

The State University of New York

ExtreMe Matter Institute EMMI

EMMI Rapid Reaction Task Force

Nuclear Physics Confronts Relativistic Collisions of Isobars

Open Symposium: May 30, 2022, 1:45 p.m., Grosser Hoersaal, Heidelberg University, Philosophenweg 12, 69120 Heidelberg/Germany

Imaging nuclear structure in high-energy heavyion collisions

Jiangyong Jia



Brookhaven National Laboratory

6/9/2022 NBI



Office of Science | U.S. Department of Energy

Landscape of nuclear physics



Most nuclear experiments starts with nuclei

Rich structure of atomic nuclei

Collective phenomena of many-body quantum system

- clustering, halo, skin, bubble...
- quadrupole/octupole/hexdecopole deformations
- Nontrivial evaluation with N and Z.





Understanding via effective nuclear theories

Lattice, Ab.initio (starting from NN interaction)

β₂-landscape

- Shell models (configuration interaction)
- DFT models (non-relativistic and covariant)

High-energy heavy ion collision



High-energy heavy ion collision



1) Are nuclear structures important for HI initial condition and final state evolution?

2) What HI experimental observables can be used to infer structure information?

3) Can HI provides competitive constraints on nuclear shape and radial profile? can consideration of nuclear structure improves understanding of HI initial condition?

Collective flow in fluctuating events



Linear corr. between initial & final state



nice correlation at high energy



0.5

0.6

7

Zoo of Flow observables



Two-particle correlation function

$$\left\langle rac{d^2 N_1}{d \phi d p_{\mathrm{T}}} rac{d^2 N_2}{d \phi d p_{\mathrm{T}}}
ight
angle \Rightarrow \left\langle oldsymbol{V}_n(p_{T1}) oldsymbol{V}_n^*(p_{T2})
ight
angle ~~n-n=0$$

Multi-particle correlation function

State-of-the-art modeling of HI collisions

 Data-model comparison via Bayesian inference to optimize constraining power.



Detailed temperature dependence of viscosity!



Jetscape PRL.126.242301 Trjactum PRL.126.202301

Major uncertainty: initial condition and pre-hydro phase

The role of nuclear structure



 $T_A(x,y)=\int
ho(x,y,z)dz$

• Different ways of depositing energy $T \propto \left(\frac{T_A^p + T_B^p}{2}\right)^{q/p}$

$$e(x,y)\sim egin{cases} T_A+T_B&N_{
m part}-{
m sca}\ T_AT_B&N_{
m coll}-{
m sca}\ \sqrt{T_AT_B}&{
m Trento}\ {
m det}\ {
m min}\{T_A,T_B\}&{
m KLN\ mode}\ T_A+T_B+lpha T_AT_B&{
m two-comp}\ {
m similar\ to}\ \end{array}$$

 $N_{
m part}-{
m scaling}, p=1 \ N_{
m coll}-{
m scaling}, p=0, q=2 \ {
m Trento} \ {
m default}, p=0 \ {
m KLN} \ {
m model}, p\sim -2/3 \ {
m two-component} \ {
m model}, {
m similar} \ {
m to} \ {
m quark-glauber} \ {
m model}$

Use nuclear structure to provide extra lever-arm for initial condition?

