Black Holes from AGN Stars

Matteo Cantiello - CCA, Princeton

Stars within ~10⁵ R_g turned massive by AGN disk Up to ~10⁴ BHs for AGN cycle

* Stars born or captured in AGN disks
* They undergo accretion-driven evolution
* Massive and Very Massive Stars Population
* Production of SNe, Long GRBs, BHs
* Impact on AGN disk properties



Why Studying Stars in AGNs?

- AGNs are relatively common (~1-10% of galaxies)
- The immediate surroundings of galactic centers are densely populated with stars (NSC). ~10⁶ stars within 1 pc of our Galactic Center (Do et al. 2009, Genzel+2010)
- Stars can form in AGN disk
 (e.g. Goodman & Tan 2004, Dittmann & Miller
 2020, Derdzinski & Mayer 2022)





Canonical Stellar Evolution

- Stars are usually evolved in a relatively cold, ~empty ISM
- Surface boundary conditions account for low external temperature and pressure



AGN



Temperatures of $10^{2...5}K$

Sound speeds of $10^{0...4} km/s$

Range over 100pc from SMBH

e.g. Thompson, Quataert & Murray 2005

Active phase $\sim 10^{6...8} yr$

(e.g. King & Nixon 2015)



Stellar trapping relies on hydrodynamical drag, as well as the excitation of resonant density waves and bending waves (Artymowicz+1993, MacLeod & Lin 2020, Fabj+2020)

Gaia Fabj's talk

Modeling AGN Stars

AGN Stars - 3 main ingredients

* Atmosphere Boundary Conditions

* Accretion

✤ Mass Loss











Eddington Luminosity

When $L_* \rightarrow L_{Edd}$ both large accretion and mass loss expected. This is likely a complex multi-dimensional problem. We assume accretion is reduced

$$\dot{M}_{\rm B} = \eta \, \pi R_{\rm B}^2 \, \rho_{\rm a} \, c_{s,a}$$
$$\dot{M}_{B,\Gamma} = \dot{M}_B \left(1 - \frac{L_*}{L_{\rm Edd}} \right)^2$$

Cantiello, Jermyn & Lin (CJL, 2020) Dittmann, Cantiello & Jermyn (2021)



Eddington Luminosity

When $L_* \rightarrow L_{Edd}$ both large accretion and mass loss expected. This is likely a complex multi-dimensional problem. We assume accretion is reduced.

We also assume mass loss is enhanced via a super-Eddington wind

 $\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$



AGN Stars - 3 main ingredients

* Atmosphere Boundary Conditions

* Accretion $\dot{M}_{\rm B} = \eta \pi R_{\rm B}^2 \rho_{\rm a} c_{s,{\rm a}}$ * Mass Loss $\dot{M}_{\rm Edd} = -\frac{L_*}{v_{\rm esc}^2} \left[1 + \tanh\left(\frac{L_* - L_{\rm Edd}}{0.1 L_{\rm Edd}}\right) \right]$

Internal Mixing

Stars become massive and radiation dominated. They are marginally stable. Also likely rapidly rotating.

We model the effect of mixing by adding a compositional diffusivity D [cm²/s] that increases with stellar luminosity. The form of this additional diffusivity is set to be of order the convective diffusivity were the region convectively unstable

$$D = H_{\rm p} \left(\frac{F}{\rho}\right)^{1/3} \tanh\left(\frac{L_{*}}{\mathcal{L}_{\rm Edd}}\right)^{\xi}$$



AGN Stars Evolution

Initial Conditions

* We use MESA to include the extra physics required to simulate AGN stars (modified boundary conditions, Bondi Accretion, super-Eddington Massloss, extra mixing)

* We take a solar metallicity $1M_{Sun}$ model and embed it in an AGN with ($\rho_a, c_{s,a}$)

* We assume constant composition of accreted material

* For now we neglect rotation (but see Adam Jermyn's follow-up work)

AGN Stars Evolution



Cantiello, Jermyn & Lin (CJL 2020)

AGN Stars Evolution

* Likely final outcome: BH with $\sim 10 M_{sun}$

Mass Budget

Started as a 1Msun, evolves to ~200M_{Sun} in 73Myr, loses ~400M_{Sun} of He-rich, CNO-processed material, leaves behind ~10M_{Sun} BH

Mass Accretion and Loss

Results: three regimes

* Slow Accretion: $\tau_{Acc} > \tau_{Nuc}$ or $\tau_{Acc} > \tau_{AGN}$ * Intermediate Accretion: $\tau_{Nuc} \leq \tau_{Acc} < \tau_{AGN}$ * Runaway Accretion: $\tau_{Acc} \ll \tau_{Nuc} < \tau_{AGN}$

Modifications to Bondi Accretion

A. Rarefication

Reduction of Bondi accretion in AGN disks. Better mapping of evolutionary outcomes in realistic disk conditions

B. Shear

C. Tides

Dittmann, Cantiello & Jermyn 2021

AGN Stars Rotational Evolution

Stars accrete mass but also angular momentum. They rotate very rapidly by the end of their lives.

