CURRENT THEMES IN HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS GRAVITY COSMOLOGY

Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen

BRAN

Cosmological Gravitational Particle Production (CGPP) involving High Energy Physics, Gravity, & Cosmology

The Niels Bohr International Academy

Rocky Kolb University of Chicago August 2023

Inner Space/Outer Space Interface

Particle physics (Inner Space) is necessary to explain the universe dark matter

> dark energy baryon asymmetry CMB fluctuations origin of structure

The universe (**Outer Space**) is a particle physics laboratory

big bang as particle accelerator limits on Beyond Standard Model physics long lifetime/path length stellar energy loss large *B* fields

age credit: Chris Stahl

Inner Space/Outer Space Interface

Assumption: particle of interest (e.g., dark matter) was a component of the primordial soup with present abundance determined by, e.g., freeze-out/freeze-in.

Requires: $\begin{cases} 1. \text{ at some point } T > m \\ 2. \text{ particle has SM interactions} \end{cases}$

BUT

Maximum temperature of the radiation-dominated universe is the "reheat" temperature after inflation, $T_{\rm RH}$

 $T_{\rm RH}$ may be as low as 8 MeV (to set stage for BBN)!

What about particles with no SM interactions (or) too weak to be populated in the primordial soup?

(No evidence that dark matter interacts with SM particles)



For 40 Years, Leading DM Candidate: "Weak"-Scale Cold Thermal Relic

- Mass: GeV TeV
- "Weak-scale" interaction strength with SM (WIMP miracle)
- No self-interactions
- Produced by "freeze-out" from primordial plasma. COLD dark matter. CDM.
- "Detectable" by direct detection, indirect detection, decay products, production at colliders
- Just BSM, e.g., low-energy SUSY!

The WIMP "Miracle"



mir-a-cle \'mir-i-kəl \ *noun*

Miracle

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia



... often used to give an impression of great and unusual value in a trivial context ...

1 : an extraordinary event manifesting divine intervention in human affairs

WIKIPEDIA The Free Encyclopedia

For 40 Years, Leading DM Candidate: "Weak"-Scale Cold Thermal Relic

- Mass: GeV TeV
- "Weak-scale" interaction strength with SM (WIMP miracle)
- No self-interactions
- Produced by "freeze-out" from primordial plasma. COLD dark matter. CDM.
- "Detectable" by direct detection, indirect detection, decay products, production at colliders
- Just BSM, e.g., low-energy SUSY!

But WIMPs have stubbornly evaded detection!

What if DM interacts only gravitationally with SM?

- Gravity must play a role in its cosmological production
- But gravity weak!

Cosmological Gravitational Particle Production (CGPP) can be the origin of DM!

• CGPP is not optional! Can't hide from gravity.

Produce particles through misalignment mechanism

EOM of scalar field

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + m^2\phi = 0$$

- Scalar field has quantum fluctuations during inflation $\Delta \phi = \frac{H}{2\pi}$
- After inflation field frozen by "Hubble drag" until

 $H \simeq m_{\phi}$

• After which it oscillates with energy density in oscillating field

• E.g., axion





- PBHs of current interest (after first LIGO event)
- Seeds for PBHs from inflation
- Assumes DM mass about 10^{11} GeV (WIMPzilla)

 $\mathcal{L} = M_{\rm Pl}^{-1} h_{\mu\nu} T^{\mu\nu}$



- Freeze-in
- For DM mass about 10^{13} GeV (WIMPzilla)
- Assumes $m < T_{\rm RH}$

 $\mathcal{L} = M_{\rm Pl}^{-1} h_{\mu\nu} T^{\mu\nu}$

Produce particles from inflaton field after quasi-de Sitter era via graviton exchange Ema, Nakayama, Tang; Mambrini & Olive



- Only works for DM mass < inflaton mass
- DM mass for correct Ωh^2 involved function of several parameters
- "Boltzmann" approach not complete treatment (Kaneta, Lee, Oda; Basso, Chung, EWK, Long)











Bolgolubov

Physica VI, no 9

October 1939

900

ERWIN SCHRÖDINGER

Particle creation through expansion of the universe

THE PROPER VIBRATIONS OF THE EXPANDING UNIVERSE by ERWIN SCHRÖDINGER

§ 1. Introduction and summary. Wave mechanics imposes an a priori reason for assuming space to be closed; for then and only then are its proper modes discontinuous and provide an adequate description of the observed atomicity of matter and light. — E in steins theory of gravitation imposes an a priori reason for assuming space to be, if closed, expanding or contracting; for this theory does not admit of a stable static solution. — The observed facts are, to say the least, not contrary to these assumptions.

This makes it imperative to generalize to expanding (or contracting) universes the investigation of proper vibrations, started for the the static cases (E i n s t e i n- and D e S i t t e r-universe) by the present writer and two of his collaborators ¹). The task is an easy one. The broad results are largely (in part even entirely) independent of the time-law of expansion. In the cases of main practical interest, i.e. with the present slow time rate of expansion and with wave lengths small compared with the radius of curvature of space (R), they are the following. These are the broad results. A finer and particularly interesting phenomenon is the following.

The decomposition of an arbitrary wave function into proper vibrations is rigorous, as far as the functions of space (amplitudefunctions) are concerned, which, by the way, are exactly the same as in the static universe. But it is known, that, with the latter, two frequencies, equal but of opposite sign, belong to every space function. *These two* proper vibrations cannot be rigorously separated in the expanding universe. That means to say, that if in a certain moment only one of them is present, the other one can turn up in the course of time.

Generally speaking this is a phenomenon of outstanding importance. With particles it would mean production or anihilation of matter, merely by the expansion, whereas with light there would be a production of light travelling in the opposite direction, thus a sort of reflexion of light in homogeneous space. Alarmed by these prospects, I have investigated the question in more detail. Fortunately the equations admit of a solution by familiar functions, if R is a *linear* function of time. It turns out, that in this case the alarming phenomena do not occur, even within arbitrarily long periods of time.

Disturbing the Quantum Vacuum



Particle creation if energy gained in acceleration from *E*-field over a Compton wavelength exceeds the particle's rest mass.



Sauter (1931); Heisenberg & Euler (1935); Weisskopf (1936); Schwinger (1951)

NEWS FEATURE



NATURE Vol 446 |1 March 2007

NATURE, Vol 446/1 March 2007

Physicists are planning lasers powerful enough to rip apart the fabric of space and time.

"We're going to change the index of refraction of the vacuum and produce new particles."

Gérard Mourou

$$I_C \approx \frac{c}{8\pi} \left| \vec{E}_{\text{crit}} \right|^2 \approx 10^{30} \,\mathrm{W} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$$



| $\left \vec{B}_{\rm crit} \right =$ | $\frac{m_e^2}{e}$ | ≈ 5 | × | 10^{13} | G |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|---|-----------|---|
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|---|-----------|---|

| Crab pulsar | $3 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{G}$ |
|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Magnetars | $10^{14} - 10^{15} \text{ G}$ |

Strong magnetic fields imply existence of strong electric fields.

Many unexplained phenomena associated with pulsars, magnetars, etc.

