# Physics Beyond the SM and LHC Searches

"A (brief and not unweighted) random walk through the theory landscape"

Maxim Perelstein, Cornell PPP Ph.D. Program, NBI, Copenhagen, Oct 3 2011

I



Cornell University Laboratory for Elementary-Particle Physics

#### Introduction

- Standard Model: Electroweak gauge symmetry SU(2)xU(1) is fundamental, but spontaneously broken at low energies down to e&m U(1)
- Uncovering the mechanism of electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB) is the central question for the LHC
- The Standard Model explanation of EWSB: Higgs phenomenon
- Postulate a new particle the Higgs boson of spin 0
- Vacuum is filled with Higgs condensate, which breaks the symmetry

#### Indirect Evidence for the Higgs



• Standard Model with a light Higgs provides a good fit to all data, indirect determination of H mass:

#### Direct Search for the Higgs



#### [plots presented at Lepton-Photon Conference, August 2011]

#### Higgs Sensitivity : 1, 2, 5 and 10 fb<sup>-1</sup> @ 7 TeV



[V. Sharma, CMS, LP-11 talk]

#### **Radiative Corrections**

 Quantum mechanics allows for energy non-conservation for short periods of time:

$$\Delta E \Delta t \sim \hbar$$

- A particle-antiparticle pair may spontaneously appear from the vacuum, and then disappear after  $\Delta t < 1/M$
- The vacuum is full of such "virtual" pairs!
- The virtual pairs can interact with particles: this is described by Feynman diagrams with loops ("radiative corrections")



 Computing radiative corrections involves integration over the lifetime of the virtual pair, in principle down to t=0 (or equivalently energy up to infinity)

#### Beyond the SM

- Computing radiative corrections in most quantum field theories (including the SM) involves integrals which diverge at high virtual energies
- Mathematically, this can be dealt with by renormalization
- Physically, divergences mean that we're applying the theory in a regime where it is no longer valid!



Expect a deeper layer of structure beneath the SM!

### Light Higgs $\rightarrow$ NP at TeV!

- No elementary spin-O particles are known to exist: scalar mass is unstable with respect to radiative corrections
- In SM,

$$V(H) = -\mu^2 H^{\dagger} H + \lambda (H^{\dagger} H)^2$$
$$v^2 = \frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}, \quad m_h^2 = 2\mu^2$$

• Renormalization:

$$\mu^2(M_{\rm ew}) = \mu^2(\Lambda) + c_1 \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \Lambda^2 + c_2 \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{M_{\rm ew}}\right) + \text{finite}$$

with  $c_1 \sim 1$  and  $\Lambda$  is the scale where loop integrals are cut off by new physics

• Expect  $\mu \sim \Lambda/(4\pi)$   $\longrightarrow$   $\Lambda \sim 1 \text{ TeV}$  (naturalness)

[But NB:  $\Lambda \sim 10 \text{ TeV}$  if 1% fine-tuning is allowed!]

#### **Thermal Dark Matter**

- Dark matter (non-luminous, non-baryonic, non-relativistic matter) well-established by a variety of independent astro observations, ~20% of the universe
- None of the SM particles can be dark matter
- Assume new particle, in thermal equilibrium with the cosmic plasma in the early universe
- Measured DM density interaction cross section DM-SM





[figure: Birkedal, Matchev, MP, hep-ph/0403004]

# Options for New Physics @ TeV

- Models with light Higgs, addressing naturalness:
  - New particles, related to SM by symmetry, cut off loops (ex. SUSY, Little Higgs, gauge-higgs unification)
  - Higgs not elementary, bound state resolved at ~TeV (ex. warped [Randall-Sundrum] extra dimensions)
  - Point-like SM particles resolved as TeV-scale strings (ex. large extra dimensions)
- Models without light Higgs, necessarily strongly-coupled at the TeV scale (ex.:Technicolor, Higgsless)
- Models that do not improve naturalness, but have other interesting features or unusual signatures (ex. hidden valley, unparticles, split SUSY)

#### Supersymmetry

- In supersymmetric theories scalar masses do not receive quadratic divergences
- SUSY not symmetry of nature must be broken
- "Soft" breaking at the TeV scale >loops cut off at the TeV scale, naturalness restored
- "Minimal" supersymmetric SM (MSSM): superpartner for each SM d.o.f., plus 2nd Higgs doublet and its superpartners



Table 7.1: The undiscovered particles in the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (with sfermion mixing for the first two families assumed to be negligible).

