

Distributional sources for the second-order Einstein field equations

Samuel D. Upton
In collaboration with: Adam Pound

Astronomical Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences

Capra 26 (3 July 2023)



- Discuss distributional sources to the Einstein field equations in the Lorenz gauge

- Discuss distributional sources to the Einstein field equations in the Lorenz gauge
 - Well-defined second-order field equations using the Detweiler stress-energy tensor [SDU & AP, 2101.11409]

- Discuss distributional sources to the Einstein field equations in the Lorenz gauge
 - Well-defined second-order field equations using the Detweiler stress-energy tensor [SDU & AP, 2101.11409]
- Show how to use the Detweiler stress-energy tensor in a practical way

- Discuss distributional sources to the Einstein field equations in the Lorenz gauge
 - Well-defined second-order field equations using the Detweiler stress-energy tensor [SDU & AP, 2101.11409]
- Show how to use the Detweiler stress-energy tensor in a practical way
 - Derive a counter term that cancels divergence in the second-order source at the worldline

- Discuss distributional sources to the Einstein field equations in the Lorenz gauge
 - Well-defined second-order field equations using the Detweiler stress-energy tensor [SDU & AP, 2101.11409]
- Show how to use the Detweiler stress-energy tensor in a practical way
 - Derive a counter term that cancels divergence in the second-order source at the worldline
 - Demonstrate that counter term is equivalent to result obtained through Hadamard finite part regularisation

- Well-defined EFEs at second order

$$\delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^2] + \delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1] = 8\pi T_2^{\mu\nu}$$

where

$$T_2^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{m}{2} \int u^\mu u^\nu (g^{\alpha\beta} - u^\alpha u^\beta) h_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{R1}} \delta^4(x, z) d\tau$$

- Well-defined EFEs at second order

$$\delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^2] + \delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1] = 8\pi T_2^{\mu\nu}$$

where

$$T_2^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{m}{2} \int u^\mu u^\nu (g^{\alpha\beta} - u^\alpha u^\beta) h_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{R1}} \delta^4(x, z) d\tau$$

- Weak divergence of metric perturbations means automatically true in highly regular gauge

- Well-defined EFEs at second order

$$\delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^2] + \delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1] = 8\pi T_2^{\mu\nu}$$

where

$$T_2^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{m}{2} \int u^\mu u^\nu (g^{\alpha\beta} - u^\alpha u^\beta) h_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{R1}} \delta^4(x, z) d\tau$$

- Weak divergence of metric perturbations means automatically true in highly regular gauge
 - Most singular parts of EFEs cancel

$$\delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^{\text{S1}}, h^{\text{S1}}] = -\delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^{\text{SS}}], \quad \forall r$$

- Not automatically true in other gauges

- Not automatically true in other gauges
 - True off worldline

$$\delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^{S1}, h^{S1}] = -\delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^{SS}], \quad r > 0$$

as

$$\delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^2] + \delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1] = 0, \quad r > 0$$

- Not automatically true in other gauges
 - True off worldline

$$\delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^{S1}, h^{S1}] = -\delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^{SS}], \quad r > 0$$

as

$$\delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^2] + \delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1] = 0, \quad r > 0$$

- So define

$$\delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^{S1}, h^{S1}] := -\delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^{SS}], \quad \forall r$$

- Not automatically true in other gauges
 - True off worldline

$$\delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^{S1}, h^{S1}] = -\delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^{SS}], \quad r > 0$$

as

$$\delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^2] + \delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1] = 0, \quad r > 0$$

- So define

$$\delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^{S1}, h^{S1}] := -\delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^{SS}], \quad \forall r$$

- Motivated by highly regular gauge where this is automatically true

- Only have local expansions for fields around γ

- Only have local expansions for fields around γ
- Adopt Detweiler canonical definition

$$\delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1] := \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \delta^2 G_s^{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2 G_s^{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1] := & (-\delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^{\text{SS}}] + \underbrace{2 Q^{\mu\nu}[h^{\text{S1}}]}_{\delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^{\text{S1}}, h^{\text{R1}}]} + \delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^{\text{R1}}, h^{\text{R1}}])\theta(s - r) \\ & + \delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]\theta(r - s) \end{aligned}$$

- Only have local expansions for fields around γ
- Adopt Detweiler canonical definition

$$\delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1] := \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \delta^2 G_s^{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2 G_s^{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1] := & (-\delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^{\text{SS}}] + \underbrace{2 Q^{\mu\nu}[h^{\text{S1}}]}_{\delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^{\text{S1}}, h^{\text{R1}}]} + \delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^{\text{R1}}, h^{\text{R1}}])\theta(s - r) \\ & + \delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]\theta(r - s) \end{aligned}$$

