IceCube Solar Dark Matter search &

Global SUSY fits with IceCube data

Matthias Danninger for the IceCube Collaboration The Oscar Klein Centre for Cosmoparticle Physics, Stockholm

Spaatind 2 - 7 January 2012









- 1) Accelerators (Production)
- 2) Direct Detection (Scattering)
- 3) Indirect Detection (Annihilation) \bigvee

Cohar Klei





Indirect Detection (Annihilation)

- Annihilation rate scales as square of DM density:
 → look for high density regions
 - Galactic Center
 - Dwarf galaxies
 - WIMPs trapped in stars
- Annihilation products
 - Produced at different rates
 - Propagate differently
 - Different backgrounds







Indirect Detection (Annihilation)

- Annihilation rate scales as square of DM density:
 → look for high density regions
 - Galactic Center
 - Dwarf galaxies
 - WIMPs trapped in stars
- Annihilation products
 - Produced at different rates
 - Propagate differently
 - Different backgrounds





Indirect Search with IceCube

Propagate+oscillate their way to the South Pole, convert into muons in CC interactions

Capture

Look for $\ensuremath{\text{Cerenkov}}\xspace$ radiation from the $\ensuremath{\mu}\xspace$



Cohar Klein

centre



IceCube detector



IceCube

Neutrinos interact so weakly that a large volume of detecting material is needed

IceCube uses the Antarctic ice

South Pole



IceCube detector

IceCube Lab

50 m

1450 m

2450 m

2820 m



Eiffel Tower 324 m





Bedrock



IceCube event signatures



Matthias Danninger

Char Kley

centre



- * Remove atmospheric muon events until data sample is dominated by atmospheric neutrino events
- **x** signal events within IceCube may have low mean muon energy in detector
 - $\rightarrow\,$ short tracks with few hits
- *x* cut on quality and reconstruction parameters
- > DM searches directional: good additional handle on event selection
 - → distribution-shape analysis (maximum LLH analysis)



Signal & background pdf's of Ψ : angle between reconstructed track and direction of the Sun

10

Analysis strategy: Blind analysis with respect to true azimuthal direction

- * Remove atmospheric muon events until data sample is dominated by atmospheric neutrino events
- **x** signal events within IceCube may have low mean muon energy in detector
 - \rightarrow short tracks with few hits
- *x* cut on quality and reconstruction parameters
- **X DM searches directional:** good additional handle on
 event selection
 - → distribution-shape analysis (maximum LLH analysis)





ICECUBE Analysis Results from the Sun





What can the muon signal tell me?

IceCube Coll. together with: Pat Scott, Chris Savage, Joakim Edsjö

Roughly:

- × Number how much annihilation is going on in the Sun ⇒ info on σ_{SD} , σ_{SI} and $\langle \sigma_{V} \rangle$
- **× Spectrum** sensitive to WIMP mass $m\chi$ and branching fractions **BF** into different annihilation channels χ
- * Direction how likely it is that they come from the Sun

In model-independent analyses a lot of this information is either discarded or not given with final limits

Goal:

Use as much of this information on σ_{SD} , σ_{SI} , $\langle \sigma_{V} \rangle$, m_{χ} and BF (χ) as possible to directly constrain specific points and regions in WIMP model parameter spaces

ICECUBE Global SUSY analysis with IceCube Coccurrent

More information comes from a global statistical fit.

→ parameter estimation exercise

Composite likelihood made up of observations from all over:

- **X** Dark matter relic density from WMAP
- * Precision electroweak tests at LEP & LEP limits on sparticle masses
- **X** B-factory data (rare decays, $b \rightarrow s\gamma$)
- **x** Muon anomalous magnetic moment

Ξ

x LHC searches, direct detection (not yet included in examples)

+ IceCube
$$\mathcal{L} = \prod_{j=1}^{n_{ ext{bins}}} \left[\mathcal{L}_{ ext{N},j} \prod_{i=1}^{n_{ ext{tot},j}} \mathcal{L}_{ ext{A},ij} \mathcal{L}_{ ext{E},ij} \right]$$

ICECUBE Global SUSY analysis with IceCube Cocar Klein

SD nuclear scattering cross-section in the CMSSM with IceCube-22 events



- × Contours indicate 1σ and 2σ credible regions
- **x** Shading+contours indicate relative probability only, not overall goodness of fit
- **x** Scans performed with modified SuperBayes 1.5.1 and unreleased DarkSUSY

