Balance Laws in Gravitational Two-Body Scattering

Massimiliano Maria Riva

Based on work with F. Vernizzi and L. K. Wong [2302.09065]

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestr. 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

at Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen (DK), 15 June 2023



# Outline

#### The Gravitational Two-Body Problem

One Problem, Many Approaches The Post-Minkowskian Expansion

#### The Balance Laws and Angular Momentum Puzzles

The importance of Balance Laws Supertranslation puzzle Non-covariance puzzle

#### The Mechanical Angular Momentum

The BMS formalism New definition of Angular Momentum

#### The Angular Momentum Flux

Supertranslation invariance Special case of the binary's center of mass Comparison with other proposal

### Conclusions and Future directions

# One Problem, Many Approaches





Figure: LIGO and VIRGO scientific collaboration, [1602.03837].



W. Goldberger [hep-ph/0701129], S. Foffa, R. Sturani [1309.3474],

R. A. Porto [1601.04914]

# The Post-Minkowskian Expansion





Two main methods:

• Classical physics from full scattering amplitude

$$\langle p_4, p_3 | S | p_1, p_2 \rangle = 1 + i \mathcal{M}(q^2)$$

D. Neill, I. Z. Rothstein [1304.7263], N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr et al. [1806.04920], Z. Bern et al. [1908.01493], E. Herrmann et al. [2104.03957], N. E. Bjerrum-Bohr et al. [2104.04510], P. Di Vecchia et al. [2104.03256 - 2210.12118].

• Classical Effective Field Theory approach

$$e^{iS_{\text{eff}}[x_a]} = \int \mathcal{D}[h] e^{i(S_{\text{grav}}+S_{\text{GF}}+S_{\text{sources}})}$$

W. D. Goldberger, I. Z. Rothstein [hep-th/0409156], G. Kälin, R. A. Porto [2006.01184]
 G. Mogull, J. Plefka, J. Steinhoff [2010.02865]

## The importance of Balance Laws

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\mathcal{F}_E \qquad \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}J}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\mathcal{F}_J$$

### Bound case



Wave phase from the instantaneous flux

$$\Delta \phi(t) \propto \int \mathrm{d}v \, v^3 \frac{\mathrm{d}E/\mathrm{d}v}{\mathcal{F}_E}$$

W. D. Goldberger, I. Z. Rothstein [hep-th/0409156], T. Damour et al. [1110.2938]
 L. Blanchet [1310.1528 - 1812.07490]



# Supertranslation puzzle

#### DeWitt-Thorne Formula

$$u \coloneqq t - r$$

$$\Delta J_{\rm DWT}^{ij} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d} u \mathrm{d} \Omega}{32\pi G} r^2 \left( 2h_{\rm TT}^{a[i} \dot{h}_{\rm TT}^{j]a} - \dot{h}_{\rm TT}^{ab} x^{[i} \partial^{j]} h_{\rm TT}^{ab} \right) = O(G^2)$$

• Linear response gives the correct radiation-reaction contribution

$$2\chi_{\rm rad}^{\rm LO} = \overbrace{\frac{\partial \chi_{\rm cons}}{\partial J}}^{O(G)} \Delta J_{\rm DWT} = O(G^3)$$

T. Damour [2010.01641]

#### Supertranslation ambiguity

- In the BMS formalism, J is not supertranslation invariant E. T. Newman R. Penrose J. Math. Phys. 7 (1966), A. Ashtekar, T. De Lorenzo, N. Khera [1910.02907]
- $\Delta J^{ij} = O(G^2) \xrightarrow[ST]{} \Delta J^{ij} = O(G^3)$ 
  - G. Veneziano, G. A. Vilkovisky [2201.11607]
- Invariant definition of  $J_{(inv)}$  leads to  $\Delta J_{(inv)}^{ij} = O(G^3)$ 
  - G. Compère, R. Oliveri, A. Seraj [1912.03164],
  - R. Javadinezhad, U. Kol, M. Porrati [2202.03442]