- Leads to a consistent result for $T_2^{\mu\nu}$ in highly regular and Lorenz gauges

Using canonical definition in source for Lorenz gauge

- How can we use this to solve for $h_{\mu\nu}^2$?

$$\delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^2] + \delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1] = 8\pi T_2^{\mu\nu}$$

Using canonical definition in source for Lorenz gauge

- How can we use this to solve for $h_{\mu\nu}^2$?

$$\delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^2] + \delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1] = 8\pi T_2^{\mu\nu}$$

- After imposing Lorenz gauge conditions

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}[\bar{h}^2] = -16\pi T_{\mu\nu}^2 + 2\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$$

Using canonical definition in source for Lorenz gauge

- How can we use this to solve for $h_{\mu\nu}^2$?

$$\delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^2] + \delta^2 G^{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1] = 8\pi T_2^{\mu\nu}$$

- After imposing Lorenz gauge conditions

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}[\bar{h}^2] = -16\pi T_{\mu\nu}^2 + 2\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$$

- Want to extract delta content of $\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$ to put in practical form

Distributional analysis

- Adjoint of linear operator

$$\phi^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu\nu}[\psi] - D_{\mu\nu}^\dagger[\phi]\psi^{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu K_D^\mu$$

Distributional analysis

- Adjoint of linear operator

$$\phi^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu\nu}[\psi] - D_{\mu\nu}^\dagger[\phi]\psi^{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu K_D^\mu$$

- Integral against test field

$$\int \phi^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu\nu}[\psi] dV := \int D_{\mu\nu}^\dagger[\phi]\psi^{\mu\nu} dV$$

Distributional analysis

- Adjoint of linear operator

$$\phi^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu\nu}[\psi] - D_{\mu\nu}^\dagger[\phi]\psi^{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu K_D^\mu$$

- Integral against test field

$$\int \phi^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu\nu}[\psi] dV := \int D_{\mu\nu}^\dagger[\phi]\psi^{\mu\nu} dV$$

- Using these and Detweiler canonical definition, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int \phi^{\mu\nu} \delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1] dV = & \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left[\int \phi_{\mu\nu} \left(-\delta G_{\mu\nu}[h^{\text{SS}}] + 2Q_{\mu\nu}[h^{\text{S}1}] \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^{\text{R}1}, h^{\text{R}1}] \right) \theta(s - r) dV \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{r > s} \phi^{\mu\nu} \delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1] dV \right] \end{aligned}$$

“Singular times singular” term

- Einstein operator is self-adjoint, $\delta G_{\mu\nu}^\dagger[h] = \delta G_{\mu\nu}[h]$ [Wald, PRL, 1978], SO

$$\int \phi_{\mu\nu} \delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^{\text{SS}}] \theta(s-r) dV := \int \delta G_{\mu\nu}[\theta(s-r)\phi] h_{\text{SS}}^{\mu\nu} dV$$

“Singular times singular” term

- Einstein operator is self-adjoint, $\delta G_{\mu\nu}^\dagger[h] = \delta G_{\mu\nu}[h]$ [Wald, PRL, 1978], SO

$$\int \phi_{\mu\nu} \delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^{\text{SS}}] \theta(s-r) dV := \int \delta G_{\mu\nu}[\theta(s-r)\phi] h_{\text{SS}}^{\mu\nu} dV$$

- Use distributional identities

$$\begin{aligned} \int \delta G_{\mu\nu}[\theta(s-r)\phi] h_{\text{SS}}^{\mu\nu} dV &= \lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{r>R} \phi_{\mu\nu} \delta G^{\mu\nu}[h^{\text{SS}}] \theta(s-r) dV \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{r=R} K_\alpha^{\delta G}[\theta(s-r)\phi, h^{\text{SS}}] dS^\alpha \right) \\ &= -\frac{4m^2\pi}{3s} \int (7g_{\mu\nu} - 2u_\mu u_\nu) \phi^{\mu\nu} dt \end{aligned}$$

Stress-energy counter term

- Write result from previous slide as stress-energy tensor

$$\int \phi^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{counter}} dV := -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int \delta G_{\mu\nu}[\theta(s-r)\phi] h_{\text{SS}}^{\mu\nu} dV$$

Stress-energy counter term

- Write result from previous slide as stress-energy tensor

$$\int \phi^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{counter}} dV := -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int \delta G_{\mu\nu}[\theta(s-r)\phi] h_{\text{SS}}^{\mu\nu} dV$$

