Stratified & multiphase turbulence in the ICM

based on Rajsekhar Mohapatra's thesis work at ANU stratified turbulence: arXiv:2001.06494, 2010.12602 multiphase turbulence: arXiv:2107.07722, 2109.01771, 2206.03602



Prateek Sharma IISc, Bangalore





Need for indirect probes of turbulence

assuming density & SB fluctuations are due to turbulence



X-ray surface brightness fluctuations [Zhuravleva+ 2014]







Need for indirect probes of turbulence



Need for indirect probes of turbulence

other indirect probe is tSZ effect that measures LOS pressure through the ICM, again pressure fluctuations assumed to be mainly caused by turbulence





Turbulence in cold filaments



vLOS much easier to measure for cooler 10⁴ K gas than hot X-ray emitting plasma; cold gas a tracer of background hot gas?



Need for idealized turbulent simulations

- -ICM is **stratified** & cool cores are **multiphase**, substantially different from K41 (isotropic, single-phase)
- idealized boxes are simpler to understand, with a large body of work
- stirring due to jets/mergers/substructure may be mapped to large-scale driving???

Stratified turbulence

finite volume hydrodynamic code FLASH; without explicit viscosity

hydro equations with external gravity (mimicking DM gravity):

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial (\rho \mathbf{v})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + \nabla P =$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot ((E + P)\mathbf{v}) = \rho \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{v}$$

 $g = -\nabla \phi, E = \rho v^2/2 + P/(\gamma - 1), \gamma = 5/3$

[Mohapatra, Federrath & Sharma 2020, 2021]





Richardson or Froude number

N: Brunt-Vaisala frequency

 v_l : turbulent velocity at scale l

for strong stratification eddies are flattened $l_{\perp} > l_{\parallel}$



Unstratified turbulence



In subsonic regime relevant for ICM,

$$\left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right)_{\rm rms} \approx \frac{3}{5} \left(\frac{\delta p}{p}\right)_{\rm rms} \approx \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{M}_{\rm rms}^2$$

disagrees with rho-v relation from cosmological sims.

$$\frac{\delta \rho_k / \rho}{v_{1,k} / c_s} \approx 1 \pm 0.3$$

is it because of stratification?

 2×10^{0}

ms al sims.









Multiphase turbulence

again using FLASH code; no explicit dissipation

hydro equations with global thermal balance: resolutions up to ~1500³ solenoidal driving $\rho \mathbf{F},$ cooling rate density $n_e n_i \Lambda(T)$ isotropic homogeneous low-k driving thermal heating rate density $g \qquad \int \rho \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{v} dV = f_{\text{turb}} \int \mathcal{L} dV$ $Q_{\text{mw}}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \rho(\mathbf{x}, t) (1 - f_{\text{turb}}) \frac{\int \mathcal{L} dV}{\int \rho dV},$ lensity by turbulent forcing $+ P/(\gamma - 1), \gamma = 5/3$ $Q_{\rm vw}(\mathbf{x},t) = (1 - f_{\rm turb}) \frac{\int \mathcal{L} dV}{\int dV}.$ atra+ 2022a, b, c] 15

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial (\rho \mathbf{v})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} + P^* I - \mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbf{B}) &= \\ \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot ((E + P^*) \mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{B}) &= \rho \mathbf{F} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} - \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) &= 0, \\ P^* &= P + \frac{\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{2}, \quad \text{power injection rate d} \\ E &= \frac{\rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{2} + \frac{P}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{2}, \\ Mohapatra + 2022a, b, c] \qquad E = \rho v^2/2 + \frac{\rho v^2}{2} +$$







cold gas forms much faster with stronger turbulence, turbulence seeds density perturbations



16

Projected temperature

Temperature PDFs



- f- feedback energy fraction in form of turbulence
 - turbulence enhances intermediate-T gas by mixing hot & cold gas
 - hot peak cooler with higher turbulence



ressure/y fluctuations squared Δ

squared Density fluctuations



Hot phase Mach number

18

Density & pressure fluctuations vs M

for multiphase turbulence, density fluctuations larger but pressure fluctuations similar to non-radiative runs



Modes of perturbation



f0.10 f1.00 $\log_{10}^{-2}(\bar{\rho})$ $\mathbf{2}$ $\log_{10}^{-2}(\bar{\rho})$ 0 $\mathbf{2}$ -6-4-2 $\log_{10}(\mathrm{PDF}(\log_{10}\left(\bar{P}\right),\log_{10}\left(\bar{\rho}\right)))$

can be probed observationally, in principle



Projected vs 3D structure functions



$$VSF_{p}(r) = \langle \delta v_{r}^{p} \rangle$$
$$\delta v_{r} = |\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{e}_{1}r, t) - \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t)|$$

these are projected structure functions



Projected vs 3D structure functions

projected SFs different from 3D SFs because:

cancellation of velocities of many small eddies i) along LOS suppresses power at small scales

ii) projected distance smaller than 3D distance, hence power at small scales increases

i) steepens the VSF & ii) makes it shallower thus projection can cause both steepening & flattening



Projection effects in K41 turbulence



projected SFs are steeper because of greater cancellation of velocities of smaller eddies along LOS (effect i) dominates)

what happens in multiphase turbulence?





3D VSFs: hydro vs MHD



Magnetic field - cold phase δv^2 much steeper than hot, since cold gas is magnetically dominated



3D VSFs: hydro vs MHD



Magnetic field - cold phase δv^2 much steeper than hot, since cold gas is magnetically dominated







Projected VSFs for cold gas & observations

cold gas projected VSF shallow (esp. at small scales) because not many cold clouds along LOS no cancellation along LOS

projection takes power from large to small scales

observed cold gas VSF steeper at small scales; can it be due to insufficient resolution or plasma viscosity?





ICM can have compressive driving

shocks and cavities/bubbles driven by jets



Effects of driving

with compressive driving, cold phase filamentary & larger spread in density/temperature



-1.5



Compressive $\nabla \times \mathbf{a} = 0$

Natural (Comp+Sol)/2

Solenoidal
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0$$

Temperature

Conclusions

- ICM turbulence is more rich than K41's isotropic, homogeneous, single phase turbulence
- must be borne in mind to interpret multiwavelength observations
- density fluctuations (and hence surface brightness) depend not only on Mach number but also on stratification, cooling
- projected VSF can be shallower or steeper than 3D VSFs; careful in interpretation
- compressive driving creates denser filaments; TI+turbulence with gravity (stay tuned!)

Thank You!