INTERACTION BETWEEN A CENTRAL RADIO GALAXY AND THE ICM

PAUL NULSEN, JOHN ZUHONE, CENTER FOR ASTROPHYSICS | HARVARD & SMITHSONIAN BRADFORD SNIOS (CFA), MICHAEL WISE (SRON), MARTIJN DE VRIES (STANFORD)

2022 Aug 17

CYGNUS A

Archetype of powerful FR II radio sources (Carilli & Barthel 1994)

Radio luminosity \approx 7×10⁴⁴ erg s⁻¹; z = 0.056; scale = 1.1 kpc arcsec⁻¹

Hosted by the central galaxy of a cool-core cluster

Chandra X-ray image reveals AGN, radio hotspots, cocoon shock, X-ray cavities, shock compressed rim, nonthermal X-rays from the lobes, "X-ray jet"

C band radio map (4 – 8 GHz; Kokotanekov 2018)

ICM 2022

CYGNUS A

Archetype of powerful FR II radio sources (Carilli & Barthel 1994)

Radio luminosity \approx 7×10⁴⁴ erg s⁻¹; z = 0.056; scale = 1.1 kpc arcsec⁻¹

Chandra 0.5 – 5 keV (2 Msec)

Hosted by the central galaxy of a cool-core cluster

Chandra X-ray image reveals AGN, radio hotspots, cocoon shock, X-ray cavities, shock compressed rim, nonthermal X-rays from the lobes, "X-ray jet"



CYGNUS A

Archetype of powerful FR II radio sources (Carilli & Barthel 1994)

Radio luminosity \approx 7×10⁴⁴ erg s⁻¹; z = 0.056; scale = 1.1 kpc arcsec⁻¹

Chandra + C band radio contours

Hosted by the central galaxy of a cool-core cluster

Chandra X-ray image reveals AGN, radio hotspots, cocoon shock, X-ray cavities, shock compressed rim, nonthermal X-rays from the lobes, "X-ray jet"





COCOON PRESSURE IS WELL DETERMINED

Snios+ (2018) use deprojected pressures plus shock strengths to determine postshock pressures at 8 positions



They also made largely independent measures of pressure in the bright rim

Rim pressures and postshock pressures are approximately consistent with one another

Combine to give the cocoon pressure estimate $8.6\pm0.3 \times 10^{-10}$ erg cm⁻³

THE X-RAY JET

Steenbrugge+ (2008) argue it is inverse Compton emission from a "relic" jet.

0.5 - 5 keV



De Vries+ (2018) show the emission is nonthermal

Minimum pressures for IC (SSC) model East $4 - 8 \times 10^{-10}$ erg cm⁻³ West $2-10 \times 10^{-9}$ erg cm⁻³ 2022 Aug 17

40 arcsec

THE X-RAY JET

Steenbrugge+ (2008) argue it is inverse Compton emission from a "relic" jet.



Cygnus A, X band (Sebokolodi p. comm.)

ICM 2022



De Vries+ (2018) show the emission is nonthermal

Minimum pressures for IC (SSC) model east $4 - 8 \times 10^{-10}$ erg cm⁻³ West $2-10 \times 10^{-9}$ erg cm⁻³ ^{2022 Aug 17}

NONTHERMAL X-RAYS FROM EASTERN LOBE

vi t.0¹



^o U.S. ^o

SB profile shows: ICM; shocked ICM; lobe (SSC+ICCMB); X-ray jet.

Strong X-ray emission is detected from within the radio lobes

de Vries+ (2019): Eastern lobe power law flux \approx 70 nJy at 1 keV, photon index \approx 1.72; western lobe, 50 nJy and photon index 1.97

Predominantly synchrotron-self Compton emission (SSC), with ≈ 30% ICCMB X-rays

ICM 2022

2022 Aug 17

Lobe Cut
Model

LOBE EMISSION



SB profile model: two nested shells, each with constant emission per unit volume (shocked ICM and lobe), embedded in a beta model (ICM). Disregard Xray jet (Snios+ 2020)



Emission per unit volume

Shocked ICM: 0.68±0.03×10⁻⁸ ct cm⁻² arcsec⁻³

Lobe: 1.42±0.07×10⁻⁸ ct cm⁻² arcsec⁻³

2022 Aug 17

X-RAY HOLE AROUND HOTSPOT E

X-ray emission around hotspot E, "primary" hotspot in east, is depressed within $r \approx 4$ arcsec

0.5 - 5 keV



No similar hole around hotspot B (western primary hotspot)

40 arcsec

CAVITY PROFILE



Model the SB profile as a spherical hole in a region with constant emission per unit volume

Missing emission per unit volume:

2.5±0.3×10⁻⁸ ct cm⁻² arcsec⁻³

At least 70% greater than emission being displaced

=> Hole must be deeper along our line of sight than its diameter (Snios+ 2020)



ICM 2022

JET CAVITY FORMATION

Jet is deflected off the shock compressed ICM at hotspot E

Shock(s) convert jet kinetic to thermal energy

Outgoing jet expands to match the lobe pressure





Jets deflects off the ICM at primary hotspot

=> outflow is Doppler dimmed

Dimming depends on viewing direction, or axis inclination, which is poorly determined: 55° (Vestergaard & Barthel 1993) or 75° (Boccardi+ 2016)

Hotspot B is on side inclined towards us (Carilli+ 1988), so its outflow may experience less dimming

2022 Aug 17

HOTSPOT SIMULATIONS

GAMER: GPU accelerated, AMR, relativistic, 3d hydrodynamic code using Taub-Mathews equation of state – approximate Synge model for a single particle species (Tseng, Schive & Chiueh 2020)

Light jet – minimizes ram pressure for fixed jet power; "thermal" power dominates kinetic power and momentum flux is close to P/c $P = (\gamma - 1)\dot{M}c^2 + hAc\beta\gamma^2$

Initial pressure is uniform, with inclined interface between ICM and lobe.

Jet flows parallel to the y axis; core is faster than the sheath

Jet diameter 0.5 kpc to match hotspot E width

```
Jet power 4x10<sup>45</sup> erg s<sup>-1</sup>
```

PROPER DENSITY



TEMPERATURE



Aug 17

MOMENTUM MAGNITUDE



ICM 2022

ıg 17

HOLE DUE TO DOPPLER BEAMING



2022 Aug 17

HOLE DUE TO DOPPLER BEAMING



Radiation isotropic in rest frame; power per unit volume proportional to pressure

Apply Doppler factor for spectral index of -1 in each fluid element

ICM 2022

ICM FRACTION



22 Aug 17

ESTIMATE "ICM" FRACTION OF LOBE VOLUME

Pressure approx. uniform away from hotspot – assume fraction of volume proportional to kinetic + thermal power crossing enclosing surface



ICM 2022

ESTIMATE "ICM" FRACTION OF LOBE VOLUME



A lobe inflated by such a jet is dominated by shocked ICM – depending on particle acceleration efficiency, a significant fraction of lobe energy may thermalize quickly when mixed into the ICM

ICM 2022

CONCLUSIONS

- Pressure in the lobes of Cygnus A is well constrained
- Relic emission in the X-ray jet is created by "cold" gas clouds intercepting the jet
- The hole around hotspot E is due to Doppler beaming in the outflow from the hotspot
- The lobe plasma is dominated by shocked ICM