Damour & Ruffini

Disturbing the Quantum Vacuum



Particle creation if energy gained in acceleration from expansion over a Compton wavelength exceeds the particle's rest mass.



$$H_{\rm crit} = m$$

CGPP Through Expansion of the Universe

In the early days:

- In Minkowskian QFT, a particle is an IR of the Poincaré group.
- But, expanding universe not Poincaré invariant.
- Notion of a "particle" is approximate.



| Representation | Particle | 1-point function Dark Matter | 2-point function CMB Isocurvature | 3-point function CMB Nongaussian |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| (0,0) | Conformally Coupled Scalar $\xi = 1/6$ (use as template) | Kuzmin & Tkachev (99) | Expected to be very small (blue) | Chung & Yoo (13) |
| (0,0) | Minimally Coupled Scalar $\xi = 0$ (e.g., inflaton) | Kuzmin & Tkachev (99) | Chung, EWK, Riotto, & Senatore (05) | |
| (1/2,0) ⊕ (0,1/2) | "Dirac" Fermion | Chung, EWK, & Riotto (98) | Expected to be very small (blue) | |
| (1/2,1/2) | de Broglie-Proca Vector | Graham & Mardon (16); Ahmed, Grzadkowski,& Socha (20); EWK & Long (21) | | |
| (1,0) | 2-Form (Pseudo) Vector (e.g., Kalb-Ramond) | Capanelli, Jenks, EWK, & McDonough (next week) | | |
| (1/2,1) ⊕ (1,1/2) | Rarita-Schwinger Fermion (e.g., gravitino) | EWK, Long, & McDonough (21) | | |
| (1,1) | Fierz-Pauli (massive graviton) | EWK, Liang, Long, Rosen (23) | | |
| Higher-spin bosons | | Jenks, Koutrolikos, McDonough, Alexander, Gates (23) | | |

Scalar field in FLRW background

Covariant action for spectator scalar field (not the inflaton)

$$S[\varphi(x), g_{\mu\nu}(x)] = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial_\nu \varphi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \xi R \varphi^2 \right]$$

Gravity enters the picture

 ξ is a dimensionless constant: $\xi = 0$ minimal coupling; $\xi = 1/6$ conformal coupling. In principle, ξ could be anything (and presumably there is RGE).

In spatially-flat FLRW background in conformal time: $dt = a \ d\eta$; rescaled field $\phi = a \ \varphi$

$$S[\phi(\eta, \boldsymbol{x})] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\eta \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\eta} \phi)^{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^{2} - \frac{1}{2} m_{\text{eff}}^{2} \phi^{2} \right]$$

Time-dependent effective mass

$$m_{\rm eff}^2(\eta) = a^2(\eta) \left[m^2 + \left(\frac{1}{6} - \xi\right) R(\eta) \right]$$

cosmological expansion ⇒ time-dependent background field ⇒ time-dependent Hamiltonian for spectator field

CGPP Through Expansion of the Universe

Expansion of the universe causes explicit time dependence in action for "spectator" fields. Initial State \sim Minkowski (early-time) vacuum may not evolve to Final State \sim Minkowski (late-time) vacuum, but to an excited state populated by particles.



Scalar field in FLRW background

Fourier modes of ϕ obey wave equation: $\partial_{\eta}^2 \chi_k(\eta) + \omega_k^2 \chi_k(\eta) = 0$

Solutions to wave equation for mode functions include both + and – frequency terms

$$\chi_k(\eta) = \frac{\alpha_k(\eta)}{\sqrt{2\omega_k(\eta)}} e^{-i\int\omega_k(\eta)d\eta} - \frac{\beta_k(\eta)}{\sqrt{2\omega_k(\eta)}} e^{+i\int\omega_k(\eta)d\eta} \qquad |\alpha_k|^2 - |\beta_k|^2 = 1$$

If start with only positive frequency modes, $|\alpha_k| = 1 \& |\beta_k| = 0$, Expansion of the universe will generate negative frequency modes (particles), $\beta_k \neq 0$.

<u>Comoving</u> number density of particles at late time is

$$a^{3}n = \int \frac{dk}{k} \frac{k^{3}}{2\pi^{2}} |\beta_{k}|^{2}$$

 n_k = spectral density

Quadratic Inflaton Potential for Conformally-Coupled Scalar: $\xi = 1/6$



Quadratic Inflaton Potential for Conformally-Coupled Scalar: $\xi = 1/6$

| $\frac{\Omega h^2}{0.12} =$ | $\frac{m}{H_e}$ | $\left(\frac{H_e}{10^{12} \mathrm{Ge}}\right)$ | $\left(\overline{V}\right)^2$ | $\left(\frac{T_{\rm RH}}{10^9 {\rm GeV}}\right)$ | \overline{I}) $\frac{[na]}{2}$ | $\frac{a^3 / a_e^3 H_e^3]}{10^{-5}}$ |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| \sim | $\left(\frac{10}{10}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{m}{^{11}\mathrm{GeV}}\right)^2$ | $\frac{2}{10^9}\left(\frac{T}{10^9}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{RH}{GeV}\right)$ | (m) | $\leq m_{ m inflaton})$ |

- Calculation assumes inflationary model (quadratic, which is ruled out).
- But general picture holds in other models since action occurs around end of inflation.
- Don't know, but $H_e \approx 10^{11}$ GeV and $T_{\rm RH} \approx 10^9$ GeV are "common."
- If stable and dark matter, $\Omega h^2 = 0.12 \implies m \approx H_e$. Could have been anything! WIMPZILLA miracle!
- Perhaps inflation scale represents new physics scale, stable particle at that mass scale natural DM candidate.



Conformally-coupled scalar WIMPZILLA DM candidate if $m_{\chi} = O(m_{\text{inflaton}})$

GPP & Dark Matter

- Inflation indicates a new mass scale
- In most models, $m_{\text{inflaton}} \approx H_{\text{inflation}} \approx 10^{12} 10^{14} \text{ GeV}$?
- $H_{\text{inflation}}$ detectable via primordial gravitational waves in CMB
- (I, at least) expect other particles with mass $\approx m_{\text{inflaton}}$



Quadratic Inflaton Potential for Minimally-Coupled Scalar: $\xi = 0$





Model-T inflation model (Kallosh & Linde): $V(\varphi) = 10^{-10} M_{\rm Pl}^4 \tanh^2(\varphi/\sqrt{6}M_{\rm Pl})$



Dirac field ψ in FRW background

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Omega h^2}{0.12} &= \frac{m}{H_e} \left(\frac{H_e}{10^{12} \text{GeV}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{T_{\text{RH}}}{10^9 \text{GeV}} \right) \ \frac{\left[na^3 / a_e^3 H_e^3 \right]}{10^{-5}} \\ &\sim \left(\frac{m}{10^{11} \text{GeV}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{T_{\text{RH}}}{10^9 \text{GeV}} \right) \qquad (m \lesssim m_{\text{inflaton}}) \end{aligned}$$

Dirac Equation in FRW:

$$i\partial_{\eta} \begin{pmatrix} u_A(\eta) \\ u_B(\eta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a(\eta)m & k \\ k & -a(\eta)m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_A(\eta) \\ u_B(\eta) \end{pmatrix}$$

