-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, (In Combinatorics

Jacob L. Bourjaily

Nordic Winter School on Cosmology and Particle Physics



Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

A D D A B D A B D A

-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, (In Combinatorics

Jacob L. Bourjaily

Nordic Winter School on Cosmology and Particle Physics



Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

A D D A B D A B D A

# Organization and Outline

- On-Shell Diagrams: Amalgamations of Scattering Amplitudes
  - Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions
  - Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian
  - Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges
- 2 On-Shell, All-Order Recursion Relations for Scattering Amplitudes
  - Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes
  - Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes
- **3** Combinatorics, Classification, and Canonical Computation
  - A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions
  - Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges
  - Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

Paths Forward: Beyond the Leading Order of Perturbation Theory
On-Shell Representations of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

Tuesday, 6th January

(日)

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



イロト 不得 とうほう 不良 とう

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

#### Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities


Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**Internal Particles:** 

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Internal Particles: locality dictates that we multiply each amplitude,

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Internal Particles: locality dictates that we multiply each amplitude,

$$\mathcal{A}_L(\ldots, \mathbf{I}) \times \mathcal{A}_R(\mathbf{I}, \ldots)$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**Internal Particles:** locality dictates that we multiply each amplitude, and unitarity dictates that we marginalize over unobserved states

 $\mathcal{A}_L(\ldots, \mathbf{I}) \times \mathcal{A}_R(\mathbf{I}, \ldots)$ 

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**Internal Particles:** locality dictates that we multiply each amplitude, and unitarity dictates that we marginalize over unobserved states—integrating over the Lorentz-invariant phase space ("LIPS") for each particle *I*,

 $\mathcal{A}_L(\ldots, I) \times \mathcal{A}_R(I, \ldots)$ 

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**Internal Particles:** locality dictates that we multiply each amplitude, and unitarity dictates that we marginalize over unobserved states—integrating over the Lorentz-invariant phase space ("LIPS") for each particle *I*,

$$\int d^{3} \text{LIPS}_{I} \ \mathcal{A}_{L}(\ldots, I) \times \mathcal{A}_{R}(I, \ldots)$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**Internal Particles:** locality dictates that we multiply each amplitude, and unitarity dictates that we marginalize over unobserved states—integrating over the Lorentz-invariant phase space ("LIPS") for each particle *I*, and summing over the possible states

$$\int d^{3} \text{LIPS}_{I} \ \mathcal{A}_{L}(\ldots, I) \times \mathcal{A}_{R}(I, \ldots)$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**Internal Particles:** locality dictates that we multiply each amplitude, and unitarity dictates that we marginalize over unobserved states—integrating over the Lorentz-invariant phase space ("LIPS") for each particle *I*, and summing over the possible states

$$\sum_{\text{states } I} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_I \ \mathcal{A}_L(\ldots, I) \times \mathcal{A}_R(I, \ldots)$$

Tuesday, 6th January

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**Internal Particles:** locality dictates that we multiply each amplitude, and unitarity dictates that we marginalize over unobserved states—integrating over the Lorentz-invariant phase space ("LIPS") for each particle *I*, and summing over the possible states (helicities, masses, colours, etc.).

$$\sum_{\text{states } I} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_I \ \mathcal{A}_L(\ldots, I) \times \mathcal{A}_R(I, \ldots)$$

Tuesday, 6th January

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**Internal Particles:** locality dictates that we multiply each amplitude, and unitarity dictates that we marginalize over unobserved states—integrating over the Lorentz-invariant phase space ("LIPS") for each particle *I*, and summing over the possible states (helicities, masses, colours, etc.).

$$\sum_{\text{states } I} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_I \ \mathcal{A}_L(\ldots, I) \times \mathcal{A}_R(I, \ldots)$$

Tuesday, 6th January

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**Internal Particles:** locality dictates that we multiply each amplitude, and unitarity dictates that we marginalize over unobserved states—integrating over the Lorentz-invariant phase space ("LIPS") for each particle *I*, and summing over the possible states (helicities, masses, colours, etc.).

$$\sum_{\text{states } I} \int \frac{d^2 \lambda_I d^2 \widetilde{\lambda}_I}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_1)} \, \mathcal{A}_L(\dots, I) \times \mathcal{A}_R(I, \dots)$$