# Non-covariance puzzle



# The BMS formalism



Adapted coordinates  $(u,r,\theta^A)$  with A=1,2

• Hypersurfaces of constant  $\boldsymbol{u}$  are null

$$g^{\mu\mu} = 0$$

•  $\theta^A = \text{const along the null-rays}$ 

 $g^{uA} = 0$ 

• Surface element  $r, u = {\rm const}$  is  $r^2 d^2 \Omega$ 

 $\det(g_{AB})=r^2\det(\Omega_{AB})$ 

 $\Omega_{AB}$  metric of the 2-sphere.

### Most generic metric

$$ds^2 = -\mu e^{2\beta} \mathrm{d} u^2 - 2 e^{2\beta} \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{d} r + \gamma_{AB} (r \mathrm{d} \theta^A + W^A \mathrm{d} u) (r \mathrm{d} \theta^B + W^B \mathrm{d} u)$$

H. Bondi Nature 186,(1960), R. K. Sachs Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 270, (1962)

H. Bondi, M. G. J. van der Burg, A. W. K. Metzner Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 269, (1962)

# The BMS formalism



$$ds^2 = -\mu e^{2\beta} \mathrm{d} u^2 - 2 e^{2\beta} \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{d} r + \gamma_{AB} (r \mathrm{d} \theta^A + W^A \mathrm{d} u) (r \mathrm{d} \theta^B + W^B \mathrm{d} u)$$

- $\mathfrak{Z}^+(\mathfrak{T}^-) \to$ future (past) time-like infinities, where massless particles end up (come from)
- Asymptotic expansion near  $\mathfrak{I}^{\!+}$  to study emitted radiation

$$D_A \Omega_{CD} = 0, \dot{X} \equiv \partial_u X$$

Asymptotic Metric near  $\mathfrak{I}^+$ 

$$\mu = 1 - \frac{2GM}{r} + \dots, \quad \gamma_{AB} = \Omega_{AB} + \frac{1}{r} C_{AB} + \dots, \quad \beta = -\frac{1}{32r^2} C_{AB} C^{AB} + \dots$$
$$W^A = \frac{1}{2r} D_B C^{AB} + \frac{1}{r^2} \left( \frac{2}{3} G N^A - \frac{1}{16} D^A (C^{BC} C_{BC}) + \frac{1}{2} C^{AB} D^C C_{BC} \right) + \dots$$
$$M(u, \theta) \to \text{Mass Aspect}, \qquad N_A(u, \theta) \to \text{Angular Momentum Aspect}$$
$$C_{AB}(u, \theta) \to \text{Shear Tensor} \qquad N_{AB}(u, \theta) \equiv \dot{C}_{AB}(u, \theta) \to \text{News Tensor}$$

# The BMS formalism

• Symmetries that preserve asymptotic flatness

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu}\big|_{\mathfrak{I}^+} = (\mathcal{L}_{\xi}g)_{\mu\nu}\big|_{\mathfrak{I}^+} = 0$$



- Metric near  $\mathfrak{I}^+$  determined by  $M,\,N_A$  and  $C_{AB}$
- Flat spacetime not unique but changes under supertranslation

$$C_{AB} = 0 \xrightarrow[\text{ST}]{} C_{AB} = -(2D_A D_B - \Omega_{AB} D^2) \alpha \neq 0$$

# BMS Linear Momentum and Flux



BMS Supermomentum and Flux

$$P(\sigma_+)-P(\sigma_-)=-F_P(\mathcal{N}),$$

$$\begin{split} P(\sigma) &= \int_{\sigma} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \Omega}{4\pi} \, \alpha M \,, \\ F_P(\mathcal{N}) &= \int_{\mathcal{N}} \frac{\mathrm{d} u \mathrm{d}^2 \Omega}{32\pi G} \, \alpha (N^{AB} N_{AB} - 2 D_A D_B N^{AB}) \end{split}$$

