

dark matter
searches
with
IceCube/PINGU

Carlos de los Heros Uppsala University

Astroparticle physics in Antarctica Workshop Niels Bohr Institute. 13 January, 2014

DM detection

HC

direct detection Xenon, CDMS, Edelweiss... (CoGeNT, Dama/Libra...)

production at colliders

from annihil in galactic center or halo and from synchrotron emission Fermi, ICT, radio telescopes.

\indirect

from annihil in galactic halo or center PAMELA, Fermi, HESS, AMS, balloons.. from annihil in galactic halo or center

d from annihil in galactic halo or center GAPS

 $u, ar{
u}$ from annihil in massive bodies

SK, Icecube, Km3Net

the IceCube neutrino telescope



Each DOM is an autonomous data collection unit



- Dark Noise rate ~ 400 Hz
- Local Coincidence rate ~ 15 Hz
- Deadtime < 1%
- Timing resolution \leq 2-3 ns
- Power consumption: 3W

```
- PMT: Hamamatsu, 10''
```

-Digitizers:

```
<u>ATWD</u>: 3 channels. Sampling 300MHz,
capture 400 ns
<u>FADC</u>: sampling 40 MHz, capture 6.4 µs
```

```
Dynamic range 500pe/15 nsec, 25000 pe/6.4 \mu s
```





- Flasher board:

12 controllable LEDs at 0° or 45°

Clock stability: 10-10 \approx 0.1 nsec / sec Synchronized to GPS time every ≈ 5 sec with 2 ns precision









Data taking since 2005 - completed in 2010!

IceCube highlights

- Detector completed on December 2010
- Full operation with 86 strings starts in May 2011
- Full detector \rightarrow Veto techniques possible.

IceCube becomes a 4π detector with access to the Galactic Center and whole southern sky

- Recent results:
 - <u>dark matter</u>: competitive spin-dependent limits above WIMP mass 35 GeV (PRL 110, 131202, 2013)
 - atmospheric electron neutrinos (PRL 110, 151105, 2013)
 - highest energy neutrinos ever observed (PRL 111, 021103, 2013)
 - follow up on high energy neutrinos (Science 342, no. 6161, 2013)
 - neutrino oscillations at high energies (PRL 111, 081801, 2013)

Many of these results only possible with the low-energy extension, DeepCore.... which paves the ice for PINGU, an even lower-energy extension under study (E_v threshold of ~ O(1 GeV))



multiflavor detector

neutrino event signatures in IceCube:

Time [m

tracks:



cascades: v_e, v_τ CC all flavours NC angular resolution $\geq 10^\circ$ energy resolution ~ 15% (data)

Tau neutrino, CC $v_{\tau} + N \rightarrow \tau + X$

(simulation)



T production

T decay

Trigger rates:

Atm. muons: ~3 kHz, ~200 atm. ν /day (with E >100 GeV in IceCube) Atmospheric neutrino and muon production in cosmic ray air showers (→ background for neutrino analyses)



full sky sensitivity using IceCube
surrounding strings as a veto:

375m thick detector veto: three complete IceCube string layers surround DeepCore

--> access to southern hemisphere, galactic center and all-year Sun visibility



can use IceCube outer string layers to define starting and 10 8 througoing tracks 10^{7} 10^{6} 10⁵ 10^{4} 10³ 10^{2} 500 600 X [m]



Preliminary

IceCube Only Trigger DeepCore+IceCube Trigger DeepCore Online Veto

Effective Area (m²)

10

10-1

full sky sensitivity using IceCube
surrounding strings as a veto:

375m thick detector veto: three complete IceCube string layers surround DeepCore

--> access to southern hemisphere, galactic center and all-year Sun visibility





full sky sensitivity using IceCube
surrounding strings as a veto:

375m thick detector veto: three complete IceCube string layers surround DeepCore

--> access to southern hemisphere, galactic center and all-year Sun visibility









full sky sensitivity using IceCube
surrounding strings as a veto:

375m thick detector veto: three complete IceCube string layers surround DeepCore

--> access to southern hemisphere, galactic center and all-year Sun visibility











•atmospheric neutrinos. Our "beam". Irreducible: our background



searching for Dark Matter

<u>WIMPS</u>

- ARISE IN EXTENSIONS OF THE STANDARD MODEL
- Assumed to be stable: Relics from the Big Bang
- WEAK-TYPE XSECTION GIVES NEEDED RELIC DENSITY

$$\Omega_{\delta} h^2 \approx \frac{10^{-27}}{\langle \sigma_{ann} v \rangle_{fr}} \,\mathrm{cm}^3 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$$

