



Mogens Dam, NBI

Danish PANP Meeting Odense, 21th April, 2022





Status of the Standard Model (until April 7th)



complete



With the Higgs boson, the Standard Model as a theory of particles and their interactions is now

- \checkmark complete
- \checkmark coherent (will return to this)
- \checkmark predictive to all energies

Is this the end?

...most likely not... !?

Many unanswered questions based on experimental observations?

- □ Why 3 generations of fermions ?
- What is the origin of neutrino masses and oscillations ?
- What is the composition of dark matter ?
- □ What is the origin of the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the Universe [BAU] ?
- □ Why is gravity so weak ?
- □ Why is the Higgs boson so light ?
 - so-called "naturalness" or "hierarchy" problem
- □ What is the origin of the Universe's accelerated expansion ?

1st Danish PANP Meeting

Beyond the Standard Model physics and where NBI is going to find it





SND @ LHC

- Stand-alone experiment to probe neutrino production at the LHC in the forward direction
 - Sensitivity also to FIPs (Feebly Interacting Particles) including Light Dark Matter
- Approved by the CERN Research Board March, 2021
 - Under construction, data taking now in upcoming Run 3
- Comprises 24 institutes from 13 countries





SM coherence before April 7th



SM coherence after April 7th



CDF:

"A comparison with the SM expectation of M_W = 80,357±6 MeV, ... yields a difference with a significance of **7.0o** and suggests the possibility of improvements to the SM calculation or of extensions to the SM."

Exciting.... ... and what if we improve precision further?

What if we improved all measurements by 1-2 orders ??



"Today"

"2055"

FCC-ee ; precision via luminosity



Observable	present	FCC-ee	FCC-ee	Comment and
	value \pm error	Stat.	Syst.	leading exp. error
$m_{\rm Z} ({\rm keV})$	91186700 ± 2200	4	100	From Z line shape scan
				Beam energy calibration
$\Gamma_{\rm Z}$ (keV)	2495200 ± 2300	4	25	From Z line shape scan
				Beam energy calibration
$\sin^2 \theta_{\rm W}^{\rm eff}(imes 10^6)$	231480 ± 160	2	2.4	from $A_{FB}^{\mu\mu}$ at Z peak
				Beam energy calibration
$1/\alpha_{ m QED}(m m_Z^2)(imes 10^3)$	128952 ± 14	3	\mathbf{small}	from $A_{FB}^{\mu\mu}$ off peak
				QED&EW errors dominate
$\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{Z}}_{\ell}~(\times 10^3)$	20767 ± 25	0.06	0.2-1	ratio of hadrons to leptons
				acceptance for leptons
$\alpha_{\rm s}({\rm m}_{\rm Z}^2)~(\times 10^4)$	1196 ± 30	0.1	0.4 - 1.6	from R_{ℓ}^{Z} above
$\sigma_{\rm had}^0$ (×10 ³) (nb)	41541 ± 37	0.1	4	peak hadronic cross section
				luminosity measurement
$N_{\nu}(\times 10^3)$	2996 ± 7	0.005	1	Z peak cross sections
- • •				Luminosity measurement
$R_b (\times 10^6)$	216290 ± 660	0.3	< 60	ratio of bb to hadrons
				stat. extrapol. from SLD
$A_{FB}^{b}, 0 \ (\times 10^{4})$	992 ± 16	0.02	1-3	b-quark asymmetry at Z pole
				from jet charge
$A_{FB}^{pol,\tau}$ (×10 ⁴)	1498 ± 49	0.15	<2	au polarization asymmetry
				τ decay physics
τ lifetime (fs)	290.3 ± 0.5	0.001	0.04	radial alignment
$\tau \text{ mass (MeV)}$	1776.86 ± 0.12	0.004	0.04	momentum scale
τ leptonic $(\mu\nu_{\mu}\nu_{\tau})$ B.R. (%)	17.38 ± 0.04	0.0001	0.003	e/μ /hadron separation
$m_W (MeV)$	80350 ± 15	0.25	0.3	From WW threshold scan
				Beam energy calibration
$\Gamma_{\rm W} ~({\rm MeV})$	2085 ± 42	1.2	0.3	From WW threshold scan
				Beam energy calibration
$\alpha_{\rm s}({ m m}_{ m W}^2)(imes 10^4)$	1170 ± 420	3	small	from R_{ℓ}^{W}
$N_{\nu}(\times 10^3)$	2920 ± 50	0.8	small	ratio of invis. to leptonic
				in radiative Z returns
$m_{top} (MeV/c^2)$	172740 ± 500	17	small	From $t\bar{t}$ threshold scan
				QCD errors dominate
$\Gamma_{\rm top}~({\rm MeV/c}^2)$	1410 ± 190	45	\mathbf{small}	From $t\bar{t}$ threshold scan
				QCD errors dominate
$\lambda_{ m top}/\lambda_{ m top}^{ m SM}$	1.2 ± 0.3	0.10	small	From $t\bar{t}$ threshold scan
·				QCD errors dominate
ttZ couplings	$\pm 30\%$	0.5 - 1.5 %	small	From $\sqrt{s} = 365 \text{GeV run}$

1st Danish PANP Meeting

FCC and the 2020 European Particle Physcics Strategy Update

From the strategy document:

- An *electron-positron Higgs factory is the highest-priority next collider*. For the longer term, the European particle physics community has the ambition to operate a *proton-proton collider at the highest achievable energy*.
- Europe, together with its international partners, should investigate the technical and financial feasibility of a future hadron collider at CERN with a centre-of-mass energy of at least 100 TeV and with an electron-positron Higgs and electroweak factory as a possible first stage.
- Such a feasibility study of the colliders and related infrastructure should be established as a global endeavour and be completed on the timescale of the next Strategy Update.