Tuesday, 6th January

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**Internal Particles:** locality dictates that we multiply each amplitude, and unitarity dictates that we marginalize over unobserved states—integrating over the Lorentz-invariant phase space ("LIPS") for each particle *I*, and summing over the possible states (helicities, masses, colours, etc.).

$$\int d^{4} \widetilde{\eta_{I}} \int \frac{d^{2} \lambda_{I} d^{2} \widetilde{\lambda_{I}}}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \mathcal{A}_{L}(\ldots, I) \times \mathcal{A}_{R}(I, \ldots)$$

Tuesday, 6th January

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**Internal Particles:** locality dictates that we multiply each amplitude, and unitarity dictates that we marginalize over unobserved states—integrating over the Lorentz-invariant phase space ("LIPS") for each particle *I*, and summing over the possible states (helicities, masses, colours, etc.).

$$\int d^{4} \widetilde{\eta_{I}} \int \frac{d^{2} \lambda_{I} d^{2} \widetilde{\lambda_{I}}}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \mathcal{A}_{L}(\ldots, I) \times \mathcal{A}_{R}(I, \ldots)$$

Tuesday, 6th January

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**:

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints**:

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints:** 

 $n_{\delta}$ 

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints:** 

$$n_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints:** 

$$n_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints**:

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints**:

 $\hat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 =$  number of excess  $\delta$ -functions

Tuesday, 6th January

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints**:

 $\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = \text{number of excess } \delta \text{-functions}$  (= minus number of remaining integrations)

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints**:

 $\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = \text{number of excess } \delta \text{-functions}$  (= minus number of remaining integrations)

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints**:

 $\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = \text{number of excess } \delta \text{-functions}$  (= minus number of remaining integrations)

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints**:

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints**:

$$\hat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies \text{ordinary (rational) function}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

イロト イ得ト イヨト イヨウ
Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**On-Shell Functions**: networks of amplitudes,  $A_v$ , connected by any number of internal particles,  $i \in I$ , forming a graph  $\Gamma$  called an "on-shell diagram".

$$f_{\Gamma} \equiv \prod_{i \in I} \left( \sum_{\substack{h_i, c_i, \\ m_i, \cdots}} \int d^3 \text{LIPS}_i \right) \prod_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}$$

**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



#### **Counting Constraints:**

$$\hat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies (\hat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\hat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities





**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints}$$
  
$$< 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities







**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_{V} - 3 \times n_{I} - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities







**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\hat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies \text{ordinary (rational) function} \\ < 0 \implies (-\hat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities







**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\hat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies \text{ordinary (rational) function} \\ < 0 \implies (-\hat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities







**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\hat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies \text{ordinary (rational) function} \\ < 0 \implies (-\hat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities







**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies \text{ordinary (rational) function} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



 $\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints}$  $< 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$ 

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 = 0$$

$$< 0 = 0$$

 $(\hat{n}_{\delta})$  kinematical constraints ordinary (rational) function  $(-\hat{n}_{\delta})$  non-trivial integrations

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving **only** observable quantities



**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies \text{ordinary (rational) function} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving **only** observable quantities



**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies \text{ordinary (rational) function} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies \text{ordinary (rational) function} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities





**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies \text{ordinary (rational) function} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities





**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies \text{ordinary (rational) function} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities





**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies \text{ordinary (rational) function} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities





**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\hat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies \text{ordinary (rational) function} \\ < 0 \implies (-\hat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities





**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\hat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies \text{ordinary (rational) function} \\ < 0 \implies (-\hat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities





**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\hat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_V - 3 \times n_I - 4 = 0 \implies (\hat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\hat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_{V} - 3 \times n_{I} - 4 = 0 \implies \text{ordinary (rational) function} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_{V} - 3 \times n_{I} - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_{V} - 3 \times n_{I} - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_{V} - 3 \times n_{I} - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_{V} - 3 \times n_{I} - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



**Counting Constraints:** 

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_{V} - 3 \times n_{I} - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



#### **Counting Constraints:**

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_{V} - 3 \times n_{I} - 4 = 0 \implies \text{ordinary (rational) function} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



#### **Counting Constraints:**

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_{V} - 3 \times n_{I} - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Tuesday, 6th January
Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



#### **Counting Constraints:**

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_{V} - 3 \times n_{I} - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



#### **Counting Constraints:**

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_{V} - 3 \times n_{I} - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