- mass from few GeV to few ${\rm TeV}$
- R-PARITY (X)**SSM** CANDIDATE: LIGHTEST SS PARTICLE
- UED: LIGHTEST 'RUNG' IN THE KALUZA-KLEIN LADDER

SIMPZILLAS

- NON-THERMAL, NON-WEAKLY INTERACTING HEAVY STABLE RELICS

DM-induced SM particles

$$\chi \chi \rightarrow \begin{cases} qq \\ \ell^+ \ell^- \\ W, Z, H \end{cases} \rightarrow \nu, \gamma, e^+ e^-, \overline{p}$$

Kaluza-Klein modes an additional useful channel: $\kappa\kappa \to \nu\nu$

signature:

 $\nu\,\text{excess}$ over background from Sun/Earth/Galactic Halo/near galaxies

Look at objects where dark matter might have accumulated gravitationally over the evolution of the Universe

Sun, Earth, Galactic Halo/Center, dwarf spheroids



note: astrophysical / hadronic uncertainties

dark matter searches from the Sun





Indirect dark matter searches from the **Sun** are typically a low-energy analysis in neutrino telescopes: even for the highest DM masses, we do not get muons above few 100 GeV

Not such effect for the Earth and Halo

IceCube results from 317 days of livetime between 2010-2011:

All-year round search:



- Extend the search to the southern hemisphere by selecting starting events
 - \rightarrow Veto background through location of interaction vertex
 - muon background: downgoing, no starting track
 - WIMP signal: require interaction vertex within detector volume

Analysis reaches neutrino energies of ~20 GeV.

$$\Phi_{\mu} \to \Gamma_{A} \to C_{c} \to \sigma_{X+p}$$

Unblinded events in different samples







90% CL neutralino-p SD Xsection limit

- most stringent SD cross-section limit for most models
- complementary to direct detection search efforts

90% CL neutralino-p SI Xsection limit

• different astrophysical & nuclear form-factor uncertainties

searches from the Sun: comparison with LCH results



Universal Extra Dimensions:



Superheavy dark matter:

- Produced **non-thermally** at the end of inflation through vacuum guantum fluctuations or decay of the inflaton field

- strong Xsection (simply means non-weak in this context)
- m from $\sim 10^4$ GeV to 10^{18} GeV (no unitarity limit since production non thermal)

 $S+S \rightarrow t\bar{t}$











self-interacting dark matter

If the dark matter has a selfinteraction component, $\sigma_{\chi\chi\prime}$, the capture in astrophysical objects should be enhanced

$$\frac{dN_{\chi}}{dt} = \Gamma_C - \Gamma_A = (\Gamma_{\chi N} + \Gamma_{\chi \chi}) - \Gamma_A$$

→ maximum annihilation rate reached earlier than in collisionless models

 $\sigma_{\chi\chi}$ can naturally avoid cusped halo profiles

can induce a higher neutrino flux from annihilations in the Sun

limits on $\sigma_{\chi\chi}$ can be set by neutrino telescopes





⁽Zentner, Phys. Rev. D80, 063501, 2009)



Earth capture rate dominated by resonance with heavy inner elements



 \rightarrow however, initial standard assumptions on the capture rate, based on a value of $\sigma_{\chi^{-n}}{}^{\rm SI} \sim 10^{-42}$ cm², have been recently ruled out by direct experiments \rightarrow Normalization in the plot must be rescaled down, or a boost factor in the DM interaction cross section assumed

 \rightarrow an enhanced capture Xsection could produce a detectable neutrino flux from the center of the Earth (c. Delaunay, P. J. Fox and G. Perez, JHEP 0905, 099 (2009)).



Using the atmospheric neutrino measurement of IceCube (ie, no excess from the center of the Earth detected), model-independent limits on boost factors can be set







DM search from the Galactic Halo



DM search from the Galactic Center

- 79-string configuration
- Use DeepCore to lower the energy threshold to ~10 GeV
- Analysis rely on veto methods to reject incoming tracks
- Use scrambled data for background estimation









Dwarf galaxies: high mass/light ratio → high concentration of DM in the halos

known location. Distributed both in the north and southern sky.

