Neutron star envelopes: (micro)physics and thermal radiation

Alexander Y. Potekhin¹ *in collaboration with* D.G.Yakovlev,¹ A.I. Chugunov,¹ A.D.Kaminker,¹ Yu.A.Shibanov,¹ ... *and* Gilles Chabrier,² Wynn Ho,³ Dong Lai,⁴ Hugh DeWitt,⁵ Forrest Rogers,⁵ ...

¹Ioffe Physical-Technical Institute, Saint-Petersburg, Russia
 ²Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, France
 ³University of Southampton, UK
 ⁴Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA
 ⁵Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA

- 1. Motivation: Importance of the envelopes
- 2. Plasma EoS: fully ionized / partially ionized, nonmagnetic / magnetic
- 3. Radiative opacities: *Magnetized atmospheres*
- 4. Conduction: *Thermal structure and luminosity*
- 5. The effects of superstrong magnetic fields: *Uncertainties*
- 6. [An application example]

Neutron star models



Stellar mass–radius relation for different EOSs [from Haensel, Potekhin, & Yakovlev, Neutron Stars. 1. Equation of State and Structure (Springer, New York, 2007)]

Thermal evolution

Cooling of neutron stars Motivation with proton superfluidity in the cores



Relation between *internal* (core) temperature and *effective temperature* (surface luminosity)

• requires studying **thermal conduction** and **temperature profiles** in heatblanketing envelopes

> Knowledge of the shape and features of the *radiation spectrum* at given effective temperature

• requires modeling neutron star **surface layers** and propagation of electromagnetic radiation in them

Solution of both problems relies on modeling thermodynamic and kinetic properties of *outer neutron-star envelopes* – dense, strongly magnetized plasmas

Magnetic field affects <u>thermodynamics properties</u> and the <u>heat conduction</u> of the plasma, as well as <u>radiative opacities</u> • <u>Strong magnetic field B</u> : $\hbar \omega_c = \hbar e B / m_c c > 1 \text{ a.u.}$ $B > m_e^2 c e^3 / \hbar^3 = 2.35 \text{ x } 10^9 \text{ G}$

• <u>Superstrong field</u>:

$$\hbar \omega_{\rm c} > m_c c^2$$

 $B > m_e^2 c^3 / e\hbar = 4.4 \text{ x } 10^{13} \text{ G}$

• <u>Strongly quantizing</u>: $\rho < \rho_B = m_{ion} n_B < A > / < Z > \approx 7 \times 10^3 B_{12}^{3/2} (<A > / < Z >) \text{ g cm}^{-3}$

 $T << T_B = \hbar \omega_c / k_B \approx 1.3 \text{ x } 10^8 B_{12} \text{ K}$

Neutron star structure



Neutron star structure in greater detail



Neutron star without atmosphere: possible result of a phase transition



Equation of state of electron-ion plasmas

Ideal Fermi gas

Fitting and asymptotic formulae:

S. I. Blinnikov, N. V. Dunina-Barkovskaya, D. K. Nadyozhin, *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.*, **106**, 171 (1996); erratum: *ibid.*, **118**, 603 (1998);
G. Chabrier, A. Y. Potekhin, *Phys. Rev. E*, **58**, 4941 (1998); updated 2009.

An alternative – numerical calculation of tables and interpolation:

F. X. Timmes, D. Arnett, Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser., 125, 277 (1999);
F. X. Timmes, F. D. Swesty, Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser., 126, 501 (2000).

Exchange-correlation interaction of electrons

S. Tanaka, S. Mitake, S. Ichimaru, *Phys. Rev. A*, **32**, 1896 (1985); S. Ichimaru, H. Iyetomi, S. Tanaka, *Phys. Rep.*, **149**, 91 (1987).

EoS: fully ionized, nonmagnetic

Ion liquid

Best Monte Carlo calculations of the internal energy at $1 < \Gamma < 190$: J. M. Caillol, J. Chem. Phys., **111**, 6538 (1999).

Debye – Hückel formula + corrections up to $O(\Gamma^{9/2} \ln \Gamma)$: E. G. D. Cohen, T. J. Murphy, *Phys. Fluids*, **12**, 1404 (1969).