#### **Counting Constraints:**

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_{V} - 3 \times n_{I} - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



#### **Counting Constraints:**

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_{V} - 3 \times n_{I} - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



#### **Counting Constraints:**

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_{V} - 3 \times n_{I} - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



#### **Counting Constraints:**

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_{V} - 3 \times n_{I} - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



#### **Counting Constraints:**

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_{V} - 3 \times n_{I} - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Broadening the Class of Physically Meaningful Functions

We are interested in the class of functions involving only observable quantities



#### **Counting Constraints:**

$$\widehat{n}_{\delta} \equiv 4 \times n_{V} - 3 \times n_{I} - 4 = 0 \implies (\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ kinematical constraints} \\ < 0 \implies (-\widehat{n}_{\delta}) \text{ non-trivial integrations}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



4 日 ト 4 冊 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

#### Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:


Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:


Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:


Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

#### Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

#### Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

#### Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

#### Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

#### Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:

(日)

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:

(日)

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:

apa

Tuesday, 6th January

イロト 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:

Apr

イロト 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



(日)

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



イロト 不得 とくほ とくほとう

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:


Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:


Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes

On-shell diagrams built out of only **three-particle amplitudes** are well-defined to all orders of perturbation theory, generating a large class of functions:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Amalgamating Diagrams from Three-Particle Amplitudes



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to linearize momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use)

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:



$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} = \frac{\delta^{2 \times 4} (\lambda \cdot \widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle} \delta^{2 \times 2} (\lambda \cdot \widetilde{\lambda})$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} = \frac{\delta^{2 \times 4} (\lambda \cdot \widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle} \delta^{2 \times 2} (\lambda \cdot \widetilde{\lambda})$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} = \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp})$$

Tuesday, 6th January

(日)

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} &= \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \,\delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \,\,\delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp}) \\ \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} &= \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \,\delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \end{aligned}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{array} \right) \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow W \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} &= \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \,\delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \,\,\delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp}) \\ \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} &= \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \,\delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \end{aligned}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{array} \right) \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow W \equiv (w_1^1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} = \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp})$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} = \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\tilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\tilde{\lambda})$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{array} \right) \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow W \equiv (w_1^1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} &= \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) = \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \,\delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \,\,\delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp}) \\ \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} &= \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \,\delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) = \int \frac{d^{1\times3}W}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \,\,\frac{\delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(1)(2)(3)} \,\,\delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \,\delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp}) \end{aligned}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{array} \right) \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow W \equiv (w_1^1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} &= \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) = \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \,\delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \,\,\delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp}) \\ \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} &= \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \,\delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) = \int \frac{d^{1\times3}W}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \,\,\frac{\delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(1)(2)(3)} \,\,\delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \,\delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp}) \end{aligned}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{array} \right) \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow W \equiv (w_1^1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} &= \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) = \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \,\delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \,\,\delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp}) \\ \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} &= \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \,\delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) = \int \frac{d^{1\times3}W}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \,\,\frac{\delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(1)(2)(3)} \,\,\delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \,\delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp}) \end{aligned}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{array} \right) \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow W \equiv (w_1^1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} &= \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) = \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \,\delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \,\,\delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp}) \\ \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} &= \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \,\delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) = \int \frac{d^{1\times3}W}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \,\,\frac{\delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(1)(2)(3)} \,\,\delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \,\delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp}) \end{aligned}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{array} \right) \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow W \equiv (w_1^1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} = \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp})$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} = \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\tilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{1\times3}W}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{(1)(2)(3)} \delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp})$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{array} \right) \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow W \equiv (w_1^1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} = \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp})$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} = \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\tilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{1\times3}W}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{(1)(2)(3)} \delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp})$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & b_3^1 \\ 0 & 1 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow W \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} = \frac{\delta^{2 \times 4} (\lambda \cdot \widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle} \delta^{2 \times 2} (\lambda \cdot \widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d b_{3}^{1}}{b_{3}^{1}} \wedge \frac{d b_{3}^{2}}{b_{3}^{2}} \delta^{2 \times 4} (B \cdot \widetilde{\eta}) \delta^{2 \times 2} (B \cdot \widetilde{\lambda}) \delta^{1 \times 2} (\lambda \cdot B^{\perp})$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} = \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{dw_{2}^{1}}{w_{2}^{1}} \wedge \frac{dw_{3}^{1}}{w_{3}^{1}} \delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\widetilde{\eta}) \ \delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp})$$