- · Point-like search techniques: stacking
- known distance -> determination of absolute annihilation rate if a signal is detected

Galaxy clusters: enhance signal due to accumulation of sources

But: extended sources with possible substructure

Same expected neutrino spectra as for the galactic center/halo

IceCube results from various sources





Search for many interesting potential annihilation channels: (Various DM-Halo models tested) $\chi \chi \begin{cases} v \bar{v}, \mu \mu, \tau \bar{\tau}, W W, b \bar{b} \\ Z^0 Z^0, Z^0 \gamma \end{cases}$



<u>IceCube-79</u> Galactic Center analysis (sensitivity):

- First IceCube analysis looking at GC for low WIMP masses (< 100 GeV)
- 4 orders of magnitude improved sensitivity @ 100 GeV Unblinding is going on within the collaboration



<u>IceCube-79</u> Multipole analysis to search for Dark Matter in the Galactic Halo:

- focus on large scale anisotropies (I<100)
- small Halo-model dependency
- results are compatable with the background-only hypothesis





<u>IceCube-59</u> Dwarf galaxy searches:

- Source stacking analysis
- Optimized size of search window

<u>IceCube-59</u> Galaxy cluster analysis:

- Extended point source search
- Optimized size of search window
- Substructures taken into account

DeepCore showed the potential of going down in energy.

How low could we go?

Add 40 strings within the current DeepCore volume

to bring down energy threshold to O(1 GeV)

 \rightarrow **PINGU**:

Precision Icecube Next Generation Upgrade

€¹⁰⁰ Baseline 40-string DeepCore 50 PINGU 75m. 0 -50 25m -100 125m -150 -100 -50 n 50 100 150 200

IceCube

x(m)

Aims:

Physics @few GeV:

- neutrino hierarchy, low-mass WIMPs
- R&D for Megaton ring Cherenkov

reconstruction detector for p-decay

and high statistics SuperNova detection



9.3 GeV neutrino producing a 4.9 GeV muon and a 4.4 GeV cascade



DeepCore only

9.3 GeV neutrino producing a 4.9 GeV muon and a 4.4 GeV cascade





DeepCore + PINGU

DeepCore only

50 DOMs hit

20 DOMs hit

sensitivity study based on current IceCube analysis techniques

- Assume complete background rejection of

downgoing atmospheric muons through veto

technique

- On-source search window of 10°
- \rightarrow reach WIMP masses of 5 GeV

blue shaded areas ==> range of possibly obtainable
sensitivity with improved analysis techniques

L> use of signal and background spectral information



sensitivity study based on current IceCube analysis techniques

- Assume complete background rejection of

downgoing atmospheric muons through veto

technique

- On-source search window of 10°
- \rightarrow reach WIMP masses of 5 GeV

blue shaded areas ==> range of possibly obtainable
sensitivity with improved analysis techniques

L> use of signal and background spectral information



Galactic Center, $<\sigma_A v > (1 \text{ yr live time})$



- IceCube is completed and delivering first-class science on a wide range of physics topics

- Competitive searches for dark matter in the Sun and galaxies. Complementary to accelerator, direct and other indirect searches (photons, e⁺e⁻, CRs)
- Still on the way to extract full search capability with DeepCore (ie, searches with cascades)
- PINGU will allow to extend searches for DM candidates to the ~few GeV region



a detour on systematics

Signals in indirect (≈WIMP capture) and direct (nuclear recoil) experiments depend on the WIMP-nucleon cross section (WIMP-nucleus cross section not considered here)

•



Structure of the nucleon plays an essential role in calculating observables

$$\sigma_{SD}^{\chi N} \propto \Sigma_{q=u,d,s} \langle N | \overline{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} q | N \rangle \propto \Sigma_{q=u,d,s} \alpha_{q}^{a} \Delta q^{N}$$

$$\sigma_{SI}^{\chi N} \propto \Sigma_{q=u,d,s} \langle N | m_{q} \overline{q} q | N \rangle \propto \Sigma_{q=u,d,s} m_{N} \alpha_{q}^{s} f_{Tq}^{N}$$

need to be calculated in QCD or measured experimentally The problem lies in the determination of Δ_q^N and f_{Tq} . These quantities are measured experimentally in π -nucleon scattering or calculated from LQCD. There are large discrepancies between the LQCD calculations and the

experimental measurements, as well as between the experimental results themselves