Fit formula reproducing the Caillol's results at 1 < Γ < 190 with a fractional error about 1/10⁶, and also the Cohen – Murphy formula at Γ<0,3
A. Y. Potekhin, G. Chabrier, *Phys. Rev. E*, **62**, 8554 (2000)

Quantum corrections

J. P. Hansen, Phys. Rev. A, 8, 3096 (1973)

Next order corrections – J. P. Hansen, P. Vieillefosse, Phys. Lett. A, 53, 187 (1975).

Numerical results beyond perturbation theory are wanted for quantum liquid!

Coulomb (Wigner) crystal

Harmonic approximation: analytic formulae

D. A. Baiko, A. Y. Potekhin, D. G. Yakovlev, 2001, Phys. Rev. E, 64, 057402

Classical anharmonic corrections

R. T. Farouki, S. Hamaguchi, Phys. Rev. E, 47, 4330 (1993): Monte-Carlo + fits (11 versions)

Quantum anharmonic corrections

W.J. Carr, Jr., R.A. Coldwell-Horsfall, A.E. Fein, *Phys. Rev.*, **124**, 747 (1961): zero temperatureJ. P. Hansen, P. Vieillefosse, *Phys. Lett. A*, **53**, 187 (1975): high-temperature perturbation

EoS: fully ionized, nonmagnetic

Anharmonic corrections



WK (Wigner – Kirkwood): J. P. Hansen & P. Vieillefosse, *Phys. Lett. A*, 53, 187 (1975) – perturbation.
IOI: H. Iyetomi, S. Ogata, S. Ichimaru, *Phys. Rev. B*, 47, 11703 (1993) – simulations and analytic model. "present": Potekhin & Chabrier – interpolation (unpublished)

Reliable and usable numerical results beyond perturbation theory and beyond the harmonic model are wanted for quantum crystal!

Equation of state of multicomponent electron-ion plasmas (2009)

1. Strongly nonideal Coulomb plasma

For every component *j* one can write $f_{\text{ex}} \equiv \frac{F_{\text{ex}}}{N_{\text{i}}k_{\text{B}}T} = f_{\text{ii}} + f_{\text{ie}} + Z_j f_{ee}$

Linear Mixing Rule

$$f_{\rm ex}^{\rm LM}(\Gamma) \approx \sum_j x_j f_{\rm ex}(\Gamma_j, x_j = 1), \quad \Gamma_j = \Gamma \frac{Z_j^{5/3}}{\langle Z^{5/3} \rangle}$$

2. Extremely weakly nonideal Coulomb plasma

Debye-Hückel approximation (nonlinear!)

$$f_{ee}^{\rm DH} = -\frac{\Gamma_e^{3/2}}{\sqrt{3}} \qquad \qquad f_{\rm ii}^{\rm DH} = f_{ee}^{\rm DH} \zeta_{\rm ii}^{\rm DH}, \quad \zeta_{\rm ii}^{\rm DH} = \frac{\langle Z^2 \rangle^{3/2}}{\langle Z \rangle^{1/2}}$$

3. Moderate Coulomb coupling – ???

A.Y. Potekhin & G. Chabrier – *Phys. Rev. E* **79**, 016411 (2009); Potekhin, Chabrier, A.I. Chugunov, F. Rogers, H.E. DeWitt – *Phys. Rev. E* (submitted)

Examples for three-component plasmas



Electron-ion interaction

Electron polarization in Coulomb liquid

Potekhin & Chabrier, Phys. Rev. E, 62, 8554 (2000): HNC calculations + fit

Electron polarization in Coulomb crystal

For Yukawa potential model – S. Hamaguchi, R. T. Farouki, D. H. E. Dubin, *Phys. Rev. E.*, 56, 4671 (1997).
In the harmonic approximation – D. A. Baiko, *Phys. Rev. E.*, 66, 056405 (2002).
Quasiclassical perturbation theory – Potekhin & Chabrier, *Phys. Rev. E*, 62, 8554 (2000) + update (unpublished).

