our calculation we have obtained the full  $\delta(1-z)$  coefficient at  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ 



- Use **sum rule** and new calculation to extract moment of the entire EEC distribution.
- At O(α<sub>s</sub><sup>3</sup>) the EEC for electron-positron annihilation in QCD is only known numerically (with significant uncertainties)
- First analytic information about the bulk of the EEC in QCD at this order
- $$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_{0}} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}z \, z \, \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{e^{+}e^{-}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\mathrm{d}z} \Big|_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{3})} \\ &= C_{F}^{3} \left( 64\zeta_{s}^{2} + 672\zeta_{6} 496\zeta_{2}\zeta_{3} \frac{3616}{3}\zeta_{5} + \frac{4778\zeta_{4}}{3} 210\zeta_{3} + \frac{99397}{216}\zeta_{2} \frac{3809015}{7776} \right) \\ &+ C_{F}n_{f}^{2} \left( \frac{16}{9}\zeta_{4} + \frac{56}{27}\zeta_{3} + \frac{3094}{405}\zeta_{2} + \frac{156437}{13500} \right) \\ &+ C_{F}C_{A}^{2} \left( 56\zeta_{3}^{2} 59\zeta_{6} + \frac{628}{3}\zeta_{2}\zeta_{3} + \frac{2212}{3}\zeta_{5} \frac{1768}{9}\zeta_{4} \frac{438601}{270}\zeta_{3} + \frac{7930931}{8100}\zeta_{2} \frac{96056179}{180000} \right) \\ &- C_{F}^{2}C_{A} \left( 64\zeta_{3}^{2} + 22\zeta_{6} 232\zeta_{2}\zeta_{3} 8\zeta_{5} + \frac{19883}{18}\zeta_{4} \frac{74378}{45}\zeta_{3} + \frac{392641}{216}\zeta_{2} \frac{113349701}{51840} \right) \\ &+ C_{F}C_{A}n_{f} \left( -72\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2} \frac{200}{3}\zeta_{5} + \frac{812}{9}\zeta_{4} + \frac{61169}{270}\zeta_{3} \frac{3933857}{16200}\zeta_{2} + \frac{167350393}{2160000} \right) \\ &+ C_{F}^{2}n_{f} \left( \frac{224}{3}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2} + \frac{128}{3}\zeta_{5} \frac{34}{9}\zeta_{4} \frac{7726}{45}\zeta_{3} + \frac{98803}{360}\zeta_{2} \frac{406426043}{972000} \right) \\ &+ \frac{d_{abc}d^{abc}}{N_{R}} N_{F,V} \left( \frac{40}{3}\zeta_{5} + \frac{\zeta_{4}}{2} \frac{19}{3}\zeta_{3} 5\zeta_{2} \frac{1}{6} \right), \end{split}$$
- We have presented results also for the Higgs EEC where there is not even a numerical result at this order

### The EEC at N3LL` in the back-to-back limit

• The EEC Jet Function at N3L0 we have calculated, was the <u>last missing ingredient</u> for resummation at N3LL` accuracy.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}z} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_0}{2} \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{Hard Function}}{H_{q\bar{q}}(Q,\mu)}}_{f} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \vec{b}_T \,\mathrm{d}^2 \vec{q}_T}{(2\pi)^2} e^{\mathrm{i}\vec{q}_T \cdot \vec{b}_T} \,\delta\left(1 - z - \frac{q_T^2}{Q^2}\right) \underbrace{J_q\left(b_T, \mu, \frac{\nu}{Q}\right) J_{\bar{q}}\left(b_T, \mu, \frac{\nu}{Q}\right)}_{\text{EEC}} \underbrace{\int \tilde{S}_q(b_T, \mu, \nu)}_{\tilde{S}_q(b_T, \mu, \nu)}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\ln\mu} \ln H_i(Q,\mu) = \gamma_H^i(Q,\mu) ,$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\ln\mu} \ln J_i(b_T,\mu,\nu/Q) = \tilde{\gamma}_J^i(\mu,\nu/Q) ,$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\ln\mu} \ln \tilde{S}_i(b_T,\mu,\nu) = \tilde{\gamma}_S^i(\mu,\nu) ,$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\ln\nu}\ln J_i(b_T,\mu,\nu/Q) = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\gamma}^i_\nu(b_T,\mu)$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\ln\nu}\ln\tilde{S}_i(b_T,\mu,\nu) = \tilde{\gamma}^i_\nu(b_T,\mu).$$