The role of nuclear structure



Parametric form

- In principle, can measure any moments of $p(1/R, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3...)$
 - Mean $\langle d_{\perp} \rangle$
 - Variances: $\langle \varepsilon_n^2 \rangle$, $\langle (\delta d_\perp/d_\perp)^2 \rangle \quad d_\perp \equiv 1/R_\perp$ Skewness $\langle \varepsilon_n^2 \delta d_\perp/d_\perp \rangle$, $\langle (\delta d_\perp/d_\perp)^3 \rangle$ $\langle v_n^2 \delta p_{\rm T}/p_{\rm T} \rangle$, $\langle (\delta p_{\rm T}/p_{\rm T})^3 \rangle$
 - $\text{Kurtosis} \quad \left\langle \varepsilon_n^4 \right\rangle 2 \left\langle \varepsilon_n^2 \right\rangle^2, \left\langle \left(\delta d_\perp / d_\perp \right)^4 \right\rangle 3 \left\langle \left(\delta d_\perp / d_\perp \right)^2 \right\rangle^2 \quad \left\langle v_n^4 \right\rangle 2 \left\langle v_n^2 \right\rangle^2, \left\langle \left(\delta p_{\mathrm{T}} / p_{\mathrm{T}} \right)^4 \right\rangle 3 \left\langle \left(\delta p_{\mathrm{T}} / p_{\mathrm{T}} \right)^2 \right\rangle^2$
- All with rather simple connection to deformation, for example:
 - Variances

. . .

Skewness

$$ig \langle arepsilon_n^2
angle pprox a_n + \sum_{m,m'} b_{n;m,m'} eta_m eta_m eta_m \ ig \langle (\delta d_\perp/d_\perp)^2 ig
angle pprox a_0 + \sum_{m,m'} b_{0;m,m'} eta_m eta_m eta_m'$$

Specifically:

$$egin{aligned} &\langle arepsilon_2^2
angle \sim a_2 + b_2 eta_2^2 + b_{2,3} eta_3^2 \ &\langle arepsilon_3^2
angle \sim a_3 + b_3 eta_3^2 + b_{3,2} eta_2^2 + b_{3,4} eta_4^2 \ &\langle arepsilon_4^2
angle \sim a_4 + b_4 eta_4^2 + b_{4,2} eta_2^2 \ &\langle (\delta d_\perp/d_\perp)^2
angle \sim a_0 + b_0 eta_2^2 + b_{0,3} eta_3^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} &\langle arepsilon_2^2 \delta d_\perp / d_\perp
angle &\sim a_1 - b_1 \cos(3\gamma) eta_2^3 \ &\langle (\delta d_\perp / d_\perp)^3
angle &\sim a_2 + b_2 \cos(3\gamma) eta_2^3 \end{aligned}$$

Kurtosis

$$\langle \varepsilon_2^4 \rangle - 2 \langle \varepsilon_2^2 \rangle^2 \sim a_3 - b_3 \beta_2^4$$

$$\left\langle \left(\delta d_{\perp}/d_{\perp}\right)^{4}\right\rangle - 3\left\langle \left(\delta d_{\perp}/d_{\perp}\right)^{2}\right\rangle^{2} \sim a_{4} - b_{4}\beta_{2}^{4}$$

Low-energy vs high-energy HI method

Shape from B(En), radial profile from e+A or ion-A scattering



Shape frozen in crossing time (<10⁻²⁴s), probe entire mass distribution via multi-point correlations.



Collective flow response to nuclear structure



 $S(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2) \equiv \langle \delta \rho(\mathbf{s}_1) \delta \rho(\mathbf{s}_2) \rangle \\ = \langle \rho(\mathbf{s}_1) \rho(\mathbf{s}_2) \rangle - \langle \rho(\mathbf{s}_1) \rangle \langle \rho(\mathbf{s}_2) \rangle.$

But how to achieve precision?

Isobar collisions at RHIC: context



- Designed to search for the chiral magnetic effect: strong P & CP violation in the presence of EM field. Experimental signature is a spontaneous separation of + and - hadrons along EM direction, vertical to *E*₂
- Turns out the CME signal is small, and isobar-differences are dominated by the nuclear structure differences.