Numerical results beyond perturbation theory and beyond Yukawa and harmonic models are wanted!

http://www.ioffe.ru/astro/EIP/

EoS: fully ionized, nonmagnetic

Heat capacity of plasma in a white dwarf or a neutron star envelope



Various contributions to the heat capacity of carbon at density 10⁵ g cm⁻³

EoS: fully ionized, nonmagnetic

Melting of a neutron star envelope



Top: Latent heat of carbon and iron as function of denity. *Bottom*: Coulomb coupling parameter Γ value at the melting point. http://www.ioffe.ru/astro/EIP/

EoS: fully ionized, magnetic

Equation of state in *magnetic* neutron star envelopes



Normalized thermodynamic functions of fully ionized iron without magnetic field (dashed lines) and in a strong magnetic field (solid lines)

EoS: partially ionized, magnetic

Bound species in a strong magnetic field

Opacities



The effects of a strong magnetic field on the atoms and molecules. **a**–**c**: H atom in the ground state (**a**: $B << 10^9$ G, **b**: $B \sim 10^{10}$ G, **c**: $B \sim 10^{12}$ G). **d**: The field stabilizes the molecular chains (H₃ is shown). **e**: H atom moving across the field becomes decentered.

EoS: partially ionized, magnetic

H atom in strong magnetic fields

Opacities



Main transition energies of the hydrogen atom in a magnetic field [Potekhin & Chabrier (2004) *ApJ*, **600**, 317] Binding energies of the hydrogen atom in the magnetic field $B=2.35\times10^{12}$ G as functions of its state of motion across the field [Potekhin(1994) *J.Phys.B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **27**, 1073]

Partial ionization/recombination in hydrogen plasmas with strong magnetic fields



Equation of state of hydrogen in strong magnetic fields: The effects of nonideality and partial ionization



EOS of ideal (dotted lines) and nonideal (solid lines) H plasmas at various field strengths. Potekhin, Chabrier, & Shibanov, *Phys. Rev. E* **60**, 2193 (1999); Potekhin & Chabrier, *ApJ* **600**, 317 (2004)



Oscillator strengths for transitions between 2 levels of the hydrogen atom at $B=2.35\times10^{12}$ G, as functions of pseudomomentum [Potekhin(1994) *J.Phys.B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* 27, 1073]



Photoionization cross sections for the ground-state H atom at *B*=2.35×10¹² G [Potekhin & Pavlov (1997) *Astrophys. J.* **483**, 414]



Photoionization cross sections for the ground-state H atom at *B*=2.35×10¹² G [Potekhin & Pavlov (1997) *Astrophys. J.* **483**, 414]



Photoionization cross sections for the ground-state H atom at *B*=2.35×10¹² G [Potekhin & Pavlov (1997) *Astrophys. J.* **483**, 414]



Photoionization cross sections for the ground-state H atom at *B*=2.35×10¹² G [Potekhin & Pavlov (1997) *Astrophys. J.* **483**, 414]



Photoionization cross sections for the ground-state H atom at *B*=2.35×10¹² G [Potekhin & Pavlov (1997) *Astrophys. J.* **483**, 414]



Photoionization cross sections for the ground-state H atom at *B*=2.35×10¹² G [Potekhin & Pavlov (1997) *Astrophys. J.* **483**, 414]

Plasma absorption and polarizabilities in strong magnetic fields: The effects of nonideality and partial ionization

Opacities

α=0 B12=2.35 $\rho = 0.1, \log T = 5.5$ з log opacity (cm²/g) b-f ь-ь -2 -3 2 2 3 1 з 1 3 log ħω (eV) log ħω (eV) log hw (eV)

Spectral opacities for 3 basic polarizations. Solid lines – taking into account bound states, dot-dashes –full ionization [Potekhin & Chabrier (2003) *ApJ* **585**, 955]

To the right: *top panel* – basic components of the absorption coefficients; *middle and bottom* – components of the polarizability tensor [Potekhin, Lai, Chabrier, & Ho (2004) *ApJ* **612**, 1034]



Opacities for normal modes in a strongly magnetized plasma: The effects of nonideality and partial ionization