Accuracy	H,J,S	$\Gamma_{ m cusp}(\alpha_s)$	$\gamma(\alpha_s)$	$\beta(\alpha_s)$
LL	Tree level	1-loop		1-loop
NLL	Tree level	2-loop	1-loop	2-loop
NLL'	1-loop	2-loop	1-loop	2-loop
NNLL	1-loop	3-loop	2-loop	3-loop
NNLL'	2-loop	3-loop	2-loop	3-loop
N <sup>3</sup> LL	2-loop	4-loop	3-loop	4-loop
$N^{3}LL'$	3-loop	4-loop	3-loop	4-loop

 H, J, S referred as "boundary" because they give the boundary conditions of the RGE differential equations. In conformal theories, no distinction of scales grouped into one object H

	EEC	Jet	Funct	ions
--	-----	-----	-------	------

### The EEC at N3LL` in the back-to-back limit

• Combining everything we get the EEC spectrum in the back-to-back limit at N3LL`



• Hadronization/non perturbative effects known to be sizable. Important to have control on perturbative result to disentangle effects for extraction of  $\alpha_s$ 

### Conclusion

2.5

 $\mathbf{2.0}$ 

1.5

1.0

0.5

0.0

150

 $(1/\sigma_0) \, \mathrm{d}\sigma/\mathrm{d}\chi$ 

# Collinear expansion of cross sections

Modern multiloop techniques can be used to efficiently compute cross sections in collinear limit

# Applications

- ➤ Large variety of applications for this method:
  - Approximation of differential distributions
     [Higgs Rapidity at the LHC, fully differential cross sections, ...]
  - Anomalous dimensions, initial and final state collinear QCD building blocks [Beam Functions, TMDPDFs, TMD Fragmentation Functions, ...]
- Calculated EEC Jet Function at N3LO and obtained resummation at N3LL` in the back-to-back limit
- > Obtained moment of the full angular distribution at  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$  analytically via EEC sum rules!



### Conclusion

 $\mathbf{2.0}$ 

1.5

1.0

0.5

0.0

150

 $(1/\sigma_0) \, \mathrm{d}\sigma/\mathrm{d}\chi$ 

# Collinear expansion of cross sections

Modern multiloop techniques can be used to efficiently compute cross sections in collinear limit

# Applications

- ➤ Large variety of applications for this method:
  - Approximation of differential distributions
     [Higgs Rapidity at the LHC, fully differential cross sections, ...]
  - Anomalous dimensions, initial and final state collinear QCD building blocks [Beam Functions, TMDPDFs, TMD Fragmentation Functions, ...]
- Calculated EEC Jet Function at N3LO and obtained resummation at N3LL` in the back-to-back limit
- > Obtained moment of the full angular distribution at  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$  analytically via EEC sum rules!





 $\mathbf{p}_2$ 

 $\mathbf{p}_3$ 

# Backup

### Expansion in kinematic limits

#### Kinematic limits can be exploited in different ways

### **Factorization theorems**

- Understand **all order** structure of QCD in that limit
- Identify universal objects
- Improves predictions via **resummation** of infinite towers of terms

[See all SCET literature and beyond]

 Expansion of resummed results → data /cross checks for higher order calculations

### **Fixed order calculations**

- Ingredients entering the calculation dramatically simplify (see for example first N3LO results for inclusive XS from Threshold expansion [Anastasiou, Duhr, Dulat, Herzog, Mistlberger 1503.06056])
- Inclusion of subleading terms is not particularly difficult ([Anastasiou, Duhr, Dulat, Herzog, Mistlberger] had >30 terms in threshold expansion, all order factorization at NLP in threshold still in progress)
- Obtain predictions at a perturbative order not reachable in full kinematics
- Kinematic expansions of XS → data for subleading power factorization theorems

**They feed into each other:** use fixed order in the kinematic limit to obtain universal objects identified by EFT. Examples: Beam Functions, TMDFFs, EEC Jet Functions at N3LO [Ebert, Mistlberger, GV]<sup>27</sup>

### Collinear Expansion of cross sections

- 1. Repeat procedure for all diagrams to obtain expansion of **partonic** cross section
- 2. With the expanded partonic cross section, construct an **expansion** of the **hadronic** cross section around collinear limit of radiation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}Q^{2}\mathrm{d}Y\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}} = \lambda^{-2}\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{(0)}}{\mathrm{d}Q^{2}\mathrm{d}Y\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}} + \lambda^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{(1)}}{\mathrm{d}Q^{2}\mathrm{d}Y\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}} + \dots$$

