Soft theorems and massive recursion relations

Camila S. Machado

(#2005.08981 with A. Falkowski)





Soft Amplitudes



$$\mathcal{M}_{n+1}^{\pm 1} = \left(\frac{S_{\pm 1}^{(0)}}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{S_{\pm 1}^{(1)}}{\epsilon}\right) \mathcal{M}_n, \qquad \mathcal{M}_{n+1}^{\pm 2} = \left(\frac{S_{\pm 2}^{(0)}}{\epsilon^3} + \frac{S_{\pm 2}^{(1)}}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{S_{\pm 2}^{(2)}}{\epsilon}\right) \mathcal{M}_n$$

- Connection with basic properties such as charge conservation and equivalence principle
 Weinberg '65
 Elvang, Jones, Naculich '16
- Connection with asymptotic symmetries
 Strominger '17
- Connection with Adler zero and special EFTs
- Rodina '18 Cheung et al '16
- Elvang et al '18 ...

Soft Theorems via recursion relations



Summary

1. Extend the soft+BCFW shift allowing massive particles;

2. Soft Theorems with massive spinors

- a. Gravitational multipole
- b. Soft Exponentiation

3. (Gravitational) Compton scattering and spurious poles

1. Massive recursion



 ϵ is a small parameter controlling soft limit on the massless particle 0 **z** is a holomorphic variable and an auxiliary tool to derive recursion relations

$$q_0^2 = q_j^2 = q_k^2 = q_0 p_0 = q_j p_j = q_k p_k = 0$$
 On-shell conditions
 $q_j p_0 = q_k p_0 = q_j q_k = q_0 q_j = q_0 q_k = 0$ Momentum conservation

We can solve for the shift spinors **q** for the cases where the shifted particles are massive or massless (for all massless we recover the same shift as Elvang, Jones, Naculich)

$$\{\mathbf{0}\mathbf{\bar{m}}\mathbf{\bar{m}}\}: \qquad \begin{aligned} q_0\sigma = \mathbf{y}\tilde{\lambda}_0, \qquad q_j\sigma = p_j\sigma\tilde{\lambda}_0\tilde{\lambda}_0, \qquad q_k\sigma = p_k\sigma\tilde{\lambda}_0\tilde{\lambda}_0, \\ \lambda_0^z &= \epsilon\lambda_0 - \mathbf{z}\mathbf{y}, \\ \tilde{\chi}_j^z &= \tilde{\chi}_j + \frac{(\epsilon-1)\langle 0p_k 0] - \mathbf{z}\langle \mathbf{y}p_k 0]}{[0p_j p_k 0]} [\mathbf{j}0]\tilde{\lambda}_0, \\ \tilde{\chi}_k^z &= \tilde{\chi}_k - \frac{(\epsilon-1)\langle 0p_j 0] - \mathbf{z}\langle \mathbf{y}p_j 0]}{[0p_j p_k 0]} [\mathbf{k}0]\tilde{\lambda}_0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{0}\overline{\mathbf{m}}\overline{\mathbf{0}} \} & \lambda_0^z = \epsilon \lambda_0 - zy, \\ \tilde{\chi}_j^z = \tilde{\chi}_j - \frac{(\epsilon - 1)\langle k0 \rangle - z \langle ky \rangle}{\langle kp_j 0]} [\mathbf{j}\tilde{0}]\tilde{\lambda}_0, \\ \tilde{\lambda}_k^z = \tilde{\lambda}_k - \frac{(\epsilon - 1)\langle 0p_j 0] - z \langle yp_j 0]}{\langle kp_j 0]} \tilde{\lambda}_0. \end{cases}$$

(we use the massive spinor formalism of Arkani-Hamed, Huang and Huang, so the SU(2) little group indices are omitted and bold spinors are massive)



2. Massive Soft Theorems



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}S^{(1)}_{+2} \sim i\frac{(y\sigma^{\mu}\tilde{\lambda}_{0})y}{\langle y0\rangle^{3}}p_{0}^{\nu}\sum_{l=0}^{n}J^{\mu\nu}_{l} = 0 \quad J^{\mu\nu}_{l} \equiv \frac{i}{4}\left(\chi_{l}\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial\chi_{l}}-\chi_{l}\sigma^{\nu}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial\chi_{l}}\right)$$

$$\tilde{\mathscr{D}}_{l} = [\mathbf{0}\mathbf{I}][\mathbf{0}\partial_{\mathbf{I}}] + \frac{[\mathbf{0}p_{k}p_{l}\mathbf{0}]}{[\mathbf{0}p_{j}p_{k}\mathbf{0}]}[\mathbf{0}\mathbf{j}][\mathbf{0}\partial_{\mathbf{j}}] - \frac{[\mathbf{0}p_{j}p_{l}\mathbf{0}]}{[\mathbf{0}p_{j}p_{k}\mathbf{0}]}[\mathbf{0}\mathbf{k}][\mathbf{0}\partial_{\mathbf{k}}]$$