R. M. Wald, A. Zoupas [gr-qc/9911095]
 É. É. Flanagan and D. A. Nichols [1510.03386]

 $P(\mathfrak{I}^+_+)-P(\mathfrak{I}^+_-)=-F_P(\mathfrak{I}^+)\,,$ 

- The  $\ell \leq 1$  harmonics give the linear momentum balance law
- Confirmed explicitly up to  $O(G^3)$
- Flux coincides with DeWitt-Thorne formula

$$C_{AB} \rightarrow \lim_{r \to \infty} r h_{\mu\nu}^{\rm TT}(x)$$



# BMS Angular Momentum and Flux

BMS Angular Momentum and Flux

$$\begin{split} \hat{N}_A &\coloneqq N_A - u D_A M \\ J(\sigma) &= \int_{\sigma} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \Omega}{8 \pi G} \, Y^A \bigg( G \hat{N}_A - \frac{1}{16} D_A (C_{BC} C^{BC}) - \frac{1}{4} C_{AB} D_C C^{BC} \bigg), \\ F_J(\mathcal{N}) &= \int_{\mathcal{N}} \frac{\mathrm{d} u \mathrm{d}^2 \Omega}{32 \pi G} \, Y^A \bigg( N^{BC} D_A C_{BC} - 2 D_B (N^{BC} C_{AC}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} D_A (N^{BC} C_{BC}) - \frac{1}{2} u D_A (N^{BC} N_{BC}) \bigg) \end{split}$$

R. M. Wald, A. Zoupas [gr-qc/9911095], É. É. Flanagan and D. A. Nichols [1510.03386]



- $J(\sigma_+) J(\sigma_-) = -F_J(\mathcal{N})$
- Spatial components of the flux gives the DeWitt-Thorne formula

$$C_{AB} \to \lim_{r \to \infty} r h_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{TT}}(x)$$

•  $J(\sigma)$  generically different from mechanical angular momentum

## Pedagogical example: Boosted Schwarzschild



$$\begin{split} M &= m^4/(-n \cdot p)^3 \qquad \hat{N}_A = 3MD_A(B+S) + (B+S)D_AM\\ C_{AB} &= -(2D_AD_B - \Omega_{AB}D^2)S \end{split}$$

• 
$$B = (n \cdot b)$$
 translation of amount  $b^{\mu}$ 

• 
$$S = 2G(n \cdot p) \log\left(\frac{-n \cdot p}{m}\right) + \beta$$

• Bondi momentum *P* coincides with momentum of the system

 $J = \mathcal{J} + j(M, S)$ 

- j(M, S) is the supertranslation ambiguity
- Choose  $\beta$  such that S = 0.

### New definition of Angular Momentum



# The Angular Momentum Flux • $\Delta M = M^+ - M^-$ , $\Delta C = C^+ - C^-$ , $\Delta Z = Z^+ - Z^-$ , $\Delta S = \Delta Z + \Delta C$ . $\mathcal{J}^{\pm} = \mathcal{J}^{\pm} - \mathcal{J}(M^{\pm}, S^{\pm})$ Asymptotic Bondi $\mathcal{J}$ Different supertranslations at $\mathfrak{I}^{\pm}_{\pm}$ $S^{\pm} = \mathbb{Z}^{\pm} + \mathbb{C}^{\pm} + \beta$

- Freedom to fix  $\beta$  to remove either  $S^{\pm}$  but not both at the same time
- Set  $Z^- = 0$  to "restore" the origin at  $\mathfrak{I}^+_-$ , then  $Z^+ = \Delta Z$ .

$$\mathcal{J}^- = J^- - j(M^-, C^-), \qquad \mathcal{J}^+ = J^+ - j(M^+, C^+) - j(M^+, \Delta Z)$$

$$\mathcal{J}^+ - \mathcal{J}^- = -\Delta_{\mathcal{J}}$$

New Angular Momentum Flux

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{J}} \coloneqq F_J + j(\Delta M, C^-) + j(M^+, \Delta S)$$