• Note: Translation to expansion of hadronic cross section is straightforward knowing relations to hadronic variables

$$x_{1} = \frac{x_{1}^{B}}{z_{1}} = x_{1}^{B} \left[ \sqrt{1 + (k_{T}/Q)^{2}} - \frac{\bar{n} \cdot k}{Q} e^{-Y} \right]$$

$$x_{2} = \frac{x_{2}^{B}}{z_{2}} = x_{2}^{B} \left[ \sqrt{1 + (k_{T}/Q)^{2}} - \frac{n \cdot k}{Q} e^{+Y} \right]$$

$$\frac{d\eta_{ij}(y_{1}, y_{2})}{dQ^{2}dYd\mathcal{T}} = \int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{\infty} dw_{1} dw_{2} \,\delta\left(y_{1} - z_{1}\right) \,\delta\left(y_{2} - z_{2}\right)$$

$$\times \,\delta\left[\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}(Q, Y, w_{1}, w_{2}, x)\right] \frac{d\eta_{ij}}{dQ^{2}dw_{1}dw_{2}dx}$$
28

### Applications: Higgs rapidity distribution at the LHC



### Collinear expansion of cross sections: Applications

• We can build **approximation of hadronic differential cross section** by combining the expansions in different limits!

$$\frac{\sigma^{\text{appr.}}}{\mathrm{d}Q^2\mathrm{d}Y\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}} \sim \frac{\sigma^{(n)}}{\mathrm{d}Q^2\mathrm{d}Y\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}} + \frac{\sigma^{(\bar{n})}}{\mathrm{d}Q^2\mathrm{d}Y\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}} - \frac{\sigma^{(s)}}{\mathrm{d}Q^2\mathrm{d}Y\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}} + \cdots$$

- Expansion in opposite collinear direction is obtained via simple swap
- Soft expansion in general simpler than (or subset of) collinear one
- Soft expansion necessary to remove overlap [zero bin subtraction] (more complications at cross section level if observable  $\tau$  admits different expansion in soft vs collinear limit)

# Approximation of Higgs Rapidity Spectrum at LHC

• Use this framework to compute an approximation to the Higgs Rapidity distribution



- NNLO rapidity spectrum is known exactly, we can compare how quickly our approximation converges to exact result.
- <u>Collinear expansion of rapidity distribution works really well</u>!
- **Outlook:** compute collinear approximation of rapidity distribution at N3LO where <u>no exact result</u> is available. Supplement N3LO threshold approximation [Dulat, Mistlberger, Pelloni]

### **Extension to Final State Radiation**

- Can we generalize our method beyond color singlet production in proton-proton collisions to study **final state** radiation?
- Look at fully differential <u>Semi-Inclusive Deep Inelastic Scattering</u> (SIDIS), production of an identified hadron H in DIS



- Study the case of radiation collinear to **final state** hadron
- Can we relate it via crossing symmetry to the expansion of color-singlet production cross sections in pp?

$$\underbrace{p(p_1) + h(q) \to p(-p_2) + X(-k)}_{Q_1(p_1) \to p(p_2) \to k(-q) + X(-k)} \longleftrightarrow \underbrace{p(p_1) + p(p_2) \to h(-q) + X(-k)}_{Q_2(p_1) \to p(-p_2) + X(-k)}$$

Semi-Inclusive DIS

DY/Higgs production in pp

32

### SIDIS from production in pp

• Yes! In our formalism we can obtain SIDIS from color singlet production at the LHC



### Analytic Continuation

• Crossing symmetry for SIDIS (and similarly for decay processes)

 $\underbrace{p(p_1) + h(q) \to p(-p_2) + X(-k)}_{\text{Semi-Inclusive DIS}} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \underbrace{p(p_1) + p(p_2) \to h(-q) + X(-k)}_{\text{DY/Higgs production in pp}}$ 

- Variables we are differential in change sign under crossing!
- Therefore, crossing means analytically continuing cross section in those variables
- Mandelstam equipped with prescription  $(p_i + p_j)^2 \rightarrow (p_i + p_j)^2 + i0$
- Partonic cross section branch cut structure can be tracked by organizing it as