Tuesday, 6th January

イロト 人間 とくほ とくほん

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & 1 & 0 \\ b_1^2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{array} \right) = 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow W \equiv \begin{pmatrix} w_1^1 & 1 & w_3^1 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} = \frac{\delta^{2 \times 4} (\lambda \cdot \tilde{\eta})}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle} \delta^{2 \times 2} (\lambda \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d b_{1}^{1}}{b_{1}^{1}} \wedge \frac{d b_{1}^{2}}{b_{1}^{2}} \, \delta^{2 \times 4} (B \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \, \delta^{2 \times 2} (B \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \, \delta^{1 \times 2} (\lambda \cdot B^{\perp})$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} = \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{dw_{3}^{1}}{w_{3}^{1}} \wedge \frac{dw_{1}^{1}}{w_{1}^{1}} \delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\widetilde{\eta}) \ \delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp})$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 & b_2^1 & 1 \\ 1 & b_2^2 & 0 \end{array} \right) \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow \\ W \equiv \left( w_1^1 & w_2^1 & 1 \right) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} = \frac{\delta^{2 \times 4} (\lambda \cdot \widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle} \delta^{2 \times 2} (\lambda \cdot \widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d b_{2}^{1}}{b_{2}^{1}} \wedge \frac{d b_{2}^{2}}{b_{2}^{2}} \delta^{2 \times 4} (B \cdot \widetilde{\eta}) \delta^{2 \times 2} (B \cdot \widetilde{\lambda}) \delta^{1 \times 2} (\lambda \cdot B^{\perp})$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} = \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{dw_{1}^{1}}{w_{1}^{1}} \wedge \frac{dw_{2}^{1}}{w_{2}^{1}} \delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\widetilde{\eta}) \ \delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp})$$

Tuesday, 6th January

イロト 人間 とくほ とくほん

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{array} \right) \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow W \equiv (w_1^1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} &= \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) = \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \,\delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \,\,\delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp}) \\ \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} &= \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \,\delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) = \int \frac{d^{1\times3}W}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \,\,\frac{\delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(1)(2)(3)} \,\,\delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \,\delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp}) \end{aligned}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{array} \right) \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow W \equiv (w_1^1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} &= \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) = \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \,\delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \,\,\delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp}) \\ \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} &= \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \,\delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) = \int \frac{d^{1\times3}W}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \,\,\frac{\delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(1)(2)(3)} \,\,\delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \,\delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp}) \end{aligned}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{array} \right) \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow W \equiv (w_1^1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} &= \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) = \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \,\delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \,\,\delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp}) \\ \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} &= \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \,\delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) = \int \frac{d^{1\times3}W}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \,\,\frac{\delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(1)(2)(3)} \,\,\delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \,\delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp}) \end{aligned}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{array} \right) \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow W \equiv (w_1^1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} = \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \underbrace{\delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp})}_{\mathbb{I}_{3}^{(1)}} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} = \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\tilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{1\times3}W}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{(1)(2)(3)} \delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp})$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow W \equiv (w_1^1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} &= \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \,\delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \underbrace{\delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp})}_{B\mapsto B^{*}} \\ \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} &= \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{1\times3}W}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \,\frac{\delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(1)(2)(3)} \,\delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp}) \end{aligned}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{array} \right) \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow W \equiv (w_1^1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} &= \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \,\delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \underbrace{\delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp})}_{B\mapsto B^{*}=\lambda} \\ \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} &= \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{1\times3}W}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \,\frac{\delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(1)(2)(3)} \,\delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \,\delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp}) \end{aligned}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{array} \right) \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Rightarrow W \equiv (w_1^1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} &= \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \,\delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \underbrace{\delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp})}_{B\mapsto B^{*}=\lambda} \\ \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} &= \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{1\times3}W}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \,\frac{\delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(1)(2)(3)} \,\delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \,\delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp}) \end{aligned}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow W \equiv (w_1^1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} = \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \underbrace{\delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp})}_{B\mapsto B^{*}=\lambda} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} = \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\tilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{1\times3}W}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{(1)(2)(3)} \underbrace{\delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\tilde{\lambda})}_{\delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp})} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp})$$