Dispersion relation same as conformally-coupled scalar

Blue spectrum: no isocurvature issues

Dirac WIMPZILLA DM candidate for $m = O(m_{\text{inflaton}})$



Fields with Spin > 1/2

For bosons, $\omega_k(\eta)$ tells all:

$$\omega_k^2(\eta) = \begin{cases} k^2 + a^2(\eta)m^2 + (\frac{1}{6} - \xi)a^2(\eta)R(\eta) & s = 0 \\ k^2 + a^2(\eta)m^2 \text{ Like conformally-coupled scalar: in massless limit no production} & s = 1 \quad \lambda = \pm 1 \\ k^2 + a^2(\eta)m^2 + \frac{1}{6}\frac{k^2a^2(\eta)R(\eta)}{k^2 + a^2(\eta)m^2} + 3\frac{k^2a^4(\eta)H^2(\eta)m^2}{(k^2 + a^2(\eta)m^2)^2} \text{ Interesting (i.e., complicated)} & s = 1 \quad \lambda = 0 \\ k^2 + a^2(\eta)m^2 + \frac{1}{6}a^2(\eta)R(\eta) \text{ Like minimally-coupled scalar; graviton in massless limit} & s = 2 \quad \lambda = \pm 2 \\ k^2 + a^2(\eta)m^2 + \frac{1}{6}\frac{a^2(\eta)(2k^2 + a^2(\eta)m^2)R(\eta)}{k^2 + a^2(\eta)m^2} - \frac{a^2(\eta)k^2(2k^2 - a^2(\eta)m^2)H^2(\eta)}{(k^2 + a^2(\eta)m^2)^2} & s = 2 \quad \lambda = \pm 1 \\ way, way too long to show & s = 2 \quad \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

de Broglie—Proca field in FLRW background

$$S[A_{\mu}(x), g_{\mu\nu}(x)] = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} m^2 g^{\mu\nu} A_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \xi_1 R g^{\mu\nu} A_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \xi_2 R^{\mu\nu} A_{\mu} A_{\nu} \right]$$

- Two possible nonminimal terms
- Transverse mode looks like conformally-coupled scalar
- Longitudinal mode more complicated
- For some choices of (ξ_1, ξ_2) kinetic term can be negative leading to ghost-like action
- CGPP of longitudinal mode dominates transverse mode



EWK, Long, McDonough PRD **104**, 075015 (2021); PRL **127** 13, 131603 (2021)

"Dirac" Equation in FRW:

$$i\partial_{\eta} \begin{pmatrix} u_A(\eta) \\ u_B(\eta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a(\eta)m & k \\ k & -a(\eta)m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_A(\eta) \\ u_B(\eta) \end{pmatrix} \qquad s = 3/2; \ \lambda = \pm 3/2 \quad \text{(same as } s = 1/2\text{)}$$

$$i\partial_{\eta} \begin{pmatrix} u_{A}(\eta) \\ u_{B}(\eta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a(\eta)m & (C_{A} + iC_{B})k \\ (C_{A} - iC_{B})k & -a(\eta)m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{A}(\eta) \\ u_{B}(\eta) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{l} s = 3/2; \ \lambda = \pm 1/2 \\ C_{A} \& C_{B} \text{ functions of } (H, m, R, \partial_{\eta}m) \\ C_{A}^{2} + C_{B}^{2} = c_{s}^{2} = \text{ sound speed} \end{array}$$

New feature:
$$c_s = rac{\left|p(\eta) - 3m^2 M_{
m Pl}^2\right|}{
ho(\eta) + 3m^2 M_{
m Pl}^2}$$
 time-dependent effective sound speed!

Can vanish when $p = 3m^2 M_{\rm Pl}^2$!!



Sound speed will vanish (perhaps many times) if $m < 0.39 H_e$ (assumes harmonic potential after inflation)



Dispersion relation is $\ \omega_k^2(\eta) = c_s^2 k^2 + a^2(\eta) m^2$

Usual case: $c_s^2 = 1 \Rightarrow \omega_k(\eta) = k$ and <u>constant</u> for $k \Rightarrow \infty$

GPP depends on changing $\omega_k(\eta)$, so no production of high-k modes!

If $c_s^2 = 0$: as $k \Rightarrow \infty$, $\omega_k(\eta)$ is independent of k, production of high-k modes unsuppressed!



Supergravity employs spin-3/2 field (gravitino, inflation, ...), the superpartner to graviton.

Catastrophic production of gravitinos dependent on model.

For models with a single chiral superfield gravitino mass is time dependent ($\partial_{\eta} m \neq 0$).

 $c_s = 1$ at all times \implies no catastrophic production

For models with multiple chiral superfields (most modern models)

 c_s depends on relative orientation of inflaton direction & susy breaking

 $c_s = 0$ in models with a nilpotent superfield and orthogonal constraint KKLT

mixing between the goldstino & inflatino may avoid the catastrophe (explicit calculation needed) Dudas, Garcia, Mambrini, Olive, Peloso, & Verner (2021); Antoniadis, Benakli, & Ke (2021)

Models with $c_s = 0$ are in a SWAMPLAND! Kolb, Long, & McDonough (2021)

GGP may provide constraints on SUGRA model building.

Fierz-Pauli field $f_{\mu\nu}$ in FRW background

JHEP 05 (2023) 181





Grad Student

Rice

Collaborators Andrew Long



Faculty Rice

Rachel Rosen



Faculty Carnegie-Mellon

Siyang Ling

Metric Perturbations About Minkowski Spacetime

Start with EH action:
$$S[g_{\mu
u}] = \int d^4x \, \sqrt{-g} \; {M_P^2\over 2} \, R[g]$$

Linearize about Minkowski spacetime: $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{M_P}h_{\mu\nu}$ $h = \eta^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu}$

$$S[h_{\mu\nu}] = \int d^4x \left[-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_\lambda h_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\lambda h^{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\mu h^{\nu\lambda} \nabla_\nu h^{\mu}_{\ \lambda} - \nabla_\mu h^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu h + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu h \nabla^\mu h \right]$$

We will be careful about counting degrees of freedom:

$$h_{\mu\nu}$$
: 16 - 6 - 4 - 4 = 2
symmetric gauge transverse/traceless massless graviton
±2, or ×, +

Now Add Fierz-Pauli (1939) Mass Term(s)

(see reviews by Hinterbichler 1105.3735; de Rahm 1401.4173)

$$\delta S[h_{\mu\nu}] = \int d^4x \left[-\frac{1}{2}m_1^2 h_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}m_2^2 h^2 \right]$$

Introduces unwanted 6th degree of freedom (a ghost) of mass $m_{\text{ghost}}^2 = \frac{m_1^2}{4} \frac{m_1^2 + 4m_2^2}{m_1^2 + m_2^2}$

So, choose $m_2^2 = -m_1^2$ to banish ghost to ∞ (but no symmetry enforces this!) $\delta S[h_{\mu\nu}] = \int d^4x \left[-\frac{1}{2}m^2 \left(h_{\mu\nu}h^{\mu\nu} - h^2 \right) \right]$ Fierz-Pauli mass term

Spin-2 theories will be haunted by the spectre of ghosts

Degrees of freedom as expected

 $h_{\mu\nu}$: 16 - 6 - 1 - 4 = 5 symmetric gauge transverse/traceless polarization modes ±2, ±1, 0

Boulware—**Deser Ghost**

Boulware and Deser (1972) pointed out that Fierz-Pauli tuning breaks down with generic nonlinear extensions of Fierz-Pauli, and a sixth ghostly degree of freedom arises (zombie ghost?).