### **Extension to Final State Radiation**

- Can we generalize our method beyond color singlet production in proton-proton collisions to study **final state** radiation? **YES!**
- Look at fully differential <u>Semi-Inclusive Deep Inelastic Scattering</u> (SIDIS), production of an identified hadron H in DIS



- Study the case of radiation collinear to **final state** hadron
- Can we relate it via crossing symmetry to the expansion of color-singlet production cross sections in pp? **YES!**

$$\underbrace{p(p_1) + h(q) \to p(-p_2) + X(-k)}_{\text{Semi-Inclusive DIS}} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \underbrace{p(p_1) + p(p_2) \to h(-q) + X(-k)}_{\text{DY/Higgs production in pp}}$$

35

### Resummation of the EEC in the back-to-back limit

• Extending method of collinear expansion of cross sections to processes with final state color charged particles we were able to calculate EEC Jet Function at N3L0

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}z} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_0}{2} \underbrace{\overbrace{H_{q\bar{q}}(Q,\mu)}^{\mathrm{Hard Function}}}_{\mathrm{EEC Jet Functions}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \vec{b}_T \, \mathrm{d}^2 \vec{q}_T}{(2\pi)^2} e^{\mathrm{i}\vec{q}_T \cdot \vec{b}_T} \, \delta \left(1 - z - \frac{q_T^2}{Q^2}\right) \underbrace{J_q\left(b_T, \mu, \frac{\nu}{Q}\right) J_{\bar{q}}\left(b_T, \mu, \frac{\nu}{Q}\right)}_{\mathrm{EEC Jet Functions}} \underbrace{\overbrace{\tilde{S}_q(b_T, \mu, \nu)}^{\mathrm{TMD Soft Function}}}_{\mathrm{EEC Jet Functions}}$$

- SCET allows to resum large logs appearing in this limit.
- Each function obeys renormalization group equations (RGEs)

Anomalous dimensions obtained by poles of calculation in the EFT (known in the literature, rechecked in our calculation)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\ln\mu}\ln H_i(Q,\mu) = \gamma_H^i(Q,\mu),$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\ln\mu}\ln J_i(b_T,\mu,\nu/Q) = \tilde{\gamma}_J^i(\mu,\nu/Q),$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\ln\mu}\ln \tilde{S}_i(b_T,\mu,\nu) = \tilde{\gamma}_S^i(\mu,\nu),$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\ln\mu}\ln \tilde{S}_i(b_T,\mu,\nu) = \tilde{\gamma}_S^i(\mu,\nu),$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\ln\nu}\ln \tilde{S}_i(b_T,\mu,\nu) = \tilde{\gamma}_V^i(b_T,\mu).$$
Rapidity Renormalization Group Equations

• Running of operators resum logs as for running coupling in standard QFT

### The EEC at N3LL`: Resummation

• Resummed cross section takes the following form

Fourier Transform. Nothing important  

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dz} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_0}{8} \int_0^{\infty} d(b_T Q)^2 J_0(b_T Q \sqrt{1-z}) \underbrace{H_{q\bar{q}}(Q,\mu_H) J_q(b_T,\mu_J,\frac{\nu_J}{Q}) J_{\bar{q}}(b_T,\mu_J,\frac{\nu_J}{Q})}_{W_{\bar{q}}(b_T,\mu_J,\frac{\nu_J}{Q})} \underbrace{\tilde{S}_q(b_T,\mu_S,\nu_S)}_{W_{\bar{q}}(b_T,\mu_S,\nu_S)} \times \exp\left[\underbrace{\int_{\mu_H}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_H^q(Q,\mu')}_{\text{running of Hard function}} + \underbrace{2\int_{\mu_J}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \tilde{\gamma}_J^q(\mu',\nu_J/Q)}_{\mu\text{-running of Jet function}} + \underbrace{\int_{\mu_S}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \tilde{\gamma}_S^q(\mu',\nu_S)}_{\mu\text{-running of Soft function}} \right] \underbrace{\left(\frac{\nu_J}{\nu_S}\right)^{\tilde{\gamma}_{\nu}^q(b_T,\mu)}}_{\text{running in rapidity}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{\nu_J}{\nu_S}\right)^{\tilde{\gamma}_{\nu}^q(b_T,\mu)}}_{\mu\text{-running of Soft function}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{\nu_J}{\nu_S}\right)^{\tilde{\gamma}_{\nu}^q(b_T,\mu)}}_{\mu\text{-running in rapidity}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{\nu_J}{\nu_S}\right)^{\tilde{\gamma}_{\nu}^q(b_T,\mu)}_{\mu\text{-running in rapidity}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{\nu_J}{\nu_S}\right)^{\tilde{\gamma}_{\nu}^q(b_T,\mu)}_{\mu\text{-running in rapidity}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{\nu_J}{\nu_S}\right)^{\tilde{\gamma}_{\nu}^q(b_T,\mu)}_{\mu\text{-running in rapidity}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{\nu_J}{\nu_S}\right)^{\tilde{\gamma}_{\mu}^q(b_T,\mu)}_{\mu\text{-running in rapidity}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{\nu_$$