Non-Minimal gravity:

Gravitational-dipole

$$M(120^{+}) = -\frac{1}{M_{\rm Pl}} \frac{\langle \zeta p_1 0]^2}{\langle 0\zeta \rangle^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\langle \mathbf{21} \rangle}{m}\right)^{2S} + \frac{d}{m^3} \frac{\langle \zeta p_1 0]}{\langle 0\zeta \rangle} \left(\frac{\langle \mathbf{21} \rangle}{m}\right)^{2S-1} \langle \mathbf{10} \rangle \langle \mathbf{20} \rangle + \dots \right\}$$

$$\hat{M}^{0,\epsilon}(1...n0^{+}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{S_{+2}^{(0)}}{\epsilon^{3}} + \frac{S_{+2}^{(1)}}{\epsilon^{2}} \end{pmatrix} \hat{M}^{0,0}(1...n) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{1}}\right)$$
Not modified
$$S_{+2}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{M_{\text{Pl}}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{\langle yp_{l}0]}{(2p_{0}p_{l})\langle 0y\rangle} \Big[\delta_{L}^{\overline{j}}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{l} + \frac{d_{l}}{m_{l}^{2}} \delta_{L_{2}..L_{2S_{l}}}^{J_{2}..J_{2S_{l}}}(\tilde{\chi}_{l}^{J_{1}}\tilde{\lambda}_{0})(\tilde{\chi}_{lL_{1}}\tilde{\lambda}_{0}) \Big]$$
BUT
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} S_{+2}^{(1)} \sim \frac{y}{\langle y0\rangle^{2}} \delta_{L_{2}..L_{2S_{l}}}^{J_{2}..J_{2S_{l}}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{d_{l}}{m_{l}^{2}} (\tilde{\chi}_{l}^{J_{1}}\tilde{\lambda}_{0})(\tilde{\chi}_{lL_{1}}\tilde{\lambda}_{0}) \neq 0.$$

Another way to show that gravitational dipole couplings are not consistent with unitarity, locality and Poincare invariance!

Do not affect soft theorems!

Non-Minimal gravity:

Gravitational-quadrupole

$$M(120^{+}) = -\frac{1}{M_{\rm Pl}} \frac{\langle \zeta p_1 0]^2}{\langle 0\zeta \rangle^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\langle \mathbf{21} \rangle}{m}\right)^{2S} + \frac{Q}{2m^6} \frac{\langle \zeta p_1 0]^2}{\langle 0\zeta \rangle^2} \left(\frac{\langle \mathbf{21} \rangle}{m}\right)^{2S-2} \langle \mathbf{10} \rangle^2 \langle \mathbf{20} \rangle^2 + \dots \right\}$$

$$\hat{M}^{0,\epsilon}(1...n0^{+}) = \left(\frac{S_{+2}^{(0)}}{\epsilon^{3}} + \frac{S_{+2}^{(1)}}{\epsilon^{2}} + \frac{S_{+2}^{(2)}}{\epsilon^{1}}\right) \hat{M}^{0,0}(1...n) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{0}}\right)$$
Not modified
Not modified
$$S_{+2}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{4M_{\text{Pl}}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{0}p_{l}} \left[\tilde{\mathscr{D}}_{l}^{2}\delta_{L}^{\vec{j}} + \frac{Q_{l}}{m_{l}^{2}} (\tilde{\chi}_{l}^{l}\tilde{\lambda}_{0})(\tilde{\chi}_{l}^{l}\tilde{\lambda}_{0})(\tilde{\chi}_{lL_{2}}\tilde{\lambda}_{0})\delta_{L_{3}...L_{2S_{l}}}^{J...J_{2S_{l}}}\right]$$
Non-trivial LG structure

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{l} = [0\mathbf{l}][0\partial_{\mathbf{l}}] + \frac{[0p_{k}p_{l}0]}{[0p_{j}p_{k}0]}[0\mathbf{j}][0\partial_{\mathbf{j}}] - \frac{[0p_{j}p_{l}0]}{[0p_{j}p_{k}0]}[0\mathbf{k}][0\partial_{\mathbf{k}}], \quad l \neq j, k,$$