Once thought that all Lorentz-invariant massive gravity theories were ghostly, until ...

... de Rahm-Gabadadze-Tolley (dRGT) developed a ghost-free massive gravity theory in 2010.

dRGT introduced second "reference" metric, taken to be Minkowski. Metrics interact via potential $V(X; \beta_n)$.

Extended/completed to general metric by Hassan & Rosen \rightarrow ghost-free bigravity (2011).

This is our starting point. Field content: two metric fields, $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $f_{\mu\nu}$, coupling to two scalar fields, ϕ_g and ϕ_f .

Bigravity With Minimal Coupling To Matter (Minimal Model)

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \left[\frac{M_g^2}{2} \sqrt{-g} R[g] + \frac{M_f^2}{2} \sqrt{-f} R[f] - m^2 M_*^2 \sqrt{-g} V(\mathbb{X};\beta_n) + \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_g(g,\phi_g) + \sqrt{-f} \mathcal{L}_f(f,\phi_f) \right]$$

Kinetic terms for f and g + dRGT potential + Matter Lagrangians

dRGT Potential:
$$\mathbb{X}^{\mu}_{\nu} = (\sqrt{g^{-1}f})^{\mu}_{\nu}$$

 $V(\mathbb{X}; \beta_n) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{4} \beta_n S_n(\mathbb{X}), \quad S_n(\mathbb{X}) \equiv \mathbb{X}^{\mu_1}_{[\mu_1} \dots \mathbb{X}^{\mu_n}_{[\mu_n]}$

Matter Lagrangians:

$$\mathcal{L}_{g}(g,\phi_{g}) = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\phi_{g}\nabla_{\nu}\phi_{g} - V_{g}(\phi_{g}) \\ \mathcal{L}_{f}(f,\phi_{f}) = -\frac{1}{2}f^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\phi_{f}\nabla_{\nu}\phi_{f} - V_{f}(\phi_{f}) \right\}$$
 Source FRW background

After sausage making, want to end with: massless spin-2, massive spin-2, two scalar fields DOFs: 2 + 5 + 2 = 9

Inflationary Bigravity



Perturbations, Backgrounds, Mirroring (Bar Denotes Background)

$\frac{\text{Tensor sector}}{g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{M_g}h_{\mu\nu}}$ $f_{\mu\nu} = \bar{f}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{M_f}k_{\mu\nu}$

 $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \bar{f}_{\mu\nu} = \text{FRW}$

Scalar sector

$$\phi_g = \bar{\phi}_g + \varphi_g$$

$$\phi_f = \bar{\phi}_f + \varphi_f$$

$$\frac{1}{M_g} \bar{\phi}_g = \frac{1}{M_f} \bar{\phi}_f \equiv \frac{1}{M_P} \bar{\phi}$$

$$\frac{1}{M_g^2} V_g \left(\frac{M_g}{M_P} \phi\right) = \frac{1}{M_f^2} V_f \left(\frac{M_f}{M_P} \phi\right) \equiv \frac{1}{M_P} V(\phi)$$

Background EoMs:

$$\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{R} = \frac{1}{M_P^2}\bar{T}_{\mu\nu} \qquad \bar{T}_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{\mu}\bar{\phi}\nabla_{\nu}\bar{\phi} + \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{\mathcal{L}}(\bar{g},\bar{\phi})$$
$$\Box\bar{\phi} - V'(\bar{\phi}) = 0 \qquad \bar{\mathcal{L}}(\bar{g},\bar{\phi}) = -\frac{1}{2}\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\bar{\phi}\nabla_{\nu}\bar{\phi} - V(\bar{\phi})$$

Change Perturbation Variables: Massive and Massless Modes Decouple

$$\{h_{\mu\nu}, k_{\mu\nu}\} \rightarrow \{u_{\mu\nu}, v_{\mu\nu}\}$$
$$\frac{u_{\mu\nu}}{M_*} = \frac{h_{\mu\nu}}{M_f} + \frac{k_{\mu\nu}}{M_g}$$
$$\frac{v_{\mu\nu}}{M_*} = \frac{h_{\mu\nu}}{M_g} - \frac{k_{\mu\nu}}{M_f}$$

$$\{\varphi_g, \varphi_f\} \to \{\varphi_u, \varphi_v\}$$
$$\frac{\varphi_u}{M_*} = \frac{\varphi_g}{M_f} + \frac{\varphi_f}{M_g}$$
$$\frac{\varphi_v}{M_*} = \frac{\varphi_g}{M_g} - \frac{\varphi_f}{M_f}$$



Change Perturbation Variables: Massive and Massless Modes Decouple

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{massless}}^{(2)} = \mathcal{L}_{uu}^{(2)} + \mathcal{L}_{u\varphi_{u}}^{(2)} + \mathcal{L}_{\varphi_{u}\varphi_{u}}^{(2)}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{uu}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\lambda} u_{\mu\nu} \nabla^{\lambda} u^{\mu\nu} + \nabla_{\mu} u^{\nu\lambda} \nabla_{\nu} u^{\mu}_{\lambda} \qquad \mathcal{L}$$

$$-\nabla_{\mu} u^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\nu} u + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu} u \nabla^{\mu} u$$

$$+ \left(\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - M_{P}^{-2} \nabla_{\mu} \bar{\phi} \nabla_{\nu} \bar{\phi} \right)$$

$$\times \left(u^{\mu\lambda} u_{\lambda}^{\ \nu} - \frac{1}{2} u^{\mu\nu} u \right)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{u\,\varphi_{u}}^{(2)} = M_{P}^{-1} \Big[\Big(\nabla_{\mu} \bar{\phi} \nabla_{\nu} \varphi_{u} + \nabla_{\nu} \bar{\phi} \nabla_{\mu} \varphi_{u} \Big) \\ \times \Big(u^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} u \Big) - V'(\bar{\phi}) \varphi_{u} u \Big]$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{\varphi_u\varphi_u} = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_\mu\varphi_u\nabla^\mu\varphi_u - \frac{1}{2}V''(\bar{\phi})\varphi_u^2$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{massive}}^{(2)} = \mathcal{L}_{vv}^{(2)} + \mathcal{L}_{v\varphi_{v}}^{(2)} + \mathcal{L}_{\varphi_{v}\varphi_{v}}^{(2)}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{vv}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\lambda} v_{\mu\nu} \nabla^{\lambda} v^{\mu\nu} + \nabla_{\mu} v^{\nu\lambda} \nabla_{\nu} v_{\lambda}^{\mu}$$

$$- \nabla_{\mu} v^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\nu} v + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu} v \nabla^{\mu} v$$

$$+ \left(\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - M_{P}^{-2} \nabla_{\mu} \bar{\phi} \nabla_{\nu} \bar{\phi} \right)$$

$$\times \left(v^{\mu\lambda} v_{\lambda}^{\ \nu} - \frac{1}{2} v^{\mu\nu} v \right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} m^{2} \left(v^{\mu\nu} v_{\mu\nu} - v^{2} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{v\,\varphi_{v}}^{(2)} = M_{P}^{-1} \Big[\Big(\nabla_{\mu} \bar{\phi} \nabla_{\nu} \varphi_{v} + \nabla_{\nu} \bar{\phi} \nabla_{\mu} \varphi_{v} \Big) \\ \times \Big(v^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} v \Big) - V'(\bar{\phi}) \varphi_{v} v \Big]$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{\varphi_v\varphi_v} = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_\mu\varphi_v\nabla^\mu\varphi_v - \frac{1}{2}V''(\bar{\phi})\varphi_v^2$$