- As $\phi^{\mu\nu}$ is a test field,

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{counter}} = \frac{m^2}{6s} \int (7g_{\mu\nu} - 2u_\mu u_\nu) \delta^4(x, z) d\tau$$

Stress-energy counter term

- Write result from previous slide as stress-energy tensor

$$\int \phi^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{counter}} dV := -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int \delta G_{\mu\nu} [\theta(s-r)\phi] h_{\text{SS}}^{\mu\nu} dV$$

- As $\phi^{\mu\nu}$ is a test field,

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{counter}} = \frac{m^2}{6s} \int (7g_{\mu\nu} - 2u_\mu u_\nu) \delta^4(x, z) d\tau$$

- We can follow the same procedure for $Q_{\mu\nu}[h^{\text{S1}}]$ to find

$$T_{\mu\nu}^Q = \frac{m}{3} \int U^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\mu\nu} h_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{R1}} \delta^4(x, z) d\tau$$

where $U^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\mu\nu}$ is a function of the metric and 4-velocity (similar given in [2101.11409] but for indices up)

Final reformulation of the source

- By using canonical definition, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}[\bar{h}^2] = -16\pi T_{\mu\nu}^2 + 2\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$$

Final reformulation of the source

- By using canonical definition, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}[\bar{h}^2] = -16\pi T_{\mu\nu}^2 + 2\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$$

- Re-expressed as delta functions

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}[\bar{h}^2] = -16\pi(T_{\mu\nu}^2 - T_{\mu\nu}^Q) + 2\lim_{s\rightarrow 0}\{8\pi T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{counter}} + \theta(r-s)\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]\}$$

Final reformulation of the source

- By using canonical definition, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}[\bar{h}^2] = -16\pi T_{\mu\nu}^2 + 2\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$$

- Re-expressed as delta functions

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}[\bar{h}^2] = -16\pi(T_{\mu\nu}^2 - T_{\mu\nu}^Q) + 2 \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \{8\pi T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{counter}} + \theta(r-s)\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]\}$$

- Equation has solution (for some Green's function $G_{\mu\nu}{}^{\mu'\nu'}(x; x')$)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^2 = & -16\pi \int G_{\mu\nu}{}^{\mu'\nu'}(T_{\mu'\nu'}^2 - T_{\mu'\nu'}^Q) dV' + 2 \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{r'=s}^{\infty} G_{\mu\nu}{}^{\mu'\nu'} \delta^2 G_{\alpha'\beta'}[h^1, h^1] dV' \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{4\pi m^2}{3s} \int_{\gamma} G_{\mu\nu}{}^{\mu'\nu'} (7g_{\mu'\nu'} - 2u_{\mu'}u_{\nu'}) d\tau \right) \end{aligned}$$

Comparison with regularisation methods: Hadamard finite part

- Assign finite value to divergent integral – often used in PN e.g. [Blanchet & Faye, gr-qc/0004008]

Comparison with regularisation methods: Hadamard finite part

- Assign finite value to divergent integral – often used in PN e.g. [Blanchet & Faye, gr-qc/0004008]
- For example, this integral does not converge:

$$\int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{r^2} dr$$

Comparison with regularisation methods: Hadamard finite part

- Assign finite value to divergent integral – often used in PN e.g. [Blanchet & Faye, gr-qc/0004008]
- For example, this integral does not converge:

$$\int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{r^2} dr$$

- Introduce regularising factor $(r/L)^B$ where L has dimensions of length and $B \in \mathbb{C}$

Comparison with regularisation methods: Hadamard finite part

- Assign finite value to divergent integral – often used in PN e.g. [Blanchet & Faye, gr-qc/0004008]
- For example, this integral does not converge:

$$\int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{r^2} dr$$

- Introduce regularising factor $(r/L)^B$ where L has dimensions of length and $B \in \mathbb{C}$
- Finite value for integral

$$\text{FP}_{B=0} \int_{-1}^1 \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^B \frac{1}{r^2} dr = -2$$

Rewriting the limit term

- Compare finite part regularisation of $\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$ against limit term

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^2 &= -16\pi \int G_{\mu\nu}{}^{\mu'\nu'} (T_{\mu'\nu'}^2 - T_{\mu'\nu'}^Q) dV' \\ &\quad + 2 \text{FP}_{B=0} \int \left(\frac{r'}{L}\right)^B G_{\mu\nu}{}^{\mu'\nu'}(x; x') \delta^2 G_{\mu'\nu'}[h^1, h^1] dV'\end{aligned}$$

Rewriting the limit term

- Compare finite part regularisation of $\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$ against limit term