⇒ In June 2020, Future Circular Collider Feasibility Study was launched by CERN Council

Timeline for FCC Integrated programme



Geometry and Placement





- 8-fold symmetry: allows for 2 or 4 interaction points
- Circumference 91.19 km [Z factory ;-)]
- Mogens Dam / NBI Copenhagen

21 Apr, 2022

٠

Placement studies

Status of Global FCC Collabortion

Increasing international collaboration as a prerequisite for success:

links with science, research & development and **high-tech industry** will be essential to further advance and prepare the implementation of FCC







FCC Feasibility Study – Coordination Team



FCC-ee Higgs Factory



- 10^6 Higgsstrahlung event at $\sqrt{s} \sim 240$ GeV
- Complemented with 200k events at √s = 350 - 365 GeV
 - Of which 30% in the WW fusion channel (important for the Γ_H precision)

Collider	HL-LHC	$\text{FCC-ee}_{240 \rightarrow 365}$	FCC-INT
Lumi (ab^{-1})	3	5 + 0.2 + 1.5	30
Years	10	3 + 1 + 4	25
$g_{\rm HZZ}$ (%)	1.5	0.18 / 0.17	0.17/0.16
$g_{\rm HWW}$ (%)	1.7	0.44 / 0.41	0.20/0.19
$g_{ m Hbb}$ (%)	5.1	0.69 / 0.64	0.48/0.48
$g_{ m Hcc}$ (%)	\mathbf{SM}	1.3 / 1.3	0.96/0.96
g_{Hgg} (%)	2.5	1.0 / 0.89	0.52/0.5
$g_{\mathrm{H} au au}$ (%)	1.9	0.74 / 0.66	0.49/0.46
$g_{\mathrm{H}\mu\mu}$ (%)	4.4	8.9 / 3.9	0.43/0.43
$g_{\mathrm{H}\gamma\gamma}$ (%)	1.8	3.9 / 1.2	0.32/0.32
$g_{\mathrm{HZ}\gamma}$ (%)	11.	- / 10.	0.71/0.7
$g_{ m Htt}$ (%)	3.4	10. / 3.1	1.0/0.95
a (%)	50	44./33. 2IP	3-4
9HHH (70)	50.	27./24. 4IP	0-4
$\Gamma_{\rm H}$ (%)	\mathbf{SM}	1.1	0.91
BR_{inv} (%)	1.9	0.19	0.024
BR_{EXO} (%)	SM (0.0)	1.1	1

Mogens Dam / NBI Copenhagen

1st Danish PANP Meeting

w/wo HL-LHC

15



Reminder: Higgs event in pp and e⁺e⁻



Proton-proton: look for striking signal in large background

Already ~3 M Higgs bosons produced per LHC experiment



e+e⁻: detect everything; measure precisely

A "beam" of Higgs'es tagged by the invariant mass and recoil mass of the Z decay products

Higgs Self Coupling

- FCC-ee does not produce Higgs pairs, from which self coupling can be extracted (insufficient energy)
- ◆ But, loops including Higgs self coupling contribute to Higgs production



 \bullet Effect of Higgs self coupling (κ_λ) on σ_{ZH} and $\sigma_{_{VVH}}$ depends on Vs



3-4 σ evidence in baseline programme. Run few more years, 5σ discovery

\square Two energy points (240 and 365 GeV) lift the degeneracy between $\delta\kappa_{z}$ and $\delta\kappa~!$

Precision Physics sensitivity to New Physics



- Higgs and EW programmes are largely complementary
- Generally highest reach via EW programme
 - Much higher statistics; Higgs programme statistics limited
- Sensitivity to new physics up to 70 TeV

Detector Concepts: At least three Complementary Designs



<u>CLD</u>

- Consolidated option based on the detector design developed for CLIC
 - All silicon vertex detector and tracker
 - D-imaging highly-granular calorimeter system
 - Coil outside calorimeter system
- Proven concept, understood performance





- New, innovative, possibly more cost-effective design
 - Silicon vertex detector
 - Short-drift, ultra-light wire chamber (fantastic PID)
 - Dual-readout calorimeter
 - Possibly (hopefully!) agumented with crystal ECAL
 - □ Thin and light solenoid coil *inside* calorimeter system

Precision Challenge: Luminosity Measurement

Ambitious goal:

- Absolute to 10⁻⁴
- Relative (energy-to-energy point) to 10⁻⁵

Small angle Bhabha scattering (QED). Very strongly forward peaked

Monitors centered around outgoing beam lines ····

-- micron level precision needed on monitor dimensions (inner radius)



- Theory: Now at 3.8 × 10⁻⁴; theory friends foresee that 1 × 10⁻⁴ will happen
- Backgrounds: have been studied and seem to be under control



Many (interesting!) R&D/engineering challenges

- Precision on acceptance boundaries to $O(1 \, \mu m)$!
- Mechanical assembly, metrology, alignment
- Physics rate of **O**(100 kHz)
- Readout at 50 MHz BX rate ?
- Power management / cooling
- Support / integration in crowded and complex MDI area

Example of precision challenge: Universality of Fermi constant

Andreas Crivellin and John Ellis.