[table: S. Martin, hep-ph/9709356]

#### SUSY as an Extra (Fermionic) Dimension

• Grassmann (anticommuting) numbers:  $\theta: \{\theta_1, \theta_2\} = 0 \Longrightarrow \theta^2 = 0$ cf normal numbers:

x: [x, y] = 0

- In quantum field theory, fields of fermions (e.g. electrons) are Grassmann-valued - Pauli exclusion principle built in!
- Imagine a space with I or more G-valued coordinates, in addition to the usual 4: superspace
- "Superfield" lives in this superspace:  $\Phi(x^{\mu}, \theta)$
- Taylor expand to obtain usual 4D fields:  $\Phi(x^{\mu}, \theta) = \phi(x) + \theta \psi(x)$
- Supersymmetry is the generalization of Poincare group (rotations, translations, boosts) to this new superspace

# Gauge Coupling Unification: a Hint for Supersymmetry?



- The three lines do not meet in the SM (but, considering the extrapolation range, come close!)
- There is at least one example of non-SUSY model where unification occurs with roughly same precision

#### MSSM and Its 100 Parameters

• Arbitrary soft terms  $\Longrightarrow O(100)$  free parameters, affecting spectrum, branching ratios, etc.

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{soft}}^{\text{MSSM}} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left( M_3 \widetilde{g} \widetilde{g} + M_2 \widetilde{W} \widetilde{W} + M_1 \widetilde{B} \widetilde{B} + \text{c.c.} \right) \\ &- \left( \widetilde{\overline{u}} \, \mathbf{a_u} \, \widetilde{Q} H_u - \widetilde{\overline{d}} \, \mathbf{a_d} \, \widetilde{Q} H_d - \widetilde{\overline{e}} \, \mathbf{a_e} \, \widetilde{L} H_d + \text{c.c.} \right) \\ &- \widetilde{Q}^{\dagger} \, \mathbf{m_Q}^2 \, \widetilde{Q} - \widetilde{L}^{\dagger} \, \mathbf{m_L}^2 \, \widetilde{L} - \widetilde{\overline{u}} \, \mathbf{m_u^2} \, \widetilde{\overline{u}}^{\dagger} - \widetilde{\overline{d}} \, \mathbf{m_d^2} \, \widetilde{\overline{d}}^{\dagger} - \widetilde{\overline{e}} \, \mathbf{m_e^2} \, \widetilde{\overline{e}}^{\dagger} \\ &- m_{H_u}^2 H_u^* H_u - m_{H_d}^2 H_d^* H_d - (b H_u H_d + \text{c.c.}) \,. \end{aligned}$ 

- Models of SUSY breaking "predict" some parameters (or relations among them), reduce the freedom
- But: Many such models (e.g. gravity mediation, gauge mediation, anomaly mediation, etc.), each has strengths and weaknesses, no clear "winner" emerged over ~25 years of model-building NEED DATA!!!
- Search strategies must be designed with this in mind "cover" the I20-dimensional parameter space as well as experimental limitations allow

#### **SUSY: Generic Predictions**

- Extra discrete symmetry R parity imposed to avoid rapid proton decay (may be relaxed, but very artificial)
- All SM states R-even, superpartners R-odd lightest superpartner (LSP) stable
- Strong limits on colored/charged relics in the universe prefer neutral LSP (also a WIMP dark matter candidate!)
- Generic signature: missing energy in every event with superpartner production
- Inclusive search for stable (neutral or not) objects plus high-pT jets and/or leptons is the best mod.-ind. strategy
- Production cross sections for strongly interacting superpartners gluinos and squarks are usually the largest (could be 1 10 pb → 10<sup>4</sup> 10<sup>5</sup> events/year at the LHC)

• Direct decays ("guaranteed") give jets+MET:

 $\tilde{q} \to q + \chi_1^0 \qquad , \tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \chi_1^0$ 

Cascade decays (spectrum-dependent) may give lepton(s)
 +jets+MET: for example



iff  $M(\tilde{q}) > M(\chi_2^0) > M(\tilde{\mu}) > M(\chi_1^0)$ 

 $\tilde{q} \rightarrow q + \chi_2^0, \quad \chi_2^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ + \tilde{\mu}^-, \quad \tilde{\mu}^- \rightarrow \mu^- + \chi_1^0$ 

#### SM: Etmiss from neutrinos:

 $Z \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} , t \bar{t}, \ldots$ 

"Reality": Etmiss from detector malfunctioning, jet energy mismeasurements, etc.