- Bondi angular momentum balance law  $J^+ J^- = -F_J$
- Rewrite  $j(M^+,C^+)-j(M^-,C^-)=j(\Delta M,C^-)+j(M^+,\Delta C)$

$$\label{eq:main_state} \begin{array}{l} \text{The Angular Momentum Flux} \\ \bullet \ \Delta M = \frac{1}{4G} D^A D^B \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} u \, N_{AB} - \frac{1}{8G} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} u \, N_{AB} N^{AB} \qquad N_{AB} \equiv \dot{C}_{AB} \end{array}$$

Radiative Flux

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathrm{rad})} &= \int \frac{\mathrm{d} u \mathrm{d}^2 \Omega}{32\pi G} Y^A \bigg( \mathbb{N}^{BC} D_A \mathbb{C}_{BC} - 2 D_B (\mathbb{N}^{BC} \mathbb{C}_{AC}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} D_A (\mathbb{N}^{BC} \mathbb{C}_{BC}) - \frac{1}{2} u D_A (\mathbb{N}^{BC} \mathbb{N}_{BC}) \bigg) \\ \mathbb{C}_{AB}(u, \theta) \coloneqq C_{AB}(u - C^-(\theta), \theta) - C_{AB}^-(\theta), \qquad \mathbb{N}_{AB}(u, \theta) \coloneqq N_{AB}(u - C^-(\theta), \theta) \end{split}$$



Soft/Static Flux

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{J}}^{(\text{stat})} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \Omega}{8\pi} M^+ \Big( 2Y^A D_A \Delta S - \Delta S D_A Y^A \Big)$$

### Supertranslation invariance

$$\mathcal{J}^+ - \mathcal{J}^- = -\Delta_{\mathcal{J}} \qquad \Delta_{\mathcal{J}} = \Delta_{\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathrm{rad})} + \Delta_{\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathrm{stat})}$$

Supertranslation  $\tilde{u} = u - \alpha_{\ell \geq 2}(\theta)$ 

$$\tilde{C}^{\pm} = C^{\pm} + \alpha(\theta), \quad \tilde{C}'_{AB}(\tilde{u}) = C_{AB}(\tilde{u} + \alpha), \quad \tilde{N}_{AB}(\tilde{u}) = N_{AB}(\tilde{u} + \alpha)$$

- When  $\dot{M} = 0$ , M is invariant  $\longrightarrow M^{\pm}$  and  $\Delta M$  are invariant
- $\Delta S = \Delta Z + \Delta C$  is invariant.
- $\mathbb{C}_{AB}$  and  $\mathbb{N}_{AB}$  are invariant under supertranslation

$$\mathbb{C}_{AB}(u,\theta) \coloneqq C_{AB}(u-C^{-}(\theta),\theta) - C^{-}_{AB}(\theta), \qquad \mathbb{N}_{AB}(u,\theta) \coloneqq N_{AB}(u-C^{-}(\theta),\theta)$$

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathrm{rad})} &= \int \frac{\mathrm{d} u \mathrm{d}^2 \Omega}{32\pi G} Y^A \bigg( \mathbb{N}^{BC} D_A \mathbb{C}_{BC} - 2 D_B (\mathbb{N}^{BC} \mathbb{C}_{AC}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} D_A (\mathbb{N}^{BC} \mathbb{C}_{BC}) - \frac{1}{2} u D_A (\mathbb{N}^{BC} \mathbb{N}_{BC}) \bigg) \end{split}$$

• Manifestly invariant under supertranslation

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{J}}^{(\text{stat})} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \Omega}{8\pi} M^+ \Big( 2Y^A D_A \Delta S - \Delta S D_A Y^A \Big)$$

