### Quantum Clones Inside Black Holes

or Turning a Black Hole Inside Out

arXiv: 2206.04608 v3



Gerard 't Hooft

Institute for Theoretical Physics Utrecht, the Netherlands



イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

100 Years Niels Bohr Institute

August 3 2022

Version 1

Standard gravity is based on Newton's Law:

$$F = \frac{G m_1 m_2}{r^2} \qquad \bullet$$

It leads to General Relativity, with curved space-time everywhere.

But an easier start may be to base gravity



on the Shapiro effect, or

But an easier start may be to base gravity



on the Shapiro effect, or Gravitational lensing :  $\delta u^- = -8\pi G p^- \log(\tilde{x}_1 - \tilde{x}_2)$ .

It leads to curvature only on 2-dimensional subspaces.



4 / 20



5 / 20



We must use the *eternal* (or *stationary*) black hole as background.

This will be justified a posteriori: quantised particles give divergent Shapiro effects, and therefore we can only consider classical time segments not longer than  $M_{\rm BH} \log(M_{\rm BH}/M_{\rm Planck})$ , during which the stationary Schwarzschild solution is fine.

Position operators for late particles will <u>not commute</u> with those of the early particles.

(日) (同) (日) (日)

Schwarzschild Metric in a spacetime  $(r, t, \theta, \varphi)$ :

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2GM}{r}} dr^{2} - \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right) dt^{2} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2};$$
  

$$\Omega \equiv (\theta, \varphi),$$
  

$$d\Omega \equiv (d\theta, \sin \theta d\varphi).$$

Horizon singularity at  $r \rightarrow 2GM$  .

An essential role is played by the Kruskal-Szekeres (or 'tortoise') coordinates x, y, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x y &= \left(\frac{r}{2GM} - 1\right) e^{r/2GM} &; \\ y/x &= e^{t/2GM} &. \\ \mathrm{d}s^2 &= \frac{32(GM)^3}{r} e^{-r/2GM} \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}y + r^2 \mathrm{d}\Omega^2 &. \end{aligned}$$

No horizon singularity, but two horizons.

An essential role is played by the Kruskal-Szekeres (or 'tortoise') coordinates x, y, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x y &= \left(\frac{r}{2GM} - 1\right) e^{r/2GM} &; \\ y/x &= e^{t/2GM} &. \\ \mathrm{d}s^2 &= \frac{32(GM)^3}{r} e^{-r/2GM} \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}y + r^2 \mathrm{d}\Omega^2 &. \end{aligned}$$

No horizon singularity, but two horizons.

At  $r \to 2GM$ , we have x = 0: future event horizon, and y = 0: past event horizon.

For every point  $(r, t, \theta, \varphi)$ , there are **two points** in these new coordinates: with every  $(x, y, \theta, \varphi)$  there is also  $(-x, -y, \theta, \varphi)$ .



In Schwarzschild coordinates (left) you see one outside region (white) and one inside region (blue)

In the more regular Kruskal-Szekeres (KS) coordinates(right) you see two outside regions and two inside regions (blue)

The physics of unbounded Lorentz boosts, in two frames:

We now want to see how the Shapiro effect works on in- and outparticles on the black hole The physics of unbounded Lorentz boosts, in two frames:

We now want to see how the Shapiro effect works on in- and outparticles on the black hole



in the out-frame,

The physics of unbounded Lorentz boosts, in two frames:

We now want to see how the Shapiro effect works on in- and outparticles on the black hole



<sup>10/20</sup> 

#### The Shapiro effect.

 $\delta u^- = G p^- f(\Omega, \, \Omega') \quad \text{with} \quad (1 - \Delta_\Omega) f(\Omega, \, \Omega') = 8\pi G \, \delta^2(\Omega, \, \Omega') \; .$ 

Here,  $\Omega$  is the solid angle at which the out-particle leaves, and  $\Omega'$  is where the in-particle entered the black hole horizon.

 $u^{\pm}$  are the positions at the horizon, *i.e.*, the time at which the particles enter or leave the horizon.

 $p^{\pm}$  are their momenta.

In case of many particles:  $p^{\pm} \rightarrow p^{\pm}(\Omega)$ ,  $u^{\pm} \rightarrow u^{\pm}(\Omega)$ .