Soft exponentiation:

(Extend the proof of He, Huang, Wen '14 valid only for the MHV sector)

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{1}_X \mathbf{2}_{\bar{X}} \mathbf{3}^h) = g_X \left(\frac{\langle \zeta p_1 \mathbf{3}]}{[3\zeta]}\right)^h \frac{\langle \mathbf{21} \rangle^{2S}}{m^{2S-1+h}}$$

$$\mathcal{M}^{0,\epsilon}(1\dots n0^+) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{1+h} m^{1+h}} \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{g_X \langle yp_l 0]^h}{(2p_0 p_l) \langle 0y \rangle^h} \exp\left(\epsilon \frac{\langle 0y \rangle}{\langle yp_l 0]} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_l\right) \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{0,0}(1\dots n) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0).$$



$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{l} = [0\mathbf{l}][0\partial_{\mathbf{l}}] + \frac{[0p_{k}p_{l}0]}{[0p_{j}p_{k}0]}[0\mathbf{j}][0\partial_{\mathbf{j}}] - \frac{[0p_{j}p_{l}0]}{[0p_{j}p_{k}0]}[0\mathbf{k}][0\partial_{\mathbf{k}}], \quad l \neq j, k,$$

3. Compton scattering

Emission from external legs accounts from all factorisation channels

(we also compute the 5-points amplitudes with 3 photons/gravitons and 4-points in the presence of the dipole/quadrupole couplings)

We can also write the 3-point amplitudes in terms of the angular momentum

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{1}_{X}\mathbf{2}_{\bar{X}}3_{\gamma}^{+}) = \frac{2eq(p_{1}\epsilon_{3}^{+})}{m^{2S}} \exp\left(-i\frac{\epsilon_{3}^{+}\mu p_{3}^{*}J_{1}^{\mu\nu}}{(p_{1}\epsilon_{3}^{+})}\right) [\mathbf{21}]^{2S}$$
soft+BCFW massive recursion

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}}^{0,\epsilon}(\mathbf{1}_{X}\mathbf{2}_{\bar{X}}3_{\gamma}^{-}0_{\gamma}^{+}) = (-1)^{2S} \frac{2q^{2}e^{2}\langle 3p_{1}0]^{2}}{\epsilon^{2}m^{2S}(t-m^{2})(u-m^{2})} \exp\left(-i\frac{\epsilon_{3}^{-}\mu p_{3}^{*}J_{1}^{\mu\nu}}{(p_{1}\epsilon_{3}^{-})|_{\bar{\zeta}=\bar{\lambda}_{0}}}\right) \langle \mathbf{21} \rangle^{2S} + B_{\infty}$$
OR

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}}^{0,\epsilon}(\mathbf{1}_{X}\mathbf{2}_{\bar{X}}3_{\gamma}^{-}0_{\gamma}^{+}) = (-1)^{2S} \frac{2q^{2}e^{2}\langle 3p_{1}0]^{2}}{\epsilon^{2}m^{2S}(t-m^{2})(u-m^{2})} \exp\left(\frac{[30]\langle 3\mathbf{1}\rangle(\lambda_{3}\partial_{\chi_{1}})}{\langle 3p_{1}0]}\right) \langle \mathbf{21}\rangle^{2S} + B_{\infty}$$

This illuminates one tiny detail in the derivation of A. Guevara, A. Ochirov, and J. Vines '18, where the choice of the reference spinor was made ad-hoc

. .

Recursion relations do not solve the problem with spurious poles for higher-spins:

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{1}_{X}\mathbf{2}_{\bar{X}}3_{\gamma}^{-}4_{\gamma}^{+}) = (-1)^{2S} \frac{2e^{2}\langle 3p_{1}4]^{2}}{(t-m^{2})(u-m^{2})} \left[\frac{\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B}}{\langle 3p_{1}4]}\right]^{2S}$$

we need a non-zero boundary term to cancel it

Chung, Huang, Kim, Lee '18

The trick is to find the right variables:

$$\mathcal{A} \equiv \langle \mathbf{13} \rangle [\mathbf{24}], \qquad \mathcal{B} \equiv \langle \mathbf{23} \rangle [\mathbf{14}], \qquad \mathcal{X} \equiv m \langle \mathbf{12} \rangle + m [\mathbf{12}] - \langle \mathbf{1} p_4 \mathbf{2}] - \langle \mathbf{2} p_3 \mathbf{1}] \\ \mathcal{Y} \equiv (u - m^2) \mathcal{A} + (t - m^2) \mathcal{B}, \qquad \mathcal{Z} \equiv (u - m^2) \mathcal{A} - (t - m^2) \mathcal{B}.$$