ICECUBE Global SUSY analysis with IceCube Cocar Klein



- $\textbf{\textit{x}}$ Contours indicate 1 σ and 2 σ credible regions
- * Shading+contours indicate relative probability only, not overall goodness of fit
- * Scans performed with modified SuperBayes 1.5.1 and unreleased DarkSUSY

ICECUBE Global SUSY analysis with IceCube Cocar Reen



- $\textbf{\textit{x}}$ Contours indicate 1 σ and 2 σ credible regions
- **x** Shading+contours indicate relative probability only, not overall goodness of fit
- * Scans performed with modified SuperBayes 1.5.1 and unreleased DarkSUSY

Thank You

More Material

DarkSUSY for computing neutrino fluxes:

- **x** No assumption of equilibrium between capture & annihilation, or ann. final state
- * Inclusion of perturbations to WIMP orbits by Jupiter
- ${\it \times}$ Full numerical capture, SD and SI scattering on many more isotopes than just ${}^1{\rm H}$
- ***** Full neutrino production, propagation and oscillation via tabulated WIMPSim results
- * Explicit example models lightest neutralino in SUSY (MSSM-7 and CMSSM)

Compare **observed** number of events and **predicted** number for each model, taking into <u>account systematic uncertainties</u> and construct <u>p-values</u>

→ Model exclusion analysis

ICECUBE Global SUSY analysis with IceCube Cocar Refer

Assuming preliminary (conservative) estimate of IC-86 effective area

X Only partial goodness of fit, no measure of convergence, no idea how to generalise to regions or whole space.

* Frequency/density of models in IN/OUT scans means essentially nothing.

Very brief recap:

- (1) Halo WIMPs scatter on nuclei in the Sun/Earth
- (2) Some lose enough energy in the scatter to be gravitationally bound
- (3) Scatter some more, sink to the **core**
- (4) Annihilate with each other, producing neutrino
- (5) **Propagate+oscillate** their way to the South Pole, **convert into muons** in CC interactions
- (6) Look for $Cerenkov\ radiation$ from the μ

```
<u>WIMP candidates:</u>

* MSSM: (LSP) neutralino, m(\chi_{I}^{\theta})[35 \text{ GeV} - 5 \text{ TeV}]

Hard channel (\tau^{+}\tau^{-}/W^{+}W^{-})

Soft channel (\mathbf{b} \mathbf{b})

* UED: (LKP), \mathbf{B}^{(1)} or \gamma^{(1)}

fixed branching ratios: m(\gamma^{(1)})[250 \text{ GeV}-3\text{TeV}]
```


 6 additional strings – 60 High Quantum Efficiency PMTs (deployed in deep ice)

CECUBE

7m DOM spacing (17m standard), 72m inter-string spacing.

 \rightarrow focus energies (few GeV~1TeV)

4π detector using IceCube as an active veto. Southern sky sources (GC) and year round observation for the Sun.

IceCube detector

ICECUBE Dark Matter Searches from the Sun^{Coc}ector

ICECUBE Analysis prospects from the Sun Cohar Klee DAMA-Libra (ann. mod) (~8-sigma) 10⁻³ 6 CRESST 1σ CRESST 2σ CRESST 2009 [od] 10⁻⁴ EDELWEISS-II CoGeNT (ann. mod) CDMS-II cross section XENON100 (~2.8-sigma) DAMA chan. 10⁻⁵ DAMA CoGeNT M1 10-61

ICECUBE Analysis prospects from the Sun

ICECUBE Analysis Results from the Sun

ie sun

limits & sensitivity:

For more details: Abbasi et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 201302 (2009) (IC22 result) arXiv 000000, ICRC 2011 contribution (2011) (IC40+AMANDA result) arXiv 000000, ICRC 2011 contribution (2011) (IC86 sensitivity)

ICECUBE Analysis Results from the Sun

For more details:

Abbasi et al., *Physical Review* **D81** (2010) 057101. (IC22 result) arXiv 000000, ICRC 2011 contribution (2011) (IC40+AMANDA result)

limits & sensitivity:

Only data, when Sun is below the horizon

main syst. uncertainty:

Photon propagation in the ice & absolute DOM efficiency (~20%)

relate muon flux and WIMP - nucleon crosssection:

$$\Gamma_A = \frac{1}{2}C_C.$$