Scalar/Vector/Tensor (SVT) Decomposition Of Massive Spin-2 Field

Represent 4-tensor by variables that transform under spatial rotations as 3-scalars/3-vectors/3-tensors

 $v_{00} = a^2 E \qquad v_{0i} = a^2 \left(\partial_i F + G_i \right) \qquad v_{ij} = a^2 \left(\delta_{ij} A + \partial_i \partial_j B + \partial_i C_j + \partial_j C_i + D_{ij} \right)$

Subject to transverse/traceless constraints (repeated indices summed):

$$\partial_i C_i = 0, \quad \partial_i G_i = 0, \quad \partial_i D_{ij} = 0, \text{ and } D_{ii} = 0$$

At quadratic order S/V/T decouple: $d\eta = dt/a(t)$ $S = \int d\eta \, d^3x \left(L_S + L_V + L_T \right) + \mathcal{O}^3$ (Set your watch to CST—Conformal Standard Time)

For S/V/T

- 1. Remove nondynamical DoFs.
- 2. Express in terms of Fourier modes.
- 3. Canonically normalize kinetic term.
- 4. Check for ghosts, gradient instabilities.
- 5. Find mode equation and ω_k : $\tilde{\psi}'' + \omega_k^2 \tilde{\psi} = 0$.
- 6. Solve with appropriate boundary conditions.
- 7. Integrate over k.
- 8. Write paper.

Tensor Sector (Prime Denotes ∂_{η})

$$L_T = \frac{1}{2}a^2 \left[D'_{ij}D'_{ij} - \partial_k D_{ij}\partial_k D_{ij} - a^2 m^2 D_{ij}D_{ij} \right]$$

Canonically normalized kinetic term: $\chi_{ij} = aD_{ij}$ Fourier modes of $\chi_{ij}(\eta, \mathbf{x}) \equiv \tilde{\chi}_{ij}(\eta, \mathbf{k})$; can take $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0, k)$ $[\tilde{\chi}_{ij}] = \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{\chi}_{+} & \tilde{\chi}_{\times} & 0 \\ \tilde{\chi}_{\times} & -\tilde{\chi}_{+} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$ Canonically normalized kinetic term: $\chi_{ij} = aD_{ij}$

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\pm}''(\eta,k) + \omega_k^2(\eta) \, \tilde{\chi}_{\pm}(\eta,k) = 0$$

If m = 0, mode equation for gravitational wave $\omega_{\iota}^{2}(\eta) = k^{2} + a^{2}m^{2} - a^{\prime\prime}/a$ propagating on an FRW background, familiar from studies of tensor perturbations in inflation

Vector Sector (Prime Denotes ∂_n)

$$L_V = a^2 \Big[\partial_j \big(G_i - C_i' \big) \partial_j \big(G_i - C_i' \big) + a^2 m^2 \big(G_i G_j - \partial_j C_i \partial_j C_i \big) \Big]$$

 G_i not dynamical, can be Integrated out

In Fourier space: $L_{V,k} = \frac{a^4k^2m^2}{k^2 + a^2m^2} |\tilde{C}'_i|^2 - a^4k^2m^2|\tilde{C}_i|^2$

If m = 0, Lagrangian vanishes trivially since massless theory does not propagate vector modes.

Canonically normalize, again taking ${f k}=(0,0,k)$, and defining $\, \tilde{\chi}_{\pm}(\eta,k)=(\tilde{\chi}_1\mp i\tilde{\chi}_2)/\sqrt{2}$:

$$\tilde{\chi}_{\pm}''(\eta, k) + \omega_k^2(\eta) \, \tilde{\chi}_{\pm}(\eta, k) = 0$$
$$\omega_k^2(\eta) = k^2 + a^2 m^2 - f''/f$$
$$f = a^2/\sqrt{k^2 + a^2 m^2}$$

Scalar Sector (Prime Denotes ∂_{η})

 $L_S = L_S(A, B, E, F, \varphi_v)$ (and φ_u decoupled).

After removing non-propagating DoFs, and defining $\hat{\varphi}_v = \varphi_v - \frac{a^{-1}\bar{\phi}'}{M_P H}A$

 $L_{S,k} = K_{\varphi} \, |\tilde{\hat{\varphi}}'_{v}|^{2} - M_{\varphi} \, |\tilde{\hat{\varphi}}_{v}|^{2} + K_{B} \, |\tilde{B}'|^{2} - M_{B} \, |\tilde{B}|^{2} + L_{2} \, \tilde{\hat{\varphi}}_{v}^{*\prime} \tilde{B}' + L_{1} \, \tilde{\hat{\varphi}}_{v}^{*} \tilde{B}' - L_{0} \, \tilde{\hat{\varphi}}_{v}^{*} \tilde{B}$

$$L_{S,k} = K_{\varphi} \, |\tilde{\hat{\varphi}}'_{v}|^{2} - M_{\varphi} \, |\tilde{\hat{\varphi}}_{v}|^{2} + K_{B} \, |\tilde{B}'|^{2} - M_{B} \, |\tilde{B}|^{2} + L_{2} \, \tilde{\hat{\varphi}}_{v}^{*'} \tilde{B}' + L_{1} \, \tilde{\hat{\varphi}}_{v}^{*} \tilde{B}' - L_{0} \, \tilde{\hat{\varphi}}_{v}^{*} \tilde{B}$$