Henri Bachacou, Irfu CEA-Saclay

Lepton-Photon 2011



#### So, the bounds on gluino and squark masses are already above I TeV

#### Does this imply that SUSY is "disfavored" (i.e. sparticles must be too heavy to eliminate fine-tuning)?

Plot credit: H. Bachacou talk at LP-11

#### **BBC**NEWS SCIENCE & ENVIRONMENT

27 August 2011 Last updated at 02:41 ET

#### LHC results put supersymmetry theory 'on the spot'



Results from the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) have all but killed the simplest version of an enticing theory of sub-atomic physics.

Researchers failed to find evidence of so-called "supersymmetric" particles, which many physicists had hoped would plug holes in the current theory.

http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/science-environment-14680570

# Light Higgs $\rightarrow$ NP at TeV!

• Renormalization:

$$\mu^{2}(M_{ew}) = \mu^{2}(\Lambda) + c_{1} \frac{1}{16\pi^{2}} \Lambda^{2} + c_{2} \frac{1}{16\pi^{2}} \log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{M_{ew}}\right) + \text{finite}$$
**Guess : Supersymmetry?**

where  $\Lambda$  is the scale where loop integrals are cut off by new physics

- Expect  $\mu \sim \Lambda/(4\pi)$   $\sim 1 \text{ TeV}$  (naturalness) IF  $c_1 \sim 1$
- However, C1 depends on the coupling constants and different particles in loops contribute differently!

$$\bar{h} \rightarrow \bar{h} + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} & \tilde{t}_{L} \\ & \tilde{t}_{R} \\ & \tilde{t}_{R}$$



- So: only Higgsinos and 3rd gen. squark really must be below TeV
- Other squarks/sleptons may be a factor of 5 or more heavier with no effect on fine-tuning
- Gluino first appears at 2 loops, suppressing its effect on finetuning

# What About the LHC?



Plot credit: H. Bachacou talk at LP-11

#### **LHC Searches**





BOTTOM LINE: I st/2nd gen. squark/gluino bounds have essentially NO impact on fine-tuning in the MSSM [Not so in specific SUSY breaking models, e.g. where three gen. of squarks have common mass term at some scale]

#### LHC Searches

Don't they search for stops?



Monday, 12 September 2011

This search relies on gluino pair-production to make stops, and has no impact on fine-tuning so far 24



Wouldn't stops show up in other channels? Yes, but the limits so far are not strong enough to impact fine-tuning

[Re-interpretation of I fb-I searches presented at summer conferences, by Papucci, Ruderman, Toro and Weiler]

 Good news: SUSY, as a solution to the hierarchy problem, is alive and well despite lack of LHC discovery so far

#### MSSM, Higgs and Naturalness

- Non-observation of the Higgs at LEP2 presents a significant problem for the MSSM
- At tree level, a firm upper bound (ind. of 120 parameters) on the mass of the lighter CP-even Higgs boson:  $m(h^0) < M_Z$
- Experimentally,  $m(h^0) > 114 \text{ GeV}$  (except corners)
- Loop corrections to  $m(h^0)$  must be large (25%)
- Same loops induce large corrections to Higgs vevs, which need to be canceled precisely - fine-tuning of O(1%)
- In any case,  $m(h^0) \le 135 \text{ GeV}$  in the MSSM will be tested within a year!
- Caveat: If SUSY is realized, it may well be a non-minimal version (e.g. extra scalars coupled to the Higgs sector, non-standard Higgs phenomenology)

#### MSSM Pheno: Some Caveats

- Caveat 1: R-parity may be broken (e.g. either L or B would be sufficient to ensure proton stability) proton MET signature
- Caveat 2: next-to-lightest SUSY particle (nLSP) may be long-lived enough to decay outside of the detector (  $10^{10} \text{ yrs} > \tau_{nLSP} > 10^{-8} \text{ sec}$  ) no missing energy, a massive charged-particle (CHAMP) track or a decay of a particle stopped inside the detector instead



[figure credit:T. Adams, arXiv: 0808.0728]

Figure 11: Spectrum of the mass calculated from the momentum and time of flight for CDF muon candidates (left). CDF limits on the production cross section versus stop mass of long-lived stop particles (right).