Isobar collisions at RHIC/STAR

RHIC Running

Switch isobar species each time beam is inserted into RHIC

From J Drachenberg

- Stable luminosity (matched between species) with long (~ 20 hour) beam circulation time
- Adjust and level luminosity to optimize data collection rate while minimizing backgrounds and systematics
- Restrict species-related information to those necessary for successful data-taking
- Calibration experts (recused from CME analyses) evaluate data quality "in real time"



STAR Data Acquisition Rates

<0.4% precision is achieved in ratio of many observables between ${}^{96}Ru + {}^{96}Ru$ and ${}^{96}Zr + {}^{96}Zr$ systems \rightarrow precision imaging tool

Isobar collisions as precision tool

• A key question for any HI observable **O**:



Deviation from 1 must has origin in the nuclear structure, which impacts the initial state and then survives to the final state.

- Many such pairs of isobars in the nuclear chart.
 - Small system isobar such as 36Ar and 36S.
 - Large system isobar such as 204Hg and 204Pb
- Isobar also done at low energy, e.g FOPI, with different physics focus
 - Baryon stopping and isospin sensitive observables.
 - No clear separation between initial and final state
 - Smaller hadron multiplicity limits the precision



Isobar collisions as precision tool

• A key question for any HI observable **O**:

$$egin{aligned} rac{O_{96}{
m Ru}+^{96}{
m Ru}}{O_{96}{
m Zr}+^{96}{
m Zr}} \stackrel{?}{=} 1 \end{aligned}$$

Deviation from 1 must has origin in the nuclear structure, which impacts the initial state and then survives to the final state.





$ ho(r, heta,\phi)=rac{ ho_0}{1+e^{(r-R(heta,\phi))/a_0}}$
$R(\theta,\phi) = R_0 \left(1 + \frac{\beta_2 [\cos \gamma Y_{2,0} + \sin \gamma Y_{2,2}]}{1 + \beta_3 \sum_{m=-3}^3 \alpha_{3,m} Y_{3,m}} + \frac{\beta_4 \sum_{m=-4}^4 \alpha_{4,m} Y_{4,m}}{1 + \beta_4 \sum_{m=-4}^4 \alpha_{4,m} Y_{4,m}} \right)$
$\mathcal{O} \approx b_0 + b_1 \beta_2^2 + b_2 \beta_3^2 + b_3 (R_0 - R_{0,\text{ref}}) + b_4 (a - a_{\text{ref}})$
$R_{\mathcal{O}} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Ru}}}{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Zr}}} \approx 1 + c_1 \Delta \beta_2^2 + c_2 \Delta \beta_3^2 + c_3 \Delta R_0 + c_4 \Delta a$

Species	β_2	eta_3	a_0	R_0
Ru	0.162	0	$0.46~\mathrm{fm}$	$5.09~\mathrm{fm}$
Zr	0.06	0.20	$0.52~\mathrm{fm}$	$5.02~\mathrm{fm}$
difference	$\Delta \beta_2^2$	$\Delta \beta_3^2$	Δa_0	ΔR_0
	0.0226	-0.04	-0.06 fm	$0.07~\mathrm{fm}$

Valid for most single- and two-particle observable: $v_2, v_3, p(N_{ch}), <p_T>, <\delta p_T^2>..$

Only probes isobar differences

AMPT results: scaled



Verifies the relation: $1 + c_1 \Delta \beta_2^2 + c_2 \Delta \beta_3^2 + c_3 \Delta a + c_4 \Delta R$

Scaling approach to nuclear structure

arXiv:2111.15559

20

Valid for most single- and two-particle observable: $v_2, v_3, p(N_{ch}), <p_T>, <\delta p_T^2>..$

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Ru}}}{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Zr}}} \approx 1 + c_1 \Delta \beta_2^2 + c_2 \Delta \beta_3^2 + c_3 \Delta R_0 + c_4 \Delta a$$

- Determine c_n once, and predict ratios for other NS parameter values.
- Constrain parameters via χ^2 analysis or Bayesian inference.

Compare with isobar data

Use these ratios to probe shape and radial structure of nuclei.