$$\begin{split} K_{B} &= \frac{a^{6}m^{2}}{8} \frac{\left(8m^{2}H^{2} - 6H^{2}m_{H}^{2} - m^{2}m_{H}^{2}\right)k^{4}}{H^{2}k^{4} + 3a^{2}\left(m^{2} - m_{H}^{2}\right)H^{2}k^{2} + \frac{3}{8}a^{4}m^{2}\left(6m^{2}H^{2} - 4H^{2}m_{H}^{2} - m_{H}^{4}\right)}{\left[H^{2}k^{4} + 3a^{2}\left(m^{2} - m_{H}^{2}\right)H^{2}k^{2} + \frac{3}{8}a^{4}m^{2}\left(6m^{2}H^{2} - 4H^{2}m_{H}^{2} - m_{H}^{4}\right)\right]^{2}} \quad (3.17d) \\ c_{10} &= H^{2}\left(8m^{2}H^{2} - 8H^{4} - 2H^{2}m_{H}^{2} - m^{2}m_{H}^{2}\right) \\ c_{10} &= H^{2}\left(8m^{2}H^{2} - 8H^{4} - 2H^{2}m_{H}^{2} - m^{2}m_{H}^{2}\right) \\ c_{8} &= a^{2}H^{2}\left[\left(30m^{4}H^{2} + 32m^{2}H^{4} - 96H^{6} - 3m^{4}m_{H}^{2} - 56m^{2}H^{2}m_{H}^{2} + 48H^{4}m_{H}^{2} + 5m^{2}m_{H}^{4} + 6H^{2}m_{H}^{4}\right) \\ &+ \left(4m^{2} - 24H^{2}\right)\frac{H^{\prime\prime}(\phi)\phi^{\prime}}{aM_{P}^{5}}\right] \\ c_{6} &= \frac{3}{8}a^{4}m^{2}\left[\left(96m^{4}H^{4} + 144m^{2}H^{6} - 6m^{4}H^{2}m_{H}^{2} - 252m^{2}H^{4}m_{H}^{2} - 192H^{6}m_{H}^{2} \\ &+ 8m^{2}H^{2}m_{H}^{4} + 200H^{4}m_{H}^{4} - 10H^{2}m_{H}^{6} - m^{2}m_{H}^{6}\right) \\ &+ \left(8m^{2}m_{H}^{2} - 16H^{2}m_{H}^{2}\right)\frac{H^{\prime\prime}(\phi)\phi^{\prime}}{aM_{P}^{5}}\right] \\ c_{4} &= \frac{3}{8}a^{6}m^{4}\left[\left(36m^{4}H^{4} - 48m^{2}H^{6} + 64H^{8} - 12m^{2}H^{4}m_{H}^{2} - 32H^{6}m_{H}^{2} \\ &- 12m^{2}H^{2}m_{H}^{4} + 4H^{4}m_{H}^{4} + 12H^{2}m_{H}^{6} - 3m^{2}m_{H}^{6} + 8m^{8}\right) \\ &- \left(24m^{2}H^{2} - 16H^{4} - 12m^{2}m_{H}^{2} - 8H^{2}m_{H}^{2} + 8m^{4}\right)\frac{H^{\prime\prime}(\phi)\phi^{\prime}}{aM_{P}^{5}}\right] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} L_{2} &= \frac{a^{3}m^{2}\check{\phi}'}{2M_{P}H} \frac{H^{2}k^{4} + 3a^{2}\left(m^{2} - m_{H}^{2}\right)H^{2}k^{2}}{2M_{P}H} \frac{(3.17e)}{H^{2}k^{4} + 3a^{2}\left(m^{2} - m_{H}^{2}\right)H^{2}k^{2} + \frac{3}{8}a^{4}m^{2}\left(6m^{2}H^{2} - 4H^{2}m_{H}^{2} - m_{H}^{4}\right)}{M_{P}} \frac{(3.17e)}{H^{2}k^{4} + 3a^{2}\left(m^{2} - m_{H}^{2}\right)H^{2}k^{2} + \frac{3}{8}a^{4}m^{2}\left(6m^{2}H^{2} - 4H^{2}m_{H}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{aHV'(\bar{\phi})}{\phi'}\right)k^{2}}{H^{2}k^{4} + 3a^{2}\left(m^{2} - m_{H}^{2}\right)H^{2}k^{2} + \frac{3}{8}a^{4}m^{2}\left(6m^{2}H^{2} - 4H^{2}m_{H}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{aHV'(\bar{\phi})}{\phi'}\right)k^{2}} \\ L_{1} &= -\frac{a^{4}m^{2}\bar{\phi}'}{M_{P}} \frac{(H^{2} - \frac{1}{4}m_{H}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{aHV'(\bar{\phi})}{\phi'})K^{2}}{H^{2}k^{4} + 3a^{2}\left(m^{2} - m_{H}^{2}\right)H^{2}k^{2} + \frac{3}{8}a^{4}m^{2}\left(6m^{2}H^{2} - 4H^{2}m_{H}^{2} - m_{H}^{4}\right)} \\ L_{0} &= \frac{a^{3}m^{2}\bar{\phi}'}{2M_{P}H} \frac{c_{10}k^{10} + c_{8}k^{8} + c_{6}k^{6} + c_{4}k^{4} + c_{2}k^{2}}{\left[H^{2}k^{4} + 3a^{2}\left(m^{2} - m_{H}^{2}\right)H^{2}k^{2} + \frac{3}{8}a^{4}m^{2}\left(6m^{2}H^{2} - 4H^{2}m_{H}^{2} - m_{H}^{4}\right)\right]^{2}} \\ c_{10} &= H^{4} \\ c_{10} &= H^{4} \\ c_{10} &= H^{4} \\ c_{10} &= H^{4} \\ c_{10} &= \frac{1}{2}a^{2}H^{4}\left[\left(9m^{2} + 12H^{2} - 13m_{H}^{2}\right) - 4\frac{aHV'(\bar{\phi})}{\phi'}\right] \\ c_{6} &= \frac{3}{8}a^{4}H^{2}\left[\left(18m^{4}H^{2} + 32m^{2}H^{4} + 64H^{6} - 48m^{2}H^{2}m_{H}^{2} - 64H^{4}m_{H}^{2} \\ &\quad + m^{2}m_{H}^{4} + 28H^{2}m_{H}^{4}\right) \\ &\quad + 8\left(-4m^{2}H^{2} + 4H^{4} + m^{2}m_{H}^{2}\right)\frac{aHV'(\bar{\phi})}{\phi'}\right] \\ c_{4} &= \frac{3}{16}a^{6}m^{2}H^{2}\left[\left(18m^{4}H^{2} - 24m^{2}H^{4} + 256H^{6} - 54m^{2}H^{2}m_{H}^{2} - 160H^{4}m_{H}^{2} \\ &\quad + 9m^{2}m_{H}^{4} + 60H^{2}m_{H}^{4} - 7m_{H}^{6}\right) \\ &\quad + 4\left(-30m^{2}H^{2} + 32H^{4} + 12m^{2}m_{H}^{2} + 4H^{2}m_{H}^{2} - 7m_{H}^{4}\right)\frac{aHV'(\bar{\phi})}{\phi'}\right] \\ c_{2} &= \frac{9}{16}a^{8}m^{4}H^{2}\left(2H^{2} - m_{H}^{2}\right)\left[-\left(4H^{2} + m_{H}^{2}\right)\left(3m^{2} - 4H^{2} - m_{H}^{2}\right) \\ &\quad + 4\left(-3m^{2} + 2H^{2} + 2m_{H}^{2}\right)\frac{aHV'(\bar{\phi})}{\phi'}\right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} K_{\varphi} &= \frac{a^2}{2} \frac{H^2 k^4 + 3a^2 (m^2 - m_H^2) H^2 k^2 + \frac{9}{3} a^4 m^2 (m^2 - m_H^2) H^2}{(H^2 k^4 + 3a^2 (m^2 - m_H^2) H^2 k^2 + \frac{3}{8} a^4 m^2 (6m^2 H^2 - 4H^2 m_H^2 - m_H^4)} \end{split} (3.17a) \\ M_{\varphi} &= \frac{a^2}{2} \frac{c_{10} k^{10} + c_8 k^8 + c_6 k^6 + c_4 k^4 + c_2 k^2 + c_0}{[H^2 k^4 + 3a^2 (m^2 - m_H^2) H^2 k^2 + \frac{3}{8} a^4 m^2 (6m^2 H^2 - 4H^2 m_H^2 - m_H^4)]^2} (3.17b) \\ c_{10} &= H^4 \\ c_8 &= \frac{1}{2} a^2 H^2 \Big[(12m^2 H^2 + 8H^4 - 14H^2 m_H^2 - m_H^4) + 4 \frac{HV'(\bar{\phi})\bar{\phi}'}{aM_F^2} + 2H^2 V''(\bar{\phi}) \Big] \\ c_6 &= \frac{3}{8} a^4 H^2 \Big[(36m^4 H^2 + 72m^2 H^4 - 82m^2 H^2 m_H^2 - 64H^4 m_H^2 \\ &- 7m^2 m_H^4 + 40H^2 m_H^4 + 8m_H^6) \\ &+ 8 (3m^2 - 4m_H^2) \frac{H^2 V''(\bar{\phi})\bar{\phi}}{dM_F^2} \\ &+ 16 (m^2 - m_H^2) H^2 V''(\bar{\phi}) \Big] \\ c_4 &= \frac{3}{8} a^6 \Big[4H^2 (9m^6 H^2 + 36m^4 H^4 + 16m^2 H^6 - 30m^4 H^2 m_H^2 - 76m^2 H^4 m_H^2 \\ &- 3m^4 m_H^4 + 31m^2 H^2 m_H^4 + 24H^4 m_H^4 + 6m^2 m_H^6 - 6H^2 m_H^6 - 3m_H^8) \\ &- 4m^2 H^2 (H^2 - m_H^2) \frac{V'(\bar{\phi})^2}{M_F^2} \\ &+ (36m^4 H^2 + 8m^2 H^4 - 94m^2 H^2 m_H^2 + m^2 m_H^4 + 48H^2 m_H^4) \frac{HV'(\bar{\phi})\bar{\phi}'}{M_F^2} \\ &+ (36m^4 H^2 - 58m^2 H^2 m_H^2 - m^2 m_H^4 + 24H^2 m_H^4) H^2 V''(\bar{\phi}) \Big] \\ c_2 &= \frac{9}{32} a^8 m^2 \Big[H^2 (18m^6 H^2 + 120m^4 H^4 + 128M^2 M^6 - 78m^4 H^2 m_H^2 - 384m^2 H^4 m_H^2 \\ &- 9m^4 m_H^4 + 132m^2 H^2 m_H^4 + 128H^4 m_H^4 + 23m^2 m_H^6 - 32H^2 m_H^6 - 16m_H^8) \\ &- 8H^2 (2m^2 H^2 - 2m^2 m_H^2 + m^4 m_H^4) \frac{V'(\bar{\phi})\bar{\phi}'}{M_F^5} \\ &+ 4 (6m^4 H^2 - 22m^2 H^2 m_H^2 + m^2 m_H^4 + 14H^2 m_H^4) \frac{HV'(\bar{\phi})\bar{\phi}'}{aM_F^5} \\ &+ 4 (m^2 - m_H^2) (12m^2 H^2 - 10H^2 m_H^2 - m_H^4) H^2 V''(\bar{\phi}) \Big] \\ c_0 &= \frac{27}{32} a^{10} m^4 \Big[-2H^2 (2m^2 H^2 - 2m^2 m_H^2 + m^2 m_H^4 + 14H^2 m_H^4) \frac{HV'(\bar{\phi})\bar{\phi}'}{aM_F^5} \\ &- m^2 (2H^2 - m_H^2) (4H^2 + m_H^2) \frac{HV(\bar{\phi})\bar{\phi}'}{aM_F^5} \\ &- m^2 (2H^2 - m_H^2) (4H^2 + m_H^2) \frac{HV(\bar{\phi})\bar{\phi}'}{aM_F^5} \\ \end{array}$$