#### Quantum Gravity at TeV

- In string theory, all divergent integrals cut off at  $M_S$  : Higgs and other particles turn into finite-size strings!
- If  $M_S \sim 1 \text{ TeV}$ , there is no hierarchy problem! But  $M_S \sim M_{\rm Pl}$
- ADD model: SM on a 4D "brane" inside higher-D space, with extra dimensions compactified with

$$R \sim M_{\rm Pl}^{-1} \left(\frac{M_{\rm Pl,4}}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^{2/n} \gg M_{\rm Pl}^{-1}$$



[Mirabelli, MP, Peskin, hep-ph/9811337, PRL82:2236]

#### Black Holes & Strings at LHC?

- If two partons collide at super-plankian energies  $E \gg M_{\rm Pl}$ , a black hole must form
- Given existing constraints on  $M_{\rm Pl}$ , it seems pretty unlikely that the LHC will probe the region  $E \gg M_{\rm Pl}$  [Meade, Randall, 0708:3017]
- In any (weakly coupled) string theory, Regge excitations of SM particles lie below Planck scale  $M_n = \sqrt{n}M_S$ ,  $M_S < M_{\rm Pl}$
- Reggeons appear as s-channel resonances in SM scattering processes: Easy to see, more realistic target than BHs

[Cullen, MP, Peskin, hep-ph/0001166, PRD62:055012]

- Distinguish from Zprimes etc.: spin "Regge gluon" is spin-2!)
- Excited Reggeons have spin > 2, at present not handled by generalpurpose MC generators!

(e.g. first

### QCD Redux: Composite Higgs, Technicolor, and Their Cousins



 All these models involve new strong dynamics at TeV (or 10 TeV), a la QCD confinement at GeV, but with interesting new twists!

#### Composite Higgs

- Many spin-0 particles exist in nature mesons
- They are composite, made of spin-1/2 quarks, bound by QCD strong force
- Above the QCD confinement scale, the good degrees of freedom are quarks problem
- Can the Higgs be a meson bound by a new strong force?
- Old idea, but difficult to build models non-perturbative physics!
- New insight: AdS/CFT duality some strongly coupled 4D models are "dual" to weakly coupled, calculable models with an extra dimension!
- Setup: Randall-Sundrum (RS) 5D model

### Warped (RS) Extra Dimension

Original model had the SM on the TeV brane, solves the hierarchy problem



• New states: KK gravitons at the TeV scale

• Couplings: 
$$\mathcal{L} \sim \frac{1}{(\text{TeV})^2} T_{\mu\nu} G_{\text{KK}}^{\mu\nu}$$



### Kaluza-Klein Particles from Extra Dimensions

- Suppose that space-time has an extra spatial dimension, which is circular, with radius R
- Free field can be decomposed into momentum eigenstates (waves):  $\phi \sim e^{i(p \cdot x + p_5 y)}$
- Periodicity  $\Longrightarrow$  momentum quantization:  $p_5(2\pi R) = 2\pi n \Longrightarrow p_5 = \frac{n}{R}$
- Fourier expansion = Kaluza-Klein decomposition:

$$\phi(x,y) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi^n(x) \ e^{iny/R}$$

- Each KK mode behaves like a 4D particle, with mass  $M_n = \frac{n}{R}$
- SM fields can be fundamentally 5D, if  $\frac{1}{R} > 500 \text{ GeV}$

#### 



#### [a similar bound is obtained from 2-photon resonance search]

#### **RS with Bulk Matter**

• It was subsequently realized that models with SM gauge fields and fermions in the "bulk" are more interesting:



- natural solution to fermion mass hierarchy problem
- natural suppression of flavor-changing neutral currents
- possibility of gauge coupling unification, as in the MSSM

figure credits: G. Perez, G. Servant

34

#### **RS with Bulk Matter: Pheno**

- Good: all SM states now have KK modes!
- Bad: the KKs do not couple to light quarks and leptons much...
- Worse: PEW constraints force KK masses > 3 TeV or so
- KK gluon, decays to t<sub>R</sub>
   KK gluon is probably the easiest target at the LHC



Agashe et. al., hep-ph/0612015; Lillie et.al., hep-ph/0701166

Final state: A pair of highly-boosted tops ("top jets"?)