• Manifestly invariant under supertranslation

### Solution to the first puzzle

$$\mathcal{J}^{+} - \mathcal{J}^{-} = -\Delta_{\mathcal{J}} \qquad \Delta_{\mathcal{J}} = \Delta_{\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathrm{rad})} + \Delta_{\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathrm{stat})}$$

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathrm{rad})} &= \int \frac{\mathrm{d} u \mathrm{d}^2 \Omega}{32\pi G} Y^A \bigg( \mathbb{N}^{BC} D_A \mathbb{C}_{BC} - 2 D_B (\mathbb{N}^{BC} \mathbb{C}_{AC}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} D_A (\mathbb{N}^{BC} \mathbb{C}_{BC}) - \frac{1}{2} u D_A (\mathbb{N}^{BC} \mathbb{N}_{BC}) \bigg) \end{split}$$

- Manifestly invariant under supertranslation
- $\{\mathbb{C}_{AB}, \mathbb{N}_{AB}\} \sim O(G^2)$ , hence  $\Delta_{\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathrm{rad})} \sim O(G^3)$
- Consistent with definition of invariant angular momentum
- G. Compère, R. Oliveri, A. Seraj [1912.03164], G. Veneziano, G. A. Vilkovisky [2201.11607],
   R. Javadinezhad, U. Kol, M. Porrati [2202.03442]

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{J}}^{(\text{stat})} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \Omega}{8\pi} M^+ \Big( 2Y^A D_A \Delta S - \Delta S D_A Y^A \Big)$$

- Manifestly invariant under supertranslation
- $M^+ \sim O(1), \Delta S \sim O(G^2), \text{ hence } \Delta_{\mathcal{J}}^{(\text{stat})} \sim O(G^2)$
- Depends on the memory  $\Delta C_{AB} \equiv -(2D_AD_B \Omega_{AB}D^2) \Delta C$
- T. Damour [2010.01641]

# Result up to $G^2$

 $n^{\mu} = (1, \sin\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\theta), \quad \bar{n}^{\mu} = (1, -\sin\theta\cos\phi, -\sin\theta\sin\phi, -\cos\theta), \quad \partial_{A} = (\partial_{A}n^{\mu})\delta_{\mu}$ 

$$\Delta \mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu} = -\Delta_{\mathcal{J}\,(\text{stat})}^{\mu\nu} + O(G^3)$$
$$\Delta_{\mathcal{J}\,(\text{stat})}^{\mu\nu} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2\Omega}{4\pi} M^+ \Big[ 2\delta^{[\mu}\Delta S - \Delta S\bar{n}^{[\mu]} \Big] n^{\nu}$$

• 
$$\Delta S = \sum_{a=1}^{2} \left[ 2G(n \cdot p_a) \log \left( \frac{-n \cdot p_a}{m_a} \right) \right]_{-\infty}^{+\infty} + O(G\Delta \mathcal{E}), \qquad M^+ = \sum_{a=1}^{2} \frac{m_a}{(-n \cdot p_a^+)^3}$$

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{J}}^{\mu\nu} = \Delta_{\mathcal{J}(\text{stat})}^{\mu\nu} + O(G^3) = \frac{2G^2m_1m_2}{b^2} \frac{2\gamma^2 - 1}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}} I(\gamma) b^{[\mu}(p_{1-}^{\nu]} - p_{2-}^{\nu]}) + O(G^3)$$

Agreement in all Bondi frame at G<sup>2</sup> with ΔJ<sup>μν</sup><sub>QFT</sub>
 A. V. Manohar, A. K. Ridgway, C.-H. Shen [2203.04283],
 P. Di Vecchia et al. [2203.11915-2210.12118]

## Special case of the binary's center of mass

 $f_{AB}^{\pm} \rightarrow \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r h_{\mu\nu}^{\pm}$ 



•  $\hat{r}^{\mu} = n^{\mu} - \hat{t}^{\mu} = (0, \sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$ 