Nuclear structure via v_n-ratio

- $\beta_{2Ru} \sim 0.16 \text{ increase } v_2, \text{ no influence on } v_3 \text{ ratio}$
- $\beta_{3Zr} \sim 0.2$ decrease v_2 in mid-central, decrease v_3 ratio
- $\Delta a_0 = -0.06$ fm increase v_2 mid-central, small influ. on v_3 .
- Radius $\Delta R_0 = 0.07$ fm only slightly affects v_2 and v_3 ratio.

Simultaneously constrain these parameters using different N_{ch} regions

Not affected by final state

- Vary the shear viscosity via partonic cross-section
 - Flow signal change by 30-50%, the v_n ratio unchanged.

Nuclear structure via p(N_{ch}), <pT>-ratio

For Nch ratio:

• $\beta_{2Ru} \sim 0.16$ decrease ratio, increase after considering $\beta_{3Zr} \sim 0.2$

25

• The bump structure in non-central region from Δa_0 and ΔR_0

For <pT> ratio:

• Strong influence from Δa_0 and ΔR_0

Earlier studies on this from H.Li, H.J Xu, PRL125, 222301 (2020) arXiv:2111.14812

Relating to the Neutron Skin

Related to the EOS of symmetry energy, in particular the slope parameter "L"

$$E_{
m sym}(
ho)pprox J+Lx+rac{1}{2}K_{
m sym}x^2 \quad x=(
ho-
ho_{
m sat})/3
ho_{
m sat}$$

Many constraints from structure and low-energy heavy-ion experiments

Relating to neutron skin: $\Delta r_{np} = \langle r_n \rangle^{1/2} - \langle r_p \rangle^{1/2}$

arXiv:2111.15559

Neutron skin Δ_{np} can be expressed by R_0 and a_0 for nucleons and protons:

 $\bar{x} = (x_1 + x_2)/2$

/ 2\ / 2\

For Woods-Saxon:
$$\langle r^2
angle \approx \left(rac{3}{5} R_0^2 + rac{7}{5} \pi^2 a^2
ight) \quad \left\langle r_p^2
ight
angle \approx \left(rac{3}{5} R_{0,p}^2 + rac{7}{5} \pi^2 a_p^2
ight)$$

Isobar collision measure "difference of neutron skin" from $\Delta R_0 \Delta a$ for nucleons, and known $\Delta R_0 \Delta a$ for protons:

$$\Delta(\Delta r_{np}) = \Delta r_{np,1} - \Delta r_{np,2} \approx \frac{\Delta Y - \frac{7\pi^2}{3} \frac{\bar{a}^2}{\bar{R}_0^2} \left(\frac{\Delta Y}{2} + \bar{Y} \left(\frac{\Delta a}{\bar{a}} - \frac{\Delta R_0}{\bar{R}_0} \right) \right)}{\sqrt{15} \bar{R}_0 \left(1 + \bar{\delta} \right)}$$

$$\Delta x = x_1 - x_2 \qquad Y \equiv 3(R_0^2 - R_{0,p}^2) + 7\pi^2 (a^2 - a_p^2)$$

Hydro-response to Neutron skin

Centrality(%)

Centrality(%)

Directly peeling off the skin matter

Similar to low energy fragmentation reaction

 Enhanced skin contribution in peripheral collisions reduces net charge in mid-rapidity

H. Xu et.al. 2105.04052

 Spectator neutrons in ultra-central isobar collisions is enhanced N.Kozyrev, I. Pshenichnov 2204.07189

L. Liu, J. Xu et.al 2203.09924

Complete separation between participant and spectator matter

Three-particle observables

What are the next steps?

Direction I: exploration

- Further explore connections between NS and HI, more observables
 - More case studies with Ru/Zr, Au/U, Pb/Xe. cancel most final state effect
 - Shape fluctuations and shape coexistence

Direction I: exploration

- Further explore connections between NS and HI, more observables
 - More case studies with Ru/Zr, Au/U, Pb/Xe. cancel most final state effect
 - Shape fluctuations and shape coexistence

Direction I: exploration

- Further explore connections between NS and HI, more observables
 - More case studies with Ru/Zr, Au/U, Pb/Xe. cancel most final state effect
 - Shape fluctuations and shape coexistence
 - Energy dependence of the nuclear structure RHIC vs LHC
 - Will gluon saturation modifies the impact of nuclear structure to initial state?
 - Longitudinal dependence?