# Gauge-Higgs Unification

- A zero-mass photon does not require fine-tuning mass is protected by gauge symmetry
- In a 5D theory, the gauge field  $A_M(x) \rightarrow A_\mu(x), A_5(x)$
- If the 5th dimension is infinite,  $A_5$  is naturally massless!
- After compactification,  $m(A_5) \sim 1/R \implies \text{good if } 1/R \sim M_W \sim M(W')$
- Higgs mass quadratic divergences are canceled by KK modes:



• A realistic GHU implementation, using a warped extra dimension, predicts KK states at 2 TeV and  $m_h < 140 \text{ GeV}$ 

[Agashe, Contino, Pomarol, hep-ph/0412089]

### Little Higgs

Quadratic divergence cancellation by same-spin states can also occur in a purely 4D theory - Little Higgs

[LH ⇐>effective theory of the first two KK modes in GHU!]



- In LH, Higgs is a Goldstone boson arising from a global symmetry breaking [a la pions in QCD]
- If the global symmetry is exact,  $m_h = 0$  naturally!
- Goldstones only interact derivatively preed to break the global symmetry explicitly by gauge and Yukawa interactions
- Generically explicit breaking reintroduces quadratic divergences
- "Collective" breaking pattern in LH avoids quad. div. at one loop

[Arkani-Hamed, Cohen, Georgi, 2002]

### EWSB in Littlest Higgs Model

• Higgs mass is dominated by top and Top loops:



- This contribution is log-divergent and negative:  $m_{\rm t}^2(H) = -\frac{3\lambda_t^2 M_T^2}{8\pi^2} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{M_T^2} .$
- All other contributions are generically subdominant
- EWSB is triggered radiatively simple mechanism!
- Similar to the MSSM but with no tree-level potential at all
  - e.g. no  $\mu$  problem!

### Little Higgs and T Parity

- LH models are weakly coupled at the TeV scale, predictive!
- The "first-generation" LH models strongly disfavored by precision electroweak data
- Best solution: introduce "T Parity": new TeV-scale particles T-odd and only appear in loops in PEWO [a la R parity of the MSSM]
- Littlest Higgs with T Parity (LHT) passes PEW tests without significant fine-tuning



[Hubisz, Meade, Noble, MP, hep-ph/0506042]

#### LHT Collider Phenomenology

- The Lightest T-Odd Particle (LTP) is stable, typically the neutral, spin-1 "heavy photon" - WIMP DM candidate
- Symmetry structure forces introduction of T-odd partners for each SM (weak doublet) fermion - "T-quarks" and "T-leptons"
- Hadron collider signature: T-quark production, decays to LTP+jets



A "SUSY look-alike" candidate!

#### LHT or SUSY?

[Hallenbeck, MP, Spethmann, Thom, Vaughn, arXiv: 0812.3135]

• Only looked at one channel, generic in both models

$$pp \to Q'\bar{Q}', Q' \to qB' \implies 2j + \text{MET}$$

- Simulated SUSY+SM sample = "data", try to fit with LHT+SM, varying LHT parameters (T-quark and LTP masses)
- Fit to 10 observables:  $\langle p_T \rangle, \langle H_T \rangle$ , moments, asymmetries



[This study point is now ruled out... Still, the strategy may well be useful]

### What if There is No Higgs?

- If physics at TeV scale is strongly coupled, a symmetry-breaking condensate can exist without a physical Higgs boson in the theory technicolor!
- TC with QCD-like dynamics at TeV is strongly disfavored by precision electroweak data
- Difficult to explore model space due to strong coupling
- New insight: AdS/CFT duality some strongly coupled 4D models are "dual" to weakly coupled, calculable models with an extra dimension!
- 5D "Higgsless" models have been constructed, with EWSB by boundary conditions in RS-like setup, passes precision electroweak tests with ~1% fine-tuning
- Fermion masses can be straightforwardly incorporated

