Non-ideal MHD in PPDs, NBI, Copenhagen, 08/05/2014

Gas Dynamics in Protoplanetary Disks with Ohmic, Hall and Ambipolar Diffusion



Xuening Bai

Hubble Fellow, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics

> Bai, 2014a, ApJ, in press Bai, 2014b, ApJ, submitted Bai & Stone, 2014, ApJ, submitted

Outline

- Introduction/methodology
- Inner disk: wind solutions
 - The aligned vs anti-aligned cases
 - Issues with symmetry, grain abundance
 - Stability of the wind solutions
- Outer disk: layered accretion
 - Expectation of the MRI with AD+Hall
 - Turbulence and angular momentum transport
 - B flux concentration and zonal flow
- Role of grains on the gas conductivity
- Summary and outlook

Non-ideal MHD effects: scalings

Induction equation (grain-free):



Intermediate heights in the inner disk Midplane in the outer disk (up to ~60 AU)

Shearing-box simulations

- Athena MHD code (Stone et al., 2008)
- Chemistry based on complex network w/wo grains.
- MMSN disk, CR, X-ray and FUV ionizations, 0.1µm grain abundance 10⁻⁴.
- Magnetic diffusivities from interpolating lookup table

$$rac{\partial
ho}{\partial t} +
abla \cdot (
ho oldsymbol{v}) = 0$$

$$rac{\partial
ho oldsymbol{v}}{\partial t} +
abla \cdot (
ho oldsymbol{v}^T oldsymbol{v} + {\sf T}) =
ho igg[2oldsymbol{v} imes oldsymbol{\Omega} + 3 \Omega^2 x \hat{oldsymbol{x}} - \Omega^2 z \hat{oldsymbol{z}} igg]$$

EoS is isothermal

8)
far UV
stellar X-ray

$$\beta_0 = P_{gas, mid}/P_{mag, net}$$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B}) - \nabla \times [\eta_O \boldsymbol{J} + \eta_H (\boldsymbol{J} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{B}}) + \eta_A \boldsymbol{J}_{\perp}]$$



Scan through disk radii: relative importance of the 3 non-ideal effects vary. Perform simulations with different β_0 (default=10⁵) and polarities.

Outline

- Introduction/methodology
- Inner disk: wind solutions
 - The aligned vs anti-aligned cases
 - Issues with symmetry, grain abundance
 - Stability of the wind solutions
- Outer disk: layered accretion
 - Expectation of the MRI with AD+Hall
 - Turbulence and angular momentum transport
 - B flux concentration and zonal flow
- Role of grains on the gas conductivity
- Summary and outlook

Inner disk: R<10AU



All three non-ideal MHD effects are important.

Symmetry of wind solutions

Always launches an outflow in the presence of net vertical B field. Horizontal B field must flip in order to achieve a physical wind geometry.





Adding the Hall effect (reflection symmetry at z=0)



(Bai, 2014a)

Adding the Hall effect (reflection symmetry at z=0)



⁽Bai, 2014a)

Issue with symmetry: full-disk simulations



With $B_z > 0$ (at 1 AU), the system relaxes to unphysical wind configuration...

With $B_z < 0$, physical wind configuration can always be realized.

⁽Bai, 2014a)

Angular momentum transport

Radial transport of angular momentum by (laminar) Maxwell stress:

$$\dot{M} \sim \alpha_{\rm Max} \sim \int B_r B_\phi dz$$

Vertical transport of angular momentum by magnetocentrifugal wind:

Т

$$\dot{M} \sim R \times (B_z B_\phi) \Big|_{z=z_b}$$

As long as a physical wind geometry is achieved, wind-driven accretion always dominates over magnetic braking:

 $\beta_0 \sim 10^{5-6}$ is sufficient to achieve accretion rate of 10^{-7-8} M_{\odot}/yr.

Effect of grain abundance/chemistry ($B_z > 0$)



Increasing the ionization fraction toward disk midplane greatly enhances magnetic field amplification, hence α_{Max} .

Wind-driven accretion rate depends very weakly on the chemistry.

(reflection symmetry)		
$lpha_{ m MAX}$	Even-z	Odd-z
With grain	1.1×10 ⁻³	4.5×10 ⁻³
No grain	1.1×10 ⁻²	1.4×10 ⁻²
Lesur et al. 2014		5.0×10 ⁻²

Range of stability (to MRI)







Achieving physical wind geometry at 5 AU

5 AU



(Bai, 2014b)



Midplane strongly magnetized, with B_{ϕ} reversing sign.

System is stable to MRI, and midplane is weakly turbulent (resulting from reconnection).

Launching of magneto-centrifugal wind.



(Bai, 2014b)



 $\beta_{z0} \sim 10^5$

Midplane region is weakly magnetized and weakly turbulent (from surface MRI turbulence)

The system is unstable to the MRI ~2-3H off the midplane.