+ $(m^2 - m_H^2)(6m^2H^2 - 4H^2m_H^2 - m_H^4)H^2V''(\bar{\phi})]$

Why you might not wish to do this!

Scalar Sector (Prime Denotes ∂_n)

 $L_S = L_S(A, B, E, F, \varphi_v)$ (and φ_u decoupled).

After removing non-propagating DoFs, and defining $\hat{\varphi}_v = \varphi_v - \frac{a^{-1}\phi'}{M_P H}A$ $L_{S,k} = K_{\varphi} |\tilde{\varphi}'_v|^2 - M_{\varphi} |\tilde{\varphi}_v|^2 + K_B |\tilde{B}'|^2 - M_B |\tilde{B}|^2 + L_2 \tilde{\varphi}_v^{*\prime} \tilde{B}' + L_1 \tilde{\varphi}_v^* \tilde{B}' - L_0 \tilde{\varphi}_v^* \tilde{B}$ Yet another Field redefinition to diagonalize kinetic terms: $\{\tilde{\varphi}_v, \tilde{B}\} \Rightarrow \{\tilde{\Pi}, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}\}$

 $L_{S,k} = K_{\Pi} |\tilde{\Pi}'|^2 - M_{\Pi} |\tilde{\Pi}|^2 + K_{\mathcal{B}} |\tilde{\mathcal{B}}'|^2 - M_{\mathcal{B}} |\tilde{\mathcal{B}}|^2 + \lambda_1 \,\tilde{\Pi}^* \tilde{\mathcal{B}}' - \lambda_0 \,\tilde{\Pi}^* \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$

Scalar Sector (Prime Denotes ∂_{η})

$$K_{\Pi} = \frac{a^2}{2} \frac{H^2 k^4 + 3a^2 \left(m^2 - m_H^2\right) H^2 k^2 + \frac{9}{4} a^4 m^2 \left(m^2 - m_H^2\right) H^2}{H^2 k^4 + 3a^2 \left(m^2 - m_H^2\right) H^2 k^2 + \frac{3}{8} a^4 m^2 \left(6m^2 H^2 - 4H^2 m_H^2 - m_H^4\right)}{3a^6 m^2 (m^2 - m_H^2)}$$
$$K_{\mathcal{B}} = \frac{3a^6 m^2 (m^2 - m_H^2)}{4k^4 + 12a^2 (m^2 - m_H^2) k^2 + 9a^4 m^2 (m^2 - m_H^2)}$$

Where we have defined $m_{H}^{2}(\eta) = 2H^{2}(\eta) [1 - \epsilon(\eta)]$ $\epsilon(\eta) = -H'/(aH^{2})$

 ϵ is the first inflationary slow-roll parameter.

If $m < m_H(\eta)$, theory propagates a ghost in $ilde{\mathcal{B}}$ (spin–2) sector!

Generalized Higuchi Bound

In 1986 Higuchi studied perturbations of massive gravity on a <u>de Sitter</u> background and found a ghost if $m^2 < 2H^2$.