EXOTIC FLAVOURS AT THE FCC

M. Dam, SciPostPhys.Proc.1,041(2019)



Here, a new-physics effect at a relative sub-per-mille level compared to the SM would suffice to explain the anomaly. This could be achieved by a heavy new lepton or a massive gauge boson affecting the determination of the Fermi constant that parametrises the strength of the weak interactions. As the Fermi constant can also be determined from the global electroweak fit, for which Z decays are crucial inputs, FCC-ee would again be the perfect machine to investigate this anomaly, as it could improve the precision by a large factor (see "High precision" figure). Indeed, the Fermi constant may be determined directly to one part in 10⁵ from the enormous sample (>10¹¹) of Z decays to tau leptons.

→evv) [%] 17.90 Property Current WA FCC-ee stat FCC-ee syst Today (2018) Mass [MeV] 1776.86 +/- 0.12 0.004 0.1 17.85 B(T Electron BF [%] 17.82 +/- 0.05 0.0001 0.003 17.80 FCC-ee Muon BF 17.39 +/- 0.05 0.0001 0.003 290.3 +/- 0.5 0.005 Lifetime [fs] 0.04 17.75 17.70 Lepton universality with m, = 1776.86 ± 0.12 MeV Shown in yellow: first *questimates* on FCC-ee precisions 17.65 289 290 291 τ lifetime [fs]

The Fermi constant is measured in μ decays and defined by

 $\left(G_{\rm F}^{\mu}\right)^2 = 192\pi^3 \frac{\tau_{\mu}}{m_{\mu}^5} \qquad \text{(known to 0.5 ppm)}$

Similarly can define Fermi constant measured in τ decays by

 $\left(G_{\rm F}^{\tau}\right)^2 = 192\pi^3 \frac{\tau_{\tau}}{m_{\tau}^5} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathscr{B}(\tau \to {\rm e}\nu\nu)} \quad \text{(known to 1700 ppm)}$



FCC-ee: Will see $3x10^{11}\tau$ decays Statistical uncertainties at the 10 ppm level How well can we control systematics?

$m_{ au}$ Use J/ ψ mass as reference (known to 2 ppm)	tracking
$\begin{array}{l} \tau_{\tau} \mbox{Laboratory flight distance of 2.2 mm} \\ \Rightarrow 10 \mbox{ppm corresponds to 22 nm (!!)} \end{array}$	vertex detector
\mathscr{B} No improvement since LEP (statistics limited) Depends primarily e^{-}/π^{-} (& e^{-}/ρ^{-}) separation	ECAL dE/dx

Mogens Dam / NBI Copenhagen

1st Danish PANP Meeting

FCC at NBI

- Together, Jørgen Beck Hansen and MD have adviced five FCC MSc projects
 - □ Sissel: Study of FCC-ee sensitivity to Heavy Neutral Leptons
 - PhD student at DTU
 - Molly: Study of tau decay performance of Dual Readout Calorimeter
 - * job in ministry immediately
 - **•** Kunal: *B*-tagging methods at FCC-ee
 - PhD student at CP3, Brussels
 - □ Julie: Study of SM-EFT anomalous contributions in *tt* production
 - PhD student at DESY, Hamburg

Gatinka: Tau Decay Mode Identification in a Liquid Argon Electromagnetic Calorimeter at the FCC-ee

* ?





Outlook

- Standard Model is extremely succesful in parametrizing Nature as we observe it in our laboratories
- Leaves, however, unanswered the deepest questions about Nature
- Exciting future ahead
 - □ LHC + HL-LHC:
 - Searches:
 - Be prepared for the unexpected
 - Both in general purpose experiments and in dedicated, small, "parasitic" experiments
 - Precision measurements:
 - top quark and W boson masses (we really should try to beat CDF's 9.4 MeV precision)
 - Higgs couplings to few %-level; Higgs triple selfcoupling (λ_3) to 50%
 - □ High intensity beam dump experiments (SHiP) ?
 - ✤ Priority in next ESPP?
 - Future Circular Collider
 - Ongoing Feasibility Study for decision on first step (FCC-ee) by next ESPP (~2026)
 - ✤ Possible long term future for CERN
 - FCC-IS: FCC-ee followed by FCC-hh
 - Unchallenged precision tests of Standard Model including Higgs sector (λ_3 to few %)
 - Unprecedented sensitivity to New Physics both indirectly (precision measurements) and directly at intensity as well as at energy frontier