- 1) Rapidly spinning compact remnants
- 2) Relativistic explosions (LGRBs)

Jermyn, Cantiello, Dittmann & Perna 2021, Perna et al. 2021

Massive & Very Massive Stars

More realistic mapping to AGN models

Dittmann, Cantiello & Jermyn 2021

Realm of Immortal Stars

Jermyn et al. 2022

Impact of Immortal Stars on AGN Disks

~10² - 10⁴ immortal stars per AGN Jermyn et al. 2022

Impact of Immortal Stars on AGN Disks

~10² - 10⁴ BHs + BHs from intermediate accretion

Impact of Immortal Stars on AGN Disks

Many possible dynamical interactions (see Jermyn+ 22)

Possible Implications / Predictions

- * Population of massive & very massive * in AGN disks (e.g. Goodman & Tan 2004, Dittmann & Miller 2020)
- * AGN Disk composition evolution (Cantiello, Jermyn, Lin 2020)

* AGN Feedback (from winds / SNe / GRBs ...)

(Perna, Lazzati & Cantiello 2020; Jermyn, Cantiello, Dittmann & Perna 2021 In Prep.)

* Mergers of Compact Objects (LIGO/Virgo, LISA)

(McKernan et al. 2012, 2014; Bellovary et al. 2016, Bartos et al. 2017; Stone et al. 2017; Leigh et al. 2018, Fragione et al. 2019;Secunda et al. 2019; Tagawa et al. 2020; Yang et al. 2020; Gröbner et al. 2020; Ishibashi & Gröbner 2020; Graham et al. 2020, Abbott et al. 2020ab)

* Galactic Center stellar populations and abundances (e.g. Levin 2003, Levin & Beloborodov 2003, Davies & Lin 2020)

See M. Davies' talk

Uncertain Physics and Future Steps

* Bondi-Hoyle accretion around massive stars

- ∗ Mass Loss
- ★ Effects of rotation
- * Pairing Evolution + Dynamics
- * Evolving composition of accreted matter
- * Explore different metallicities

Current team: Adam Jermyn, Doug Lin, Alexander Dittmann, Yan-Fei Jiang, Rosalba Perna, Saavik Ford, Barry McKernan. Still a lot of possible projects and collaborations. Talk to us!

Thanks!

Backup Slides

Observational Constraints

 Observations of stellar populations and stellar remnants are directly available

 Might have experienced AGN-like phase ~6-7Myr ago

- ~200 young massive stars within ~1pc. Presence of so many young stars in the immediate vicinity of the central BH unexpected (Ghez et al. 2003b; Alexander 2005)
- Density of massive O/WR stars in the inner 1pc region raises steeply within ~0.5pc. No O/WR stars beyond 0.5pc (Paumard et al. 2006; Bartko et al. 2010)
- Top-heavy present day mass function within 0.5pc (Genzel 2010)
- Stellar spectroscopy shows that some of these stars might be Herich (Martins et al. 2008; Habibi et al. 2017; Do et al. 2018)

Genzel 2010

See also M. Davies' talk

Missing stellar cusp (Bahcall&Wolf 1976). Possible depletion of lowmass stars in the inner regions

LMXB candidates identified by Hailey+ 2018 are found only within $\approx 1 \text{ pc.}$

(Embedded) Relativistic Explosions?

AGN Stars evolve chemically homogeneously. They also spin rapidly (Jermyn, Cantiello, Dittmann, Perna In prep.)

-> Relativistic explosions in AGNs (Long and short GRBs) Perna, Lazzati & Cantiello 2021

(Embedded) Relativistic Explosions?

Possible impact on feedback and AGN variability e.g. Graham+2017

Perna, Lazzati & Cantiello 2021

"Immortal" Massive Stars

Because $\tau_{Acc} \ll \tau_{Nuc}$ and since the star is well-mixed, the star is constantly fed fresh fuel from the disk. Massive stars can stay on the main sequence burning H as long as accretion proceeds

Results: three regimes

* Slow Accretion: $\tau_{Acc} > \tau_{Nuc}$ or $\tau_{Acc} > \tau_{AGN}$ * Intermediate Accretion: $\tau_{Nuc} \leq \tau_{Acc} < \tau_{AGN}$ * Runaway Accretion: $\tau_{Acc} \ll \tau_{Nuc} < \tau_{AGN}$