 $m^2 = 2 H^2$ is a "partially massless" point: mass term also vanishes.

We find a ghost in a general FRW background if $m^2 < 2H^2(\eta) [1 - \epsilon(\eta)]$. (In dS $\epsilon = 0$.)

FRW ghost is not generally a "partially massless" point.

Question For My Wise Colleagues

How should one regard a theory, perfectly healthy in Minkowski spacetime, but ghostly in a nonpathological, classical gravitational background?

In FRW, $m > \sqrt{2} H$ to avoid ghosts.

In principle, *H* could be anything!

Scalar Sector (Prime Denotes ∂_{η})

 $L_{S,k} = K_{\Pi} |\tilde{\Pi}'|^2 - M_{\Pi} |\tilde{\Pi}|^2 + K_{\mathcal{B}} |\tilde{\mathcal{B}}'|^2 - M_{\mathcal{B}} |\tilde{\mathcal{B}}|^2 + \lambda_1 \,\tilde{\Pi}^* \tilde{\mathcal{B}}' - \lambda_0 \,\tilde{\Pi}^* \tilde{\mathcal{B}}'$

At late times:

$$L_{S,k} = \frac{1}{2} \Big[|\tilde{\chi}'_{\Pi}|^2 - \left(k^2 + a^2 V''(\bar{\phi})\right) |\tilde{\chi}_{\Pi}|^2 \Big] + \frac{1}{2} \Big[|\tilde{\chi}'_{\mathcal{B}}|^2 - \left(k^2 + a^2 m^2\right) |\tilde{\chi}_{\mathcal{B}}|^2 \Big] + \mathcal{O}(H/m)$$

Inflaton DoF

Massive spin-2 DoF

CGPP (Finally!)

Have mode equations for $u_{\mu\nu}$ (tensor), $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_u$, $v_{\mu\nu}$ (tensor, vector), Π , \mathcal{B} No DoF left behind: 2 + 1 + 2 + 2 + 1 + 1 = 9

- 1. Mode equation: $\tilde{\psi}''(\eta,k) + \omega_k^2(\eta)\tilde{\psi}(\eta,k) = 0$
- 2. Bunch-Davies (Minkowski) initial conditions:

$$\lim_{\eta \to -\infty} \tilde{\psi}(\eta, k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} e^{-ik\eta}$$

- 3. Calculate $ilde{\psi}$ at late time when mode is
 - nonrelativistic
 - subhorizon
 - evolution approximately adiabatic
- 4. Calculate Bogolubov coefficient for modes with wavenumber k

$$|\beta_k|^2 = \lim_{\eta \to \infty} \left(\frac{\omega_k}{2} |\tilde{\psi}|^2 + \frac{1}{2\omega_k} |\partial_\eta \tilde{\psi}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

5. Physical number density of particles with comoving momentum p = k

$$n_k(\eta) = a^{-3}(\eta) \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} |\beta_k|^2$$
 Total number density: $n(\eta) = \int \frac{dk}{k} n_k(\eta)$

Massive Bigravity

Theory (ghost-free in Minkowski) propagates ghost in FLRW for low-mass, $m^2 < 2H_e^2(1-\epsilon)$



Finally, Summary: CGPP can produce DM & constrain BSM physics!

Dark matter might have only gravitational interactions (that's all we really "know")

If so, dark matter must have a gravitational origin.

Cosmological Gravitational Particle Production promising.

Scalars:

Conformally-coupled: promising DM candidate if $m \approx H_e$ (WIMPZILLA miracle). Minimally-coupled: not promising DM candidate, exclude stable particles with $m \lesssim$ few H_e . If allow $2 \times 10^{-2} \lesssim \xi \lesssim 10^2$ DM candidate in mass range milli-eV to 10^{13} GeV.

Dirac fermions:

Like conformally-coupled scalars; promising DM candidate if $m \approx H_e$ (WIMPZILLA miracle).

de Broglie—Proca vectors:

DM candidate could be very light (μeV) or very massive (H_e).

Rarita-Schwinger fermions:

Catastrophic production if c_s vanishes. Implications for models of supergravity. Gravitinos: EWK, Long, McDonough (2021); Dudas, Garcia, Mambrini, Olive, Peloso, Verner (2021)

Fierz-Pauli tensors:

FRW-generalization of the Higuchi bound; DM relic abundance.

Spin greater than 2: Jenks, Koutrolikos, McDonough, Alexander, Gates

Much Recent Work ... Many Open Roads

- Complete CGPP for higher-spin fields
- Fully explore Rarita-Schwinger = Gravitino
- Massive particles from K-K reduction in SUGRA/Strings
- Understand what it means to have ghosts
- Develop CMB implications
- Dark matter as Kalb-Ramond-Like-Particle (KRLP)?
- Long-lived massive particles from CGPP
 - Baryo/leptogenesis?
 -
- Direct detection?

Coming soon-ish, to a *Reviews of Modern Physics* Near You

Cosmological gravitational particle production and its implications for cosmological relics

Edward W. Kolb^{1, *} and Andrew J. Long^{2, †} ¹Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics and Enrico Fermi Institute, The University of Chicago, 5640 S. Ellis Ave., Chicago, IL 60637 USA ²Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005 USA

The focus of this review is the phenomenon of particle production in the early universe solely by the expansion of the universe, with particular attention to the possibility that the created particle species could be the dark matter. We will treat particle production by cosmological expansion for particles of spin 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, and 2, and comment on the possibility of larger spins. For the early-universe evolution of the background spacetime we assume an initial inflationary phase, followed by a transition to a matter-dominated phase, eventually transiting to a radiation-dominated phase. We review the two basic requirements for particle production by the expansion of the universe: 1) the contribution to the matter action from the particle must violate conformal invariance (the trace of the matter stress-energy tensor involving the new field must be nonzero), and 2) the mass of the particle must not be too much in excess of the expansion rate of the universe during inflation. In this review we specialize to a Friedman-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker cosmological model, and calculate the spectrum of particles resulting from the expansion of the universe. We summarize the criteria for the resulting density of particles to be sufficient to account for the dark matter, as well as discuss several other cosmological implications. We then mention other mechanisms for cosmological particle production through gravity: particle production from the standard-model plasma through graviton exchange, particle production through black-hole evaporation, and particle production through a misalignment mechanism.

Thanks to my collaborators in 25 years of CGPP: (Chung, EWK, Riotto, PRD 59 (1998) 023501)

Ivone Albuquerque, Edward Basso, Christian Capanelli, Daniel Chung, Patrick Crotty, Michael Fedderke, Gian Giudice, Lam Hui, Leah Jenks, Siyang Ling, Andrew Long, Evan McDonough, Toni Riotto, Rachel Rosen, Leo Senatore, Alexi Starobinski, Igor Tkachev, Mark Wyman

CURRENT THEMES IN HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS GRAVITY COSMOLOGY

Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen

BRAN

Cosmological Gravitational Particle Production (CGPP) involving High Energy Physics, Gravity, & Cosmology

The Niels Bohr International Academy

Rocky Kolb University of Chicago August 2023