Useful identities:

$$\langle 3p_14]\mathcal{X} - \mathcal{Y} = 2m^2 \left(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}\right), \qquad \mathcal{Z} = -\langle 3p_14] \left(\langle \mathbf{1}p_4\mathbf{2}] + \langle \mathbf{2}p_4\mathbf{1}]\right)$$

$$S=2 \quad \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{IR}} = \frac{2e^2 \langle 3p_1 4]^2}{(2m^2)^4 (t-m^2)(u-m^2)} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\langle 3p_1 4]\mathcal{X} - \mathcal{Y}}{\langle 3p_1 4]} \end{bmatrix}^4 \text{No unphysical poles} \\ = \frac{2e^2}{(2m^2)^4 (t-m^2)(u-m^2)} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{Y}^4 \\ \frac{\langle 3p_1 4]^2}{\langle 3p_1 4]^2} - \frac{4\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}^3}{\langle 3p_1 4]} + \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

S=2
$$\mathcal{M}_{IR} = \frac{2e^2 \langle 3p_1 4]^2}{(2m^2)^4 (t-m^2)(u-m^2)} \left[\frac{\langle 3p_1 4] \mathcal{X} - \mathcal{Y}}{\langle 3p_1 4]} \right]^4$$

$$= \frac{2e^2}{(2m^2)^4 (t-m^2)(u-m^2)} \left[\frac{\mathcal{Y}^4}{\langle 3p_1 4]^2} - \frac{4\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}^3}{\langle 3p_1 4]} + \dots \right]$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rm UV} = -\frac{2e^2}{(2m^2)^4(t-m^2)(u-m^2)} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{Y}^2(\mathcal{Y}^2-\mathcal{Z}^2)}{\langle 3p_1 4]^2} - \frac{4\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{Y}^2-\mathcal{Z}^2)}{\langle 3p_1 4]} \right\} = -\frac{e^2\mathcal{AB}}{2m^8} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{Y}^2}{\langle 3p_1 4]^2} - \frac{4\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}}{\langle 3p_1 4]} \right\} + C, \qquad E \gg m \text{ as } \mathcal{O}(E^8/m^8)$$

Cancel spurious poles without messing up with t and u-poles

Solution is not unique as pure contact terms can be present M. Chiodaroli, H. Johansson, P. Pichini '21

Loss of perturbative unitarity at a cutoff scale around particle mass

However, it is possible to fix the contact term to get an improved UV behaviour

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rm UV} = -\frac{2e^2 \mathcal{AB}}{m^6} \left\{ \frac{m^2 \left(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}\right)^2}{\langle 3p_1 4]^2} + \frac{\mathcal{X} \left(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}\right)}{\langle 3p_1 4]} \right\} \quad \sim \mathcal{O}(E^6/m^6)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rm UV} = -\frac{2e^2}{(2m^2)^{2S}(t-m^2)(u-m^2)} \sum_{k=3}^{2S} {\binom{2S}{k}} \frac{(-\mathcal{X})^{2S-k} \mathcal{Y} \left[\mathcal{Y}^{k-1} - \{\mathcal{Y} || \mathcal{Z} \} \mathcal{Z}^{k-2} \right]}{\langle 3p_1 4]^{k-2}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(E^{4S}/m^{4S})$$

One can always add a contact term such that the UV behaviour is improved to $\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(E^{4S-2}/m^{4S-2})$



$$\Lambda_{\max} \lesssim \left(\frac{4\pi}{|q|e}\right)^{\frac{1}{2S-1}}$$

M. Porrati, R. Rahman '09

Similar story follows for the gravitational Compton.

We also find a formula for a general boundary term that cancels the spurious poles for any spin.

We can still add a contact term and improve the UV behaviour, such that:

Maximal cutoff of an EFT with a particle of mass m and S>2:

$$\Lambda_{\rm max} \lesssim \left(4\pi \, M_{\rm Pl} m^{2S-2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2S-1}}$$

J. Bonifacio and K. Hinterbichler '18 , R. Rahman '09

Conclusions

- New massive soft+BCFW recursion relations
- Systematic study of soft theorems including massive states
- Connection with higher-spin amplitudes that play an important role in black hole dynamics
- Results for 5-points Compton for any spin and systematic way to build contact terms to cancel spurious poles