• Spherical harmonics decomposition  $M^{\pm} = (3\hat{r} - \hat{t}) \cdot P^{\pm} + \frac{1}{4G} D^A D^B f_{AB}^{\pm}$ 

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\mathcal{J}(\text{stat})}^{\mu\nu} &= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}\Omega}{32\pi G} r^2 \Big[ 4h_-^{\rho[\mu} \dot{h}^{\nu]}{}_{\rho} - 2\dot{h}_{\rho\sigma} n^{[\mu} \delta^{\nu]} h_-^{\rho\sigma} - n^{[\mu} \bar{n}^{\nu]} \dot{h}_{\rho\sigma} h_-^{\rho\sigma} \Big] \\ &+ \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{4\pi} \underbrace{(3\hat{r} \cdot P_-)}_{\mathbf{v}} \Big[ 2\delta^{[\mu} \Delta S - \Delta S \bar{n}^{[\mu]} \Big] n^{\nu]} - (3\hat{r} \cdot P_-) \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{4\pi} \Delta S \ \bar{n}^{[\mu} n^{\nu]} + O(G^3) \end{split}$$

$$\bullet \ \hat{r} \cdot (p_1^- + p_2^-) = 0 \text{ in the initial c.m.}$$

$$\bullet \ \bar{n}^{[\mu} n^{\nu]} \text{ contributes only to } 0i \text{ components} \end{split}$$

$$\bullet \text{ Spatial component of first line gives Thorne-DeWitt formula at } O(G^2)$$

• Without  $\Delta C$  and  $\Delta Z$ , the final result would not be covariant

### Comparison with other proposal

$$\mathcal{J}^{-} = J^{-} - j(M^{-}, C^{-}), \qquad \mathcal{J}^{+} = J^{+} - j(M^{+}, C^{+}) - j(M^{+}, \Delta Z)$$

$$J_{(\mathrm{inv})}(\sigma) = J(\sigma) - j(M(\sigma), C(\sigma))$$

G. Compère, R. Oliveri, A. Seraj [1912.03164]

P.-N. Chen et al. [2102.03235 - 2107.05316], P. Mao, J.-B. Wu, X. Wu [arXiv:2301.08032]

$$\Delta \mathcal{J} - \Delta J_{(\text{inv})} = -j(M^+, \Delta Z)$$

$$J_{(\mathrm{JP})}(\sigma) = J(\sigma) - j(M(\sigma), C^{-}) + j\big(M(\sigma), C(\theta)\big)$$

R. Javadinezhad, U. Kol, M. Porrati [2202.03442], R. Javadinezhad, M. Porrati [2211.06538]

$$\Delta \mathcal{J} - \Delta J_{(\mathrm{JP})} = -j(\Delta M, C(\theta)) - j(M^+, \Delta C) - j(M^+, \Delta Z)$$

• 
$$-j(M(\sigma), C(\theta)) - j(M^+, \Delta C) = O(G^3)$$

• Agreement on the radiative flux

• Disagreement on the soft part outside of the c.m. frame because of  $j(M^+, \Delta Z)$ 

•  $\Delta J_{(inv)}$  and  $\Delta J_{(JP)}$  are not Lorentz-covariant

### Conclusions and Future directions

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{J}^- &= J^- - j(M^-, C^-), \qquad \mathcal{J}^+ = J^+ - j(M^+, C^+) - j(M^+, \Delta Z) \\ \mathcal{J}^+ - \mathcal{J}^- &= -\Delta_{\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathrm{rad})} - \Delta_{\mathcal{J}}^{(\mathrm{stat})} \end{split}$$

