PhD meeting Niels Bohr International Academy May 22nd, 2012

The "New physics" behind neutrino masses

By Christine Hartmann Advisors: Professor A. Zee, Professor Poul Henrik Damgaard

The neutrino reveals itself...



Wolfgang Pauli Postulated neutrino in 1930 Nobel prize in 1945

Raymond Davies Homestake Mine experiment, South Dakota in 1960's Nobel prize in 2002



Oscillation probability -depends on mass differences

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau \end{pmatrix} = V \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P_{\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} - 4 \sum_{j < i=1} \operatorname{Re}[U_{\beta j}^{*} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha i}^{*}] \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{ij}^{2} L}{4E}\right) \pm 2 \sum_{j < i=1} \operatorname{Im}[U_{\beta j}^{*} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha i}^{*}] \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{ij}^{2} L}{2E}\right)$$

$$\Delta m_{ij}^2 = m_i^2 - m_j^2$$

Solar and atmospheric neutrino experiment results - only angles and mass squared differences



Dirac or Majorana?

Dirac: Yukawa type coupling to right-handed neutrinos.

 $\bar{\nu}_R \phi \psi_L + h.c.$

Majorana: Right-handed neutrino = antiparticle of the left-handed state.

$$\nu_R \to (\nu_L)^c = C \bar{\nu}_L^T$$

Neutrinos own antiparticles =>

Introduce new non-renormalizable operator.

 $(\phi \tau_2 \psi_L) C(\phi \tau_2 \psi_L)$

=> lepton number violation, not forbidden! Effective field theory - low energy physics, large cut-off scales in denominator, naturally small masses.

Mass limits from experiments

Neutrinoless double beta decay -Majorana neutrinos

$$|m_{ee}| = |m_1 U_{11}^2 + m_2 U_{12}^2 + m_3 U_{13}^2|$$
$$= \frac{1}{3} |2m_1 + m_2|$$
$$|m_{ee}| \le 0.38 \,\text{eV}$$





Tritium beta decay electron spectrum near endpoint

 $m_{\beta} = (|U_{ei}|^2 m_i^2)^{1/2} \le 2.2eV$

See-saw mechanism $\mathcal{O}_5 = \frac{1}{M} (\phi \tau_2 \psi)^T C(\phi \tau_2 \psi)$

Type I:



Type II:



Type III: Type I with $N \leftrightarrow \Sigma^0$

Type I see-saw mechanism

$$\mathcal{L}^{\nu} = \bar{\psi} \lambda_{\phi} \phi N_R + \frac{1}{2} N_R^T C M_R N_R + \text{h.c.}$$

$$M_D = \lambda_\phi v$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_{\phi} v \\ \lambda_{\phi}^T v & M_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_D \\ M_D^T & M_R \end{pmatrix}$$

"See-saw":
$$\lambda_+ \simeq M_R$$
, $\lambda_- \simeq -\frac{M_D^2}{M_R}$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\nu} = -M_D^T M_R^{-1} M_D$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{\nu} = -\psi^T \phi_1^T C(\lambda_{\phi} \frac{1}{M_R} \lambda_{\phi}^T) \phi_2 \psi$$

Radiative loop corrections Zee model

Extra scalar field: h^+ $f_{\phi}^{\beta c d} \overline{l}_{dR} \phi_{\beta}^0 l_{cL} + h.c$ $m_{cd} = \Sigma_{\beta} f_{\phi}^{\beta c d} v_{\beta}$

$$M^{\alpha\beta}\phi_{\alpha}\phi_{\beta}h \bigotimes_{h^{+}} \bigvee_{\phi^{+}} \downarrow_{\phi^{+}} \downarrow_{\mu_{L}} \downarrow_{\mu_{$$

$$M_{ab} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} f^{ac} m_{cd} f^{\beta db}_{\phi} v_{\alpha} M_{\alpha\beta} \frac{1}{m_h^2} \left[\ln \left[\frac{m_{\phi^2}}{m_h^2} \right] \right]$$

How to find the masses? Need more constraints...

Possible solution: Group theory!

Neutrinos have 3 flavors. Impose finite flavor symmetry with 3 dimensional representations.

Already done, mostly using the tetrahedral group.

My thesis: The Frobenius group

Subgroup of SU(3)

Convenient Irreducible Representations:

$$1' \ \overline{1}' \ 3_1 \ \overline{3}_1 \ 3_2 \ \overline{3}_2$$

Conclusions

- The neutrino masses have caused a "New physics" problem, where the Standard Model is not a sufficient model.

- Two popular mechanisms have used the Majorana nature of neutrinos to explain the existence of their masses and the small nature.

- Experiments can not predict the absolute masses of the neutrinos. There is still a search for models with enough constraints to predict the masses.

- Using group theory to place enough constraints to predict the masses seems to be a plausible method.