"Canonical" choice of scales for the boundaries is the one that minimizes all the logs in them

$$\mu_H \sim Q \,, \quad \mu_J \sim \frac{b_0}{b_T} \,, \quad \mu_S \sim \frac{b_0}{b_T} \,,$$
$$\nu_J \sim Q \,, \qquad \nu_S \sim \frac{b_0}{b_T} \,,$$



Running with Rapidity RGE is in 2-d plane, but it is path independent [Chiu, Jain, Neill, Rothstein] 37

### The EEC at N3LL`: Uncertainty estimation

- Estimate of uncertainty obtained by:
  - Hard, jet, soft (and rapidities) scale variation by a factor of 2.
     Envelope of 35 scale variations (Configurations with ratios of scales >2 or <1/2 discarded) (arguably standard procedure for multiscale resummaton in SCET) [Stewart, Tackmann, Walsh, Zuberi] (2013)</li>
  - $\circ$  Variation of b\* prescription
- Roughly 4% uncertainty around peak region
- Significant reduction of uncertainty compared to lower orders



### Literature Comparison on Uncertainties

- Previous works in the literature make use of *quite non conservative* scheme to estimate resummation uncertainties and obtain ~ 8% uncertainty at NNLL.
- Applying a similar scheme to our N3LL` result we obtain a 0.5% uncertainty



### Peeking into the bulk of the EEC: Sum rules

• Remarkably, the EEC obeys interesting sum rules

 $\int_{0}^{1} dz \frac{d\sigma}{dz} = \sigma \qquad \begin{array}{l} \mbox{[Simmons Duffin, Kologlu, Kravchuk, Zhiboedov; Korchemsky; Moult, Dixon, Zhu]} \\ \int_{0}^{1} dz z \frac{d\sigma}{dz} = \int_{0}^{1} dz (1-z) \frac{d\sigma}{dz} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma \end{array}$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}z} = V_0\delta(z) + \left[\frac{\phi_0(z)}{z}\right]_+ + V_1\delta(1-z) + \left[\frac{\phi_1(z)}{1-z}\right]_+ + \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\mathrm{d}z}$$

 General structure of the endpoints is know order by order

$$\sigma = \hat{\sigma}_0 \sum_n \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^n R^{(n)} \qquad \qquad \phi_0(z) = \hat{\sigma}_0 \sum_n \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^n \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} c_0^{(n,m)} \log^m z$$

$$V_0 = \hat{\sigma}_0 \sum_n \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^n V_0^{(n)} \qquad \qquad \phi_1(z) = \hat{\sigma}_0 \sum_n \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^n \sum_{m=0}^{2n-1} c_1^{(n,m)} \log^m (1-z)$$

• Derive powerful relations between ingredients living at different angles of the EEC

$$\begin{split} R^{(n)} &= V_0^{(n)} + V_1^{(n)} + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_0} \int \mathrm{d}z \; \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\mathrm{d}z} \Big|_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^n)} \\ \frac{R^{(n)}}{2} &= V_1^{(n)} + \sum_{m=0}^{2n-1} (-1)^m m! \big[ c_0^{(n,m)} - c_1^{(n,m)} \big] + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_0} \int \mathrm{d}z \; z \; \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\mathrm{d}z} \Big|_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^n)} \\ \frac{R^{(n)}}{2} &= V_0^{(n)} + \sum_{m=0}^{2n-1} (-1)^m m! \big[ c_1^{(n,m)} - c_0^{(n,m)} \big] + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_0} \int \mathrm{d}z \; (1-z) \; \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\mathrm{d}z} \Big|_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^n)} \end{split}$$

### Applications: Beam Functions at N3LO

"N-Jettiness Beam Functions at N3LO" [2006.03056] "Transverse momentum dependent PDFs at N3LO" [2006.05329] "Calculation of Differential Collinear Expansions at N3LO" [in preparation]