 B_{ϕ} and outflow alternating directions due to MRI

Issue with angular momentum transport ($B_z < 0$)



Relatively strongly magnetized: $\beta_0 \sim 10^4$

Strong disk wind that drives accretion rate >10⁻⁷ M_{\odot} yr⁻¹.



Relatively weakly magnetized: $\beta_0 \sim 10^5$

Wind has symmetry issues, need global simulations.

Weak MRI that drives accretion rate $\sim 10^{-9} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$.

Outline

- Introduction/methodology
- Inner disk: wind solutions
 - The aligned vs anti-aligned cases
 - Issues with symmetry, grain abundance
 - Stability of the wind solutions
- Outer disk: layered accretion
 - Expectation of the MRI with AD+Hall
 - Turbulence and angular momentum transport
 - B flux concentration and zonal flow
- Role of grains on the gas conductivity
- Summary and outlook

Outer disk: R>15 AU



Ohmic resistivity is negligible, Hall effect dominates near the midplane.

MRI in the presence of Hall + AD



Angular momentum transport

(Bai, 2014b)



Midplane Maxwell stress is modestly affected by the Hall effect.

MRI in the FUV layer is sufficient to drive rapid accretion.

(Perez-Becker & Chiang, 2011; Simon, Bai, et al. 2013)

Disk outflow can also play a role, but its contribution is uncertain based on local simulations.

Layered turbulence



(Bai, 2014b)

Anti-aligned field geometry: MRI is suppressed in the midplane.

Aligned field geometry:

MRI is weakened by stronger mean field + B flux concentration.

 $\delta v_z \sim 10^{-2} c_s$ is a good proxy at disk midplane

See also J. Simon's talk

Magnetic flux concentration and zonal flows

30 AU, β₀=10⁴, B₂>0

30 AU, β₀=10⁴, B_z<0



Very strong zonal flow with density contrast of ~30% (see also Simon & Armitage, 2014). Magnetic flux concentration into thin shells: mean $B_z \approx 0$ outside of the shells. Magnetic flux concentration is significant at R>15 AU.

B flux concentration as a result of MRI



Similar effects have been reported in the literature though not discussed in detail. (Hawley, 2001; Steinacker & Papaloizou, 2002; Zhu et al. 2013)

Outline

- Introduction/methodology
- Inner disk: wind solutions
 - The aligned vs anti-aligned cases
 - Issues with symmetry, grain abundance
 - Stability of the wind solutions
- Outer disk: layered accretion
 - Expectation of the MRI with AD+Hall
 - Turbulence and angular momentum transport
 - B flux concentration and zonal flow
- Role of grains on the gas conductivity
- Summary and outlook

Role of charged grains



Bai, 2011



Role of charged grains

By Rui XU, Peking University



Condition for the Hall coefficient to change sign:



Summary: inner disk

- When **B** is aligned with Ω :
 - > Over a wide range of parameters, the system is stable to MRI, i.e., laminar.
 - Strong amplification of horizontal B field, depending on chemistry => strongly enhanced radial angular momentum transport by magnetic braking.
 - > Efficient wind-driven accretion, which dominates magnetic braking.
 - > Major issue with symmetry: tends to be unphysical for wind at small radii.

• When **B** is anti-aligned with Ω :

- > System is laminar up to 3-5 AU, more susceptible to the MRI.
- > Horizontal B field is reduced, with negligible magnetic braking.
- > Angular momentum transport relies on wind.
- When unstable to the MRI, giving oscillating outflows but very weak angular momentum transport.

Summary: outer disk

Overall gas dynamics:

- > Layered accretion: MRI mainly operates in the surface FUV layer. In the midplane, MRI is damped (B_z >0) or suppressed (B_z <0).
- > Level of turbulence $\delta v \sim a$ few $\times 10^{-2} c_s$ at midplane, $\delta v \sim c_s$ at surface.
- > MRI is sufficient for angular momentum transport for $\beta_0 \sim 10^4$.
- > Wind/outflow with similar symmetry issues as the ideal MHD case.

Magnetic flux concentration:

- > B flux distribution in PPDs is highly non-smooth, concentrates into thin shells.
- > Generic consequence of the MRI turbulence, further enhanced by AD.
- > Association with zonal flows may lead to global pressure bumps.

Outlook

- Shearing-box framework is the main limiting factor to address various issues with disk wind/outflow.
 - > First step extension by including vertical shear (McNally & Pessah, 2014)?
- Global simulations with net vertical flux is essential.
 - > Resolving symmetry issues, wind kinematics, etc. (Gressel, Suzuki's talks)
- Need better understandings of disk conductivity.
 - > With grains: non-linear dependence on B field strength, reverse the Hall term.
- Magnetic flux transport is more tricky than previously thought.
 - > Additional transport due to non-ideal MHD effects (especially Hall).
 - > Need to take into account its patchy distribution (e.g., Uzdensky & Spruit, 2005).