# Higgsless Phenomenology

- Best place to search for all higgsless models is W/Z scattering
- Unitarity must be restored, typically resonances appear
- 5D Higgsless model predicts narrow, light (sub-TeV) resonances



 $(2 \le |\eta| \le 4.5, E > 300 \text{ GeV}, p_T > 30 \text{GeV}$  Plated Channel: 2j+3l+Et\_miss

#### **Closing Remarks**

- Since the SM became accepted (~30 years), theorists have been able to provide very precise guidance for new physics searches at the energy frontier (e.g. W, Z, top)
- This is **NOT** the case in the BSM physics hunt:
  - Number of "ideas" is finite (SUSY, xdim, TC, ...)
  - Number of "implementations" is essentially infinite
  - Number of "free parameters" in each implementation is typically large
- Inclusive (signature-based whenever possible) searches are the best bet
- "Model space" will evolve very quickly once there is evidence for BSM in the data!



### Monte Carlo Tools for BSM

- Monte Carlo predictions from models are essential for theory/ experiment connection
- Old model: MC developers implement models in general-purpose generators, users use these tools (slow!)
- New model (over the last ~3-4 years):
  - users implement models in parton-level matrix element generators (e.g. Madgraph), output Les Houches Accordcompatible files
  - LHA files are passed on to the rest of the simulation chain (same as SM, except if long-lived BSM states)

#### [theory.fnal.gov/mc4bsm/]

MC4BSM



#### Monte Carlo Tools for Beyond the Standard Model Physics

6th Workshop: MAR 22 - 24, 2012 (CORNELL)

#### ORGANIZERS email:

#### 5th workshop: APR 14-16, 2010 (NBI, COPENHAGEN)

<u>mc4bsm.AT.nbi.dk</u> **Organizing committee:** Poul Henrik Damgaard, Christophe Grojean, Peter Hansen, Jørgen Beck Hansen, Rasmus Mackeprang, Konstantin Matchev, Stephen Mrenna, Maxim Perelstein, Peter Skands.

#### **RESOURCES**:

• <u>BSM tool</u> repository

 Les Houches Accord for BSM Generators

<u>Video</u>
 <u>Lectures on</u>
 <u>Monte Carlo</u>

for the LHC Summary of MC4BSM-1 Discussion

sessions

**Organizing committee:** Hsin-Chia Cheng, Christophe Grojean, Konstantin Matchev, Stephen Mrenna, Maxim Perelstein, Peter Skands.

3rd workshop: MARCH 10-11, 2008 (CERN)

4th workshop: APRIL 3-4, 2009 (UC DAVIS)

**Organizing committee:** Georges Azuelos, Christophe Grojean, Jay Hubisz, Borut Kersevan, Joe Lykken, Fabio Maltoni, Konstantin Matchev, Filip Moortgat, Stephen Mrenna, Maxim Perelstein, Peter Skands, James Wells.

#### 2nd workshop: MARCH 21-24, 2007 (PRINCETON)

**Organizing committee:** Jay Hubisz, Konstantin Matchev, Stephen Mrenna, Maxim Perelstein, Peter Skands.

1st workshop: MARCH 20-21, 2006 (FERMILAB)

RELATED WORKSHOPS: **Organizing Committee:** Marcela Carena, Mu Chun Chen, Bogdan Dobrescu, Chris Hill, Jay Hubisz, Joe Lykken, Konstantin Matchev, Stephen Mrenna, Maxim Perelstein, Jose Santiago, Peter Skands.

• <u>TOOLS</u> 2010

Conclusions

- The mechanism which breaks electroweak symmetry remains a fundamental, unsolved mystery
- All natural models of EWSB predict new physics at the TeV scale
- Tevatron is at the frontier, discovery possible every day
- LHC is on its way!
- Lots of interesting possibilities exciting physics ahead!
- Widely open theory space brings challenges as well:
  - Making sure no new physics is missed (triggers, cuts)
  - Experiment-theory communication issues