Spherical Harmonics: 
$$\delta u_{\ell m}^- = \frac{8\pi G}{\ell^2 + \ell + 1} p_{\ell m}^-$$
.

And now comes quantum mechanics:

This gives algebra: 
$$[u^+, u^-] = i\lambda$$
,  $\lambda \equiv \frac{8\pi G}{\ell^2 + \ell + 1}$ 

Therefore, wave functions in  $u^-$  are the Fourier transforms of those in  $u^+$ .

The different  $(\ell, m)$  modes all decouple. These are one-dimensional (highly trivial) equations.

The Fourier transform is unitary! And therefore information is preserved !!



#### The Fourier transform is unitary, but only if

 $-\infty < u^+ < \infty$  $-\infty < u^- < \infty$ 

working with only positive  $u^{\pm}$  would give information loss!



We need a unitary mapping from the interval  $[0, \infty]$  to  $[0, \infty]$ . But this is easy. Use: Fourier transform maps even functions onto even functions and odd onto odd ! The fact that we may use only even functions means that:

The data in region II are identical to the data in region I.

They are *clones* of one another.

But our message does not end here. Problem: in region *II* time runs backwards!.

Therefore, region *II* is only clone of region *I*, if the wave function obeys:  $\psi_{II} = \psi_{I}^{*}$ 

Solve the equations with the *constraint* that the wave functions in *I* and *II* are the same !

Calculations: this does give a unitary evolution law!

But, we must pull  $Re(\psi)$  and  $Im(\psi)$  apart. They form the quantum vector of a binary clock! The clock must be part of the dynamics: at given  $(\ell, m)$ , we may allow ony one quantum variable.

This may mean that we may have to give up the conservation law associated with rotations in the complex plane. *There should not be additively conserved charges*.

This should have been expected: black holes cannot obey any global conservation law.

#### N. Gaddam, S. Kumar, C. Ripken

The complex conjugation,  $\psi \leftrightarrow \psi^*$ , requires further discussion.

In my interpretation of QM, the fact that the wave functons in region *I* and *II* are clones, implies that exactly the same phenomena occur in region *I* and *II*,

as if they were simply described as in the Schwarzshild coordinates, not the KS coordinates!

This suggests that our result can easily be generalised to more complicated events such as the coalescence of two black holes, or other complex phenomena.

#### According to Hawking,

a stationary black hole emits particles with radiation temperature

(?) 
$$kT_{\text{Hawking}} = \frac{\hbar c^3}{8\pi G} \frac{1}{M_{\text{BH}}}$$

In present theory, we are not dealing with thermal states (Grand Canonical Ensemble), but we an use a microcanonical ensemble: total energy of all states is fixed. In standard statistical mechanics; the results will be as usual: the probability of a state is  $\mathcal{P} \propto e^{-\beta E}$ . which for a quantum system is periodic when

time  $\rightarrow$  time  $+i\beta$  .

 $\begin{array}{ll} \langle E_i\rangle = kT = 1/\beta \;; & \beta \quad \text{is periodicity in imaginary time} \quad \tau \\ \tau = t/4GM_{\rm BH} \;; & (x,y) \rightarrow (x \, e^{\tau}, \, y \, e^{-\tau}), \quad \text{so that} \\ \tau \rightarrow \tau + 2\pi i \quad \text{is a symmetry for all solutions in KS coordinates.} \\ \text{Hawking derives that} \; \beta = 1/T_{\rm Hawking} = 2\pi \; \text{in natural units.} \; \text{But} \\ \text{now we have only the cloned states, symmetric under} \\ (x,y) \leftrightarrow (-x,-y), \quad \text{so that} \quad \beta \stackrel{?}{=} 2\pi \quad \text{is to be replaced by} \\ \beta = \pi \;, \qquad T = 2T_{\rm Hawking} = \frac{\hbar c^3}{4\pi G} \frac{1}{M_{\rm BH}} \;. \end{array}$ 

An Ambiguity of the equivalence principle and Hawking's temperature, J. of Geometry and Physics 1 (1984) 45-52.

## Happy Centuriversary to the



# Niels Bohr Institute !