### **Beam Functions**

- **Beam Functions: universal gauge invariant matrix elements** encoding collinear dynamics of protons and initial state radiation in the presence of multiple measurements
- Can be understood as a generalization of a **Parton Distribution Functions** (PDFs)

**PDF:** 
$$f_q(x) = \langle p_n | \bar{\chi}_n \frac{\not{n}}{2} [\delta(p^- - \bar{n} \cdot \mathcal{P}) \chi_n] | p_n \rangle$$

**Beam Function:**  $B_q(x, \mathcal{T}) = \langle p_n | \bar{\chi}_n \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \delta(p^- - \bar{n} \cdot \mathcal{P}) \, \delta(\mathcal{T} - \hat{\mathcal{T}}) \, \chi_n \right] | p_n \rangle$ 

$$\sigma_{pp \to X} \sim \int f_a(x_1) f_b(x_2) \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{ab \to X} \qquad \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}} \sim \int H\mathcal{B}_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\bar{n}} \otimes S + \dots$$

Inclusive

More differential

### **Beam Functions**

• **Beam Functions** enter the factorization for many LHC observables (N-Jettiness, Transverse momentum distributions [TMDPDFs], Transverse Energy-Energy Correlator, Double Differential Distributions...)

Leading power factorization for **Transverse-Momentum Distribution**  $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}Q^2\mathrm{d}Y\mathrm{d}^2\vec{q}_T} = \sigma_0 \sum_{i,i} H_{ij}(Q^2,\mu) \int \mathrm{d}^2\vec{b}_T \, e^{\mathrm{i}\,\vec{q}_T\cdot\vec{b}_T} \left( \tilde{B}_i\left(x_1^B,b_T,\mu,\frac{\nu}{\omega_a}\right) \tilde{B}_j\left(x_2^B,b_T,\mu,\frac{\nu}{\omega_b}\right) \tilde{S}(b_T,\mu,\nu) \right)$ **q**<sub>m</sub> Beam Functions Leading power factorization for **Beam Thrust**  $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}Q^2\mathrm{d}Y\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_0} = \sigma_0 \sum_{i,i} H_{ab}(Q^2,\mu) \int \mathrm{d}t_a \,\mathrm{d}t_b B_a(t_a,x_1^B,\mu) B_b(t_b,x_2^B,\mu) S\Big(\mathcal{T}_0 - \frac{t_a}{Q_a} - \frac{t_b}{Q_b},\mu\Big)$ **7** Beam Functions

- Factorization theorems encode singular structure of color singlet diff. distr. at LHC
- Knowing them at N3LO allows to obtain fully differential distributions at Q, Subtraction: [Catani, Grazzini] **N3L0** via slicing methods **N-Jettiness Subtraction:**

[Gaunt, Stahlhofen, Tackmann, Walsh] [Boughezal, Focke, Petriello, Liu]

Subtractions can be systematically improved by analytically computing power correction

## From Expanded XS to BFs: Projections and checks



### Beam Functions Results

We have obtained full matching kernel for all **quark** and **gluon** channels

### **N-Jettiness Beam Function**

• New space of functions: HPL are not sufficient. Iterated integrals with non-rational alphabet:

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \frac{1}{z}, \frac{1}{1-z}, \frac{1}{2-z}, \frac{1}{1+z}, \frac{1}{z}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{4-z}\sqrt{z}} \right\}$$



#### **TMD Beam Function**

- Quark result available in the literature [Luo, Yang, Zhu, Zhu 1912.05778]
   o discrepancy for one color structure in all quark-quark channels
  - Agreement for all the other terms
- Both quark and gluon matching kernels can be expressed in terms of only HPLs



- N3LO corrections have non trivial z dependence
- Channels give roughly 0.5-2% correction on top of NNLO 45
- More complete analysis needed to assess impact of N3LO terms

### **Beam Function Matching kernels**

#### **N-Jettiness Beam Function**



#### **TMD Beam Function**



 $\boldsymbol{z}$ 

 $\boldsymbol{z}$ 

### Strong coupling extraction

- Reference point for the value is conventionally chosen to be 91.2 GeV, the mass of the Z boson.
- Many different ways proposed, but most precise measurements come from:
  - PDF Fits
  - Event shapes
  - Lattice
- Precision is at the (half) **percent** level (to be compared to the 10<sup>-8</sup> of the QED coupling)!
- World average combining everything is

$$\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.1176 \pm 0.0011$$





47