STAR, QM2022

Direction II: calibration

- Calibrating the response coefficients using species with well known properties such as Pb and U.
 - This is also important to understand the HI initial condition, e.g. testing different energy deposition mechanisms.
 - What kinds of ultimate precision in HI can be achieved? Require systematic theoretical efforts from both communities.

Direct III : heavy system (isobar) scan

- Make predictions for "heavy species of interest"
 - Precision with isobar species: evolution of shape/skin.
 - Odd mass vs even mass given same information?

b', b are ~ independent of system

Systems with similar A fall on the same curve. Fix a and b with two isobar systems with known β_2 , then make predictions for the third one

Direction IV: light system (isobar) scan

(a) Triangular shape of ¹²C

(b) Y-shape of ¹⁶O

- Alpha clustering, halo etc from structure side
- Sub-nucleonic fluctuations will be important
- New handle on origin of collectivity, role of HI early time dynamics.

Isobar (or close to) is probably the best way to achieve precision

(c) Quadrilateral shape of ¹⁶O

37

2112.08617

王远哲,张松,马余刚

Very subtle effects: Need light isobars to verify the sensitivity e.g. 40Ca+40Ca vs 40Ar+40Ar.

Summarizing questions

- How the nuclear shape and radial profile extracted from HI collisions relate to properties measured in nuclear structure experiments?
- How the uncertainties in nuclear structure impact the initial state of HI collisions and extraction of QGP transport properties?
- What are the most interesting stable isobar species to collide?

arXiv:2102.08158

A	isobars	A	isobars	A	isobars
36	Ar, S	106	Pd, Cd	148	Nd, Sm
40	Ca, Ar	108	Pd, Cd	150	Nd, Sm
46	Ca, Ti	110	Pd, Cd	152	Sm, Gd
48	Ca, Ti	112	Cd, Sn	154	Sm, Gd
50	Ti, V, Cr	113	Cd, In	156	Gd, Dy
54	Cr, Fe	114	Cd, Sn	158	Gd, Dy
64	Ni, Zn	115	In, Sn	160	Gd, Dy
70	Zn, Ge	116	Cd, Sn	162	Dy, Er
74	Ge, Se	120	Sn, Te	164	Dy, Er
76	Ge, Se	122	Sn, Te	168	Er, Yb
78	Se, Kr	123	Sb, Te	170	Er, Yb
80	Se, Kr	124	Sn, Te, Xe	174	Yb, Hf
84	Kr, Sr, Mo	126	Te, Xe	176	Yb, Lu, Hf
86	Kr, Sr	128	Te, Xe	180	Hf, W
87	Rb, Sr	130	Te, Xe, Ba	184	W, Os
92	Zr, Nb, Mo	132	Xe, Ba	186	W, Os
94	Zr, Mo	134	Xe, Ba	187	Re, Os
96	Zr, Mo, Ru	136	Xe, Ba, Ce	190	Os, Pt
98	Mo, Ru	138	Ba, La, Ce	192	Os, Pt
100	Mo, Ru	142	Ce, Nd	198	Pt, Hg
102	Ru, Pd	144	Nd, Sm	204	Hg, Pb
104	Ru, Pd	146	Nd, Sm		

ExtreMe Matter Institute EMMI

EMMI Rapid Reaction Task Force

Nuclear Physics Confronts Relativistic Collisions of Isobars

Open Symposium: May 30, 2022, 1:45 p.m., Grosser Hoersaal, Heidelberg University, Philosophenweg 12, 69120 Heidelberg/Germany

https://indico.gsi.de/event/14430 Part II in October this yar

