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There are several ways to explode WDs, examples include:

- WDs tidally pinched by black holes
- collisions of WDs
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## Collision frequencies

- White dwarfs are so small, do they collide at all?
- collision rate of single star for Maxwellian velocity distribution with dispersion $\sigma$ (closest approach $\mathrm{rcoll}<2$ R, Binney \&
Tremaine 2008):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\tau_{\text {coll }}}=16 \sqrt{\pi} n \sigma R_{*}^{2}(1+\Theta) \\
& \text { Safronov number } \Theta=\frac{v_{\mathrm{esc}}^{2}}{4 \sigma^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- forWDs: $\quad v_{\mathrm{esc}} \approx 4000 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} \gg \sigma_{\mathrm{GC}} \approx 5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$
entirely dominated by gravitational focussing!
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- rate per globular cluster: $\quad R_{\mathrm{GC}} \sim \frac{1}{2} \frac{n_{\mathrm{WD}}}{\tau_{\text {coll }}} \times \frac{4}{3} \pi r_{c}^{3}$
- multiply by average globular cluster space density (Brodie \& Strader 2006)

$$
R_{\mathrm{WDWD}}=2 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{yr}^{-1} \mathrm{Gpc}^{-3} \approx 0.01 \text { SNIa rate }
$$

(Hut \& Bahcall 1983)

- possibly further enhanced by
- binary fraction in cluster
- contrib. galactic centres, ultracompact dwarf galaxies etc ...

- distinguish:
merger of WD binary

$$
0.3 \& 0.6 M_{\text {sol }}
$$

## collision of two WDs

$$
0.6 \& 0.9 M_{\text {sol }}
$$

Dan et al. in prep.
Rosswog et al. 2009, Rosswog et al. in prep.
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## Modeling of WD-WD collisions

- Hydrodynamics: Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics
- Lagrangian
- exact numerical conservation
- Galiean invariant
- Equation of state: Helmholtz-EOS (Timmes \& Swesty 2000)
- completely general $e^{+} e^{-}$treatment
- therm. consistent interpolation
- free specification of composition
- Artifacts in non-Galilean invariant methods:
example: advecting a white dwarf across the grid


Adaptive mesh refinement code FLASH

- nuclear burning: 7-species, QSE-reduced alpha (Hix et al. I998)
- tuned for correct energy production
- coupled directly with hydrodynamics (implicit/explicit time integration)
- post-processing by I9-isotope network
- nuclear burning: 7-species, QSE-reduced alpha (Hix et al. I998)
- tuned for correct energy production
- coupled directly with hydrodynamics (implicit/explicit time integration)
- post-processing by 19-isotope network
- complementary approach: FLASH
- I9-isotope network
- Helmholtz-EOS
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## Simulations:

- relative velocities at impact entirely dominated by mutual gravity:

$v_{\text {rel }}=4000 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}\left(\frac{M_{\text {tot }}}{1.2 M_{\odot}} \frac{2 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~cm}}{R_{1}+R_{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}>c_{\mathrm{s}} \gg \sigma_{G} C$
- initial orbits: parabolae
- encounter strength measured by $\beta=\frac{R_{1}+R_{2}}{d_{\text {peri }}}$
-WD masses: 0.2 ... I. 2 solar masses
- 15 simulations, betw.
- example: off-centre collision
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- example: off-centre collision

$$
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what about more central collisions?

$$
M_{1}=0.9 M_{\odot}, \quad M_{2}=0.9 M_{\odot}, \quad \text { headon }
$$


code comparison: SPH \& FLASH, $2 \times 0.6 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sol }}$

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
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# code comparison: SPH \& FLASH, $2 \times 0.6 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sol }}$ 

 produced nuclear energy: SPH: $\quad 10^{51.21} \mathrm{erg}$FLASH: $10^{51.11} \mathrm{erg}$
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## Summary

- about 20 \% of WDWD collisions explode, explosion rate $\sim$ few $10^{-3} \mathrm{SN}$ la
- lightcurves/spectra similar to "normal" SN la
- large number of upcoming supernova/transient surveys: PAN-Starrs, PTF, LSST, ....

promise detection of several $10^{5}$ supernovae per year


## collision radii



## accuracy of A7- vs. Al9-network


for most trajectories better than 5 \%

## further collisions

$$
M_{1}=0.4 M_{\odot}, \quad 0.7 M_{\odot}, \quad \beta=3
$$


further collisions

$$
M_{1}=0.9 M_{\odot}, \quad M_{2}=0.9 M_{\odot}
$$



- distribution of species:

result of I9-isotope network


# $M_{\mathrm{BH}}=1000 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}, M_{\mathrm{WD}}=0.2 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}, \beta=12$ 

## The Importance of Orientation



## Post-processed mass fractions (Approxl9 network)

## $2 \times 0.6$ (sol. masses)

HI: I.2I7I0644658499IE-030
He3: 3.594750358430493E-030
He4: 4.189043739183I5IE-003
CI2: 2.4953|96879|1574E-002
NI4: I.088I8506363689IE-02
OI6: 0.17074I773936532
Ne20: 7.14II236914088I3E-003
Mg24: 5.05743953154783IE-002
Si28: 0.401987526550706
S32: 0.165240570241805
Ar36: 2.79|319887545398E-002
Ca40: 2.43569763999344IE-002
Ti44: 2.373I73078559460E-005
Cr48: 2.7609046|4731734E-004
Fe52: 5.274240424876457E-003
Fe54: I.572|44622878850E-004
Ni56: 0.317170878739039
neut: 1.214341784544193E-016
prot: 2.0998078 | $1748382 \mathrm{E}-008$

## $2 \times 0.9$ (sol. masses)

HI: I.80745I942|43835E-030
He3: 5.3963650234034IIE-030
He4: 3.1454084337556|3E-003
CI2: 2.14I777908098629E-002
NI4: I.I56883143I046I8E-025
Ol6: 0.1987994958678।I
Ne20: 8.05I318839390595E-003
Mg24: 6.355383568977493E-002
Si28: 0.528208291808507
S32: 0.223095866689290
Ar36: 3.957642941078928E-002
Ca40: 3.694688547903079E-002
Ti44: 2.218725646853336E-005
Cr48: 5.049289284|05797E-004
Fe52: I.169269997771984E-002
Fe54: 5.935557993597524E-004
Ni56: 0.664391266963926
neut: I.272072363488627E-016
prot: I. $47459532276 \mid 357 \mathrm{E}-008$

## "SPH can't do shocks"

## standard, Newtonian, "Sod"- shock tube



## "SPH can't do shocks II"

## mildly relativistic shock tube (Lorentz factor=1.4)






## "SPH can’t do shocks III"

## strong, relativistic blast wave (Lorentz factor= 6.0)






## "SPH can't do shocks IV"

super-ultra-hyper-relativistic wall shock $\gamma=50000, \quad v=0.9999999998 c$