 $-\Delta_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{N}}$: relatively good agreement (within 10%) between LQCD and experimental determinations of $\Delta_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{n}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{n}}$. Some tension between the LQCD calculation of $\Delta_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{N}}$ (0.02±0.001) and the experimental values (0.09±0.02), which translates into the calculation of $\sigma_{SD}^{\chi N} \propto \Sigma_{q=u,d,s} \alpha_q^a \Delta q^N$

 $- \, f_{\mathsf{Ta}}^{} :$ Depends on the measurement of

$$\sigma_{\pi N} = \frac{1}{2} (m_u + m_d) \langle N | \overline{u} \, u + \overline{d} \, d | N \rangle \qquad \qquad y = 2 \frac{\langle N | s \, \overline{s} | N \rangle}{\langle N | \overline{u} \, u + \overline{d} \, d | N \rangle}$$

and their extrapolation to zero-momentum. Here is where the uncertainties originate

Values of $\sigma_{\rm p-N}$ in the literature vary between ~40 MeV and 80 MeV, which gives values of f_{\rm Ts} between 0.043 and 0.5.

This in turn introduces big uncertainties in $\sigma^{\chi N}_{SI} \propto \Sigma_{q=u,d,s} m_N lpha^s_q f^N_{Tq}$

to appear in JCAP

check the effect of the uncertainties of $\Delta_q^{\,\rm N}$ and $f_{\rm Tq}$ on the interpretation of results of direct and indirect DM search experiments

•

Perform scans on the cMSSM parameter space, calculating $\sigma_{_{SD}}$ and $\sigma_{_{SI}}$ for each model, but using two extreme values of $\Delta_{_{q}}{}^{_{N}}$ and $f_{_{Tq}}$

Nuisance parameters			
Standard Model			
M_t [GeV]	173.1 ± 1.3		[22]
$m_b(m_b)^{MS}$ [GeV]	4.20 ± 0.07		[22]
$[\alpha_{em}(M_Z)^{\overline{MS}}]^{-1}$	127.955 ± 0.030		[22]
$\alpha_s(M_Z)^{M\bar{S}}$	0.1176 ± 0.0020		[23]
Astrophysical			
$\rho_{\rm loc} [{\rm GeV/cm^3}]$	0.4 ± 0.1		[24]
$v_{\odot} [\rm km/s]$	230.0 ± 30.0		[24]
$v_d [\rm km/s]$	282.0 ± 37.0		[24]
Hadronic			
	LQCD	Experiment	
f_{Tu}	0.0190 ± 0.0029	0.0308 ± 0.0061	[25], [14]
f_{Td}	0.0246 ± 0.0037	0.0459 ± 0.0089	[25], [14]
f_{Ts}	0.043 ± 0.011	0.493 ± 0.159	[12], [14]
Δ_u	0.787 ± 0.158	0.75 ± 0.05	[9], [16]
Δ_d	-0.319 ± 0.066	-0.34 ± 0.07	[9], [16]
Δ_s	-0.020 ± 0.011	-0.09 ± 0.02	[9], [17]

Study the resulting model rejection power of the experiments

(Xenon and IceCube taken as benchmark) depending on the value of the hadronic parameters chosen

 $\ln \mathcal{L} = \ln \mathcal{L}_{LHC} + \ln \mathcal{L}_{Planck} + \ln \mathcal{L}_{EW} + \ln \mathcal{L}_{B(D)} + \ln \mathcal{L}_{g-2} + \ln \mathcal{L}_{Xe100} + \ln \mathcal{L}_{IC86}$



SI

SD

allowed regions of the cMSSM with particle physics, Planck and ...



Conclusions of the study:

Spin-independent experiments:

Dark matter experiments sensitive to spin-independent cross sections, like XENON100, are strongly affected by the large differences in the determination of the strangeness content of the nucleon. The reason is that spin-independent cross sections can vary up a factor of 10 depending on which input for the nucleon matrix elements is used.

Spin-dependent experiments:

The conclusion is more optimistic for experiments sensitive to the spin-dependent cross section, like neutrino telescopes. They are practically not affected by the choice of values of the nuclear matrix elements which drive the spin-dependent neutralino-nucleon cross section.

[..] current limits from neutrino telescopes on the spin-dependent neutralino-nucleon cross section are robust in what concerns the choice of nucleon matrix elements, and these quantities should not be a concern in interpreting neutrino telescope results.