- New definition of supertranslation invariant definition of the angular momentum  $\mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu}$  for the two-body scattering
- Resolution of the two puzzles
- Key role plays by  $j(M^+, \Delta Z)$
- Properly define  $j(M^+, \Delta Z)$  for generic gravitational system
- Compare the  $O(G^3)$  contribution  $\rightarrow$  compute NLO  $C_{AB}(u, \theta)$
- Understand the effect of non-linear memory  $\rightarrow$  compute  $O(G^4)$ 
  - A. Strominger, A. Zhiboedov [1411.5745]
  - S. Pasterski, A. Strominger, A. Zhiboedov [1502.06120]
  - M. Campiglia, A. Laddha [1509.01406]
- New way of computing  $\Delta \mathcal{J}$ 
  - A. V. Manohar, A. K. Ridgway, C.-H. Shen [2203.04283]
  - P. Di Vecchia et al. [2203.11915-2210.12118], D. Bini, T. Damour [2211.06340]

Thank you for your attention!

e of	the .	Art o	of th	e PM	I Ap	prox	imat	ion	(poi	nt-p
		0PN	$1 \mathrm{PN}$	2PN	3PN	4 PN	5PN	6 PN	-	
	$1\mathrm{PM}$	1	$v^2$	$v^4$	$v^6$	$v^8$	$v^{10}$	$v^{12}$		$G^1$
	$2\mathrm{PM}$		1	$v^2$	$v^4$	$v^6$	$v^8$	$v^{10}$		$G^2$
	3PM			1	$v^2$	$v^4$	$v^6$	$v^8$		$G^3$
	4PM				1	$v^2$	$v^4$	$v^6$		$G^4$
	$5 \mathrm{PM}$					1	$v^2$	$v^4$		$G^5$

State les

- 3PM conservative dynamics
  - Z. Bern et al. [1908.01493] G. Kälin, Z. Liu, R. A. Porto [2007.04977]
- 3PM radiative dynamics
  - E. Herrmann et al. [2101.07255 2104.03957], G. U. Jakobsen et al. [2101.12688],
  - S. Mougiakakos, MMR, F. Vernizzi [2102.08339], MMR, F. Vernizzi [2110.10140]
- 3PM conservative + radiative alternative methods
  - P. Di Vecchia et al. [2008.12743 2101.05772 2104.03256 2210.12118]
  - N. E. Bjerrum-Bohr et al. [2104.04510 2105.05218]
  - G. Kälin, J. Neef, R. A. Porto [2207.00580], G. U. Jakobsen et al. [2207.00569]
- 4PM Conservative dynamics

Z. Bern et al. [2101.07254 - 2112.10750] C. Dlapa et al. [2106.08276 - 2112.11296]

- 4PM Conservative + Radiative dynamics
  - C. Dlapa et al. [2210.05541 2304.01275]

# Two-parameter family for $J(\sigma)$

 $\hat{N}_A \coloneqq N_A - uD_A M$ 

two-parameter family of angular momentum

$$J^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\sigma) = \int_{\sigma} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \Omega}{8\pi G} Y^A \left( G \hat{N}_A - \frac{\beta}{16} D_A(C_{BC} C^{BC}) - \frac{\alpha}{4} C_{AB} D_C C^{BC} \right)$$

G. Compère, R. Oliveri, A. Seraj [1912.03164], A. Elhashash, D. A. Nichols [2101.12228]

• Flat spacetime Bondi functions

$$M = 0, \qquad N_A = 0, \qquad C_{AB} = (2D_A D_B - \Omega_{AB} D^2)\Phi$$

Flat spacetime angular momentum

$$J^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\sigma) = (\beta - \alpha) \int_{\sigma} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \Omega}{256 \pi G} \left( D_A Y^A \right) \left[ (D^2 \Phi)^2 - 4 D_C \Phi D^C \Phi \right].$$

- Vanishes for  $\alpha = \beta$
- For  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  it is balanced by the flux of R. M. Wald, A. Zoupas [gr-qc/9911095]
- For non-radiative to non-radiative transition, one can relax the previous condition and find the same results

A. Elhashash, D. A. Nichols [2101.12228]