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^2 &= -16\pi \int G_{\mu\nu}{}^{\mu'\nu'} (T_{\mu'\nu'}^2 - T_{\mu'\nu'}^Q) dV' \\ &\quad + 2 \text{FP}_{B=0} \int \left(\frac{r'}{L}\right)^B G_{\mu\nu}{}^{\mu'\nu'}(x; x') \delta^2 G_{\mu'\nu'}[h^1, h^1] dV' \\ &= -16\pi \int G_{\mu\nu}{}^{\mu'\nu'} (T_{\mu'\nu'}^2 - T_{\mu'\nu'}^Q) dV' + 2 \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{r'=s}^{\infty} G_{\mu\nu}{}^{\mu'\nu'} \delta^2 G_{\mu'\nu'}[h^1, h^1] dV' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \text{FP}_{B=0} \int_{r'=0}^s \left(\frac{r'}{L}\right)^B G_{\mu\nu}{}^{\mu'\nu'} \delta^2 G_{\mu'\nu'}[h^1, h^1] dV' \right)\end{aligned}$$

Calculating the finite part

- Calculate remaining FP term

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FP}_{B=0} \int_{r'=0}^s \left(\frac{r'}{L}\right)^B G_{\mu\nu}{}^{\mu'\nu'}(x; x') \delta^2 G_{\mu'\nu'}[h^1, h^1] r'^2 dt' dr' d\Omega' \\ = \frac{4\pi m^2}{3s} \int G_{\mu\nu}{}^{\mu'\nu'}(x; t', 0) (7g_{\mu'\nu'} - 2u_{\mu'}u_{\nu'} + \mathcal{O}(s^2)) dt' \end{aligned}$$

Calculating the finite part

- Calculate remaining FP term

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FP}_{B=0} \int_{r'=0}^s \left(\frac{r'}{L}\right)^B G_{\mu\nu}{}^{\mu'\nu'}(x; x') \delta^2 G_{\mu'\nu'}[h^1, h^1] r'^2 dt' dr' d\Omega' \\ = \frac{4\pi m^2}{3s} \int G_{\mu\nu}{}^{\mu'\nu'}(x; t', 0) (7g_{\mu'\nu'} - 2u_{\mu'}u_{\nu'} + \mathcal{O}(s^2)) dt' \end{aligned}$$

- Exactly the same counter term as before

Conclusions and future work

- Reformulated second-order EFEs to use Detweiler stress-energy tensor to solve for retarded field

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}[\bar{h}^2] = -16\pi(T_{\mu\nu}^2 - T_{\mu\nu}^Q) + 2\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$$

Conclusions and future work

- Reformulated second-order EFEs to use Detweiler stress-energy tensor to solve for retarded field

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}[\bar{h}^2] = -16\pi(T_{\mu\nu}^2 - T_{\mu\nu}^Q) + 2\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$$

- Counter term cancels divergence when integrating over $\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$

Conclusions and future work

- Reformulated second-order EFEs to use Detweiler stress-energy tensor to solve for retarded field

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}[\bar{h}^2] = -16\pi(T_{\mu\nu}^2 - T_{\mu\nu}^Q) + 2\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$$

- Counter term cancels divergence when integrating over $\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$
- Demonstrated that counter term is the same as using Hadamard regularisation on retarded integral of $\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$

Conclusions and future work

- Reformulated second-order EFEs to use Detweiler stress-energy tensor to solve for retarded field

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}[\bar{h}^2] = -16\pi(T_{\mu\nu}^2 - T_{\mu\nu}^Q) + 2\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$$

- Counter term cancels divergence when integrating over $\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$
- Demonstrated that counter term is the same as using Hadamard regularisation on retarded integral of $\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$
- Future work:

Conclusions and future work

- Reformulated second-order EFEs to use Detweiler stress-energy tensor to solve for retarded field

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}[\bar{h}^2] = -16\pi(T_{\mu\nu}^2 - T_{\mu\nu}^Q) + 2\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$$

- Counter term cancels divergence when integrating over $\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$
- Demonstrated that counter term is the same as using Hadamard regularisation on retarded integral of $\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$
- Future work:
 - Compare with other regularisation schemes, e.g. dimensional regularisation

Conclusions and future work

- Reformulated second-order EFEs to use Detweiler stress-energy tensor to solve for retarded field

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}[\bar{h}^2] = -16\pi(T_{\mu\nu}^2 - T_{\mu\nu}^Q) + 2\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$$

- Counter term cancels divergence when integrating over $\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$
- Demonstrated that counter term is the same as using Hadamard regularisation on retarded integral of $\delta^2 G_{\mu\nu}[h^1, h^1]$
- Future work:
 - Compare with other regularisation schemes, e.g. dimensional regularisation
 - Can we write this in a mode-decomposed form?