Opacities for two normal modes of electromagnetic radiation in models of an ideal fully ionized (dash-dot) and nonideal partially ionized (solid lines) plasma

at the magnetic field strength $B=3\times10^{13}$ G, density 1 g/cc, and temperature 3.16×10^{5} K. The 2 panels correspond to 2 different angles of propagation with respect to the magnetic field lines. An upper/lower curve of each type is for the extraordinary/ordinary polarization mode, respectively [Potekhin, Lai, Chabrier, & Ho (2004) *ApJ* **612**, 1034]

Model atmospheres

Modeling results: temperature profiles and the atomic fractions



Result of modelling: spectra, dipole model (Wynn Ho)





NSMAX: *Neutron Star Magnetic Atmosphere: X-ray spectra* W.C.G. Ho, A.Y. Potekhin, & G. Chabrier, *ApJS* **178**, 102 (2008)

NS Magnetic Atmosphere Model

The NSMAX model interpolates from a grid of neutron star (NS) atmosphere spectra to produce a final spectrum that depends on the parameters listed below. The atmosphere spectra are obtained using the latest equation of state and opacity results for a partially ionized, strongly magnetized hydrogen plasma. The models are constructed by solving the coupled radiative transfer equations for the two photon polarization modes in a magnetized medium, and the atmosphere is in radiative and hydrostatic equilibrium. The atmosphere models mainly depend on the surface effective temperature T_{eff} and magnetic field strength *B* and inclination Θ_B ; there is also a dependence on the surface gravity $g=(1+z_g)GM/R^2$, where $1+z_g=(1-2GM/R)^{1/2}$ is the gravitational redshift and *M* and *R* are the NS mass and radius, respectively.

Two sets of models are given: one set with a single surface **B** and T_{eff} and a set which is constructed with **B** and T_{eff} varying across the surface according to the magnetic dipole model (for the latter, θ_m is the angle between the direction to the observer and the magnetic axis). The effective temperatures span the range log T_{eff} =5.5-6.8. The models with single (**B**, T_{eff}) cover the energy range 0.05-10 keV, while the models with (**B**, T_{eff})-distributions cover the range 0.09-5 keV.

The model parameters are :

par1 = $\log T_{off}$, surface (unredshifted) effective temperature

par2 = $1+z_{a}$, gravitational redshift

- par3 = switch indicating model to use (see nsmax.dat or <u>list</u>)
- A = $(R_{em}/d)^2 (1+z_g)^{-1}$, normalization, where R_{em} is the size (in km) of the emission region and *d* is the distance (in kpc) to the object. Note: A is added automatically by XSPEC.

The <u>source code</u>, <u>Imodel.dat entries</u>, <u>input model list</u>, and <u>model data files</u> (in one tar file) are available. A list of the models currently available can be found <u>here</u>. The model data files should either be placed in the \$XANADU/spectral/modelIonData (v12), \$XANADU/spectral/xspec/manager (v11) directory, or the XSPEC command xset NSMAX_DIR directory-path should be used to define the directory containing the model data files.

An alternative (or supplement): *Radiation from condensed surface* (Matt van Adelsberg)

van Adelsberg, Lai, & Potekhin (2005) ApJ 628, 902



Monochromatic flux from the condensed surface in various cases [Matthew van Adelsberg, for Potekhin *et al.* (2006) *J.Phys.A: Math. Gen.* **39**, 4453]

Model spectra

Stellar heat conductivities Basic data sources

W.B.Hubbard & M.Lampe (1966 – 1969)	<i>ei+ee</i> degenerate and non-degenerate electrons; non-relativistic, classical ions. Tables for H, He, C and a few mixtures.
N.ltoh <i>et al</i> . (1976 – 1994)	<i>ei</i> , strongly degenerate electrons (arbitrary relativity), strongly coupled ions. Inaccurate treatment near the liquid/solid phase boundary.
D.G.Yakovlev et al. (1980 – 2001)	<i>ei</i> : (i) liquid : classical ions (strongly and weakly coupled) with a good structure factor; non-Born correction; (ii) solid : quantum treatment, account of multi-phonon processes.
	Allowance for strong magnetic fields.
	ee: strongly degenerate electrons; inaccurate treatment at relativistic densities.
P.S.Shternin & D.G.Yakovlev (2006)	ee: improved at relativistic densities.
S.Cassisi et al. (2007)	ee: extension to arbitrary degeneracy.
A. Chugunov & P. Haensel (2007)	le, ii: ion thermal conduction.

Conduction: nonmagnetic



A.Pietrinferni, M.Catelan, M.Salaris, *ApJ* **661**, 1094 (2007)]

Conduction: nonmagnetic



Improvement of conduction opacities in RGB stellar cores relative to some previous models. Cassisi, Potekhin, Pietrinferni, Catelan, Salaris, *ApJ* 661, 1094 (2007)

Conduction: magnetic

Thermal conductivities in a strongly magnetized envelope



Solid – exact, dots – without *T*-integration, dashes – magnetically non-quantized [Ventura & Potekhin (2001), in *The Neutron Star – Black Hole Connection*, ed. Kouveliotou *et al.* (Dordrecht: Kluwer) 393]

Heat flux:
$$\boldsymbol{F} = -\kappa_{\parallel} \nabla_{\parallel} T - \kappa_{\perp} \nabla_{\perp} T - \kappa_{\wedge} \boldsymbol{b} \times \nabla T, \quad \boldsymbol{b} = \frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{B}$$

Thermal structure



Thermal structure with a magnetic field

Thermal structure with a magnetic field and different chemical compositions of the envelope



Temperature drops in magnetized envelopes of neutron stars



[based on Potekhin et al. (2003) ApJ 594, 404]

Thermal evolution

Cooling of neutron stars with accreted envelopes

Cooling of neutron stars with magnetized envelopes



[Chabrier, Saumon, & Potekhin, J.Phys.A: Math. Gen. **39**, 4411 (2006); used data from Yakovlev et al, Nucl. Phys. A **752**, 590c (2005)]

Challenges from the <u>superstrong</u> fields $(B > 10^{14} G)$

- 1. Mechanical structure: field affects EoS also in the inner crust
- 2. Thermal structure: field affects luminosity
- 3. [Possibly] non-isotropic heat transport in the inner crust
- 4. Surface layers: molecules, chains, and magnetic condensation
- 5. Radiative transfer: vacuum polarization and mode conversion
- 6. Energy transport below the plasma frequency
- 7. Non-LTE distribution of ions over Landau levels

Superstrong fields

Superstrong field affects EOS



Dependence of pressure on density for ground-state matter with zero and superstrong magnetic fields

Superstrong field affects total luminosity



Dependence of the mean effective temperature on the magnetic field strength for the light-element (dashed lines) and iron (solid lines) envelopes.

Thick or thin atmosphere?



Importance of energy transport below plasma frequency



Photon-decoupling densities for X- and O-modes for a partially ionized H amosphere, for magnetic field strengths typical of pulsars (blue lines) and magnetars (red lines).

Dot-dashed lines correspond to the radiative surface, the shadowed region corresponds to $E < E_{pl}$.

Importance of energy transport below plasma frequency



Temperature profiles in the accreted envelope of a neutron star with "ordinary" (left panel) and **superstrong** (right) magnetic field, for the local effective temperature $10^{5.5}$ K, with (solid lines) and without (dashed lines) plasma-frequency cut-off [Potekhin *et al.* (2003)*ApJ* **594**, 404]

Heating and cooling of magnetars



Heating and cooling of magnetars











Conclusions

Equation of state in neutron-star envelopes is basically known, but there remain uncertain ingredients

> Opacities with strong magnetic fields are known for hydrogen at relatively high temperatures. [*Note*: For middle-Z elements, there are atmosphere models by Kaya Mori and Wynn Ho, with a restricted account of the atomic motion.]

> Practical models of the *conductivities*, applicable to neutron stars, are developed in recent years.

- > A *superstrong* magnetic field
- on the average, makes the envelope more heat-transparent,
- accelerates cooling at late epochs,
- leads to theoretical uncertainties, which require further study.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!