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow W \equiv (w_1^1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} = \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \underbrace{\delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp})}_{B\mapsto B^{*}=\lambda}$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} = \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\tilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\tilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{1\times3}W}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\tilde{\eta})}{(1)(2)(3)} \underbrace{\delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\tilde{\lambda})}_{W\mapsto W^{*}} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp})$$

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow W \equiv (w_1^1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} = \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \underbrace{\delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp})}_{B\mapsto B^{*}=\lambda} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} = \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{1\times3}W}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(1)(2)(3)} \underbrace{\delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\widetilde{\lambda})}_{W\mapsto W^{*}=\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp})$$

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics
Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{array} \right) \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow W \equiv (w_1^1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} = \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \underbrace{\delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp})}_{B\mapsto B^{*}=\lambda} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} = \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{1\times3}W}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(1)(2)(3)} \underbrace{\delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\widetilde{\lambda})}_{W\mapsto W^{*}=\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp})$$

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of Three-Point Amplitudes

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex:

$$1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow B \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1^1 & b_2^1 & b_3^1 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \qquad 1 - \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \Leftrightarrow W \equiv (w_1^1 & w_2^1 & w_3^1) \\ 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2)} = \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\langle 31\rangle} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{2\times3}B}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{2})} \frac{\delta^{2\times4}(B\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(12)(23)(31)} \delta^{2\times2}(B\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \underbrace{\delta^{1\times2}(\lambda\cdot B^{\perp})}_{B\mapsto B^{*}=\lambda} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1)} = \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{[12][23][31]} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot\widetilde{\lambda}) \equiv \int \frac{d^{1\times3}W}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} \frac{\delta^{1\times4}(W\cdot\widetilde{\eta})}{(1)(2)(3)} \underbrace{\delta^{1\times2}(W\cdot\widetilde{\lambda})}_{W\mapsto W^{*}=\widetilde{\lambda}^{\perp}} \delta^{2\times2}(\lambda\cdot W^{\perp})$$

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \widetilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \widetilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \widetilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \widetilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \widetilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \widetilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \widetilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \widetilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \right) \qquad \begin{array}{c} C \in G(k,n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I \end{array}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \widetilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \widetilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \right) \qquad \begin{array}{c} C \in G(k,n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I \end{array}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \widetilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \widetilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \right| \qquad \begin{array}{c} C \in G(k,n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I \end{array}$$



4 日 ト 4 冊 ト 4 画 ト 4 画 ト

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \qquad \begin{array}{c} C \in G(k, n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I \end{array}$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \qquad \begin{array}{c} C \in G(k,n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I \end{array}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \qquad \begin{array}{c} C \in G(k, n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I \end{array}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \qquad \begin{array}{c} C \in G(k, n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I \end{array}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \qquad \begin{array}{c} C \in G(k,n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I \end{array}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \qquad \begin{array}{c} C \in G(k, n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I \end{array}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \qquad \begin{array}{c} C \in G(k, n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I \end{array}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \qquad \begin{array}{c} C \in G(k, n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I \end{array}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \qquad \begin{array}{c} C \in G(k, n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I \end{array}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$

$$k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$

$$\frac{1}{(1 \ w_2 \ w_I)} \qquad \frac{\mathbf{I'} \ 3 \ 4}{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \ 0 \ b_4^1 \\ 0 \ 1 \ b_4^2 \end{pmatrix}}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほとう

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$

$$k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$

$$k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & b_1^1 \\ 0 & 1 & b_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

・ロット 御マ キョット キョン

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:



< A > < > > <

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4}(C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2}(C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)}(\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \qquad C \in G(k, n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4}(C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2}(C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)}(\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \qquad C \in G(k, n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$C \equiv \begin{pmatrix} C \in G(k, n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I \end{pmatrix}$$

$$k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$

$$k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$

$$C \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{W_2} & \frac{1}{W_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & b_1^4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & b_4^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$C \equiv \begin{pmatrix} C \in G(k, n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I \end{pmatrix}$$

$$k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$

$$k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$

$$C \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{W_2} & \frac{1}{W_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & b_1^4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & b_4^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$C \equiv \begin{pmatrix} C \in G(k, n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I \end{pmatrix}$$

$$k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$

$$k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$

$$C \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{W_2} & \frac{1}{W_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & b_1^4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & b_4^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$C \equiv \begin{pmatrix} C \in G(k, n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I \end{pmatrix}$$

$$k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$

$$k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$

$$C \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{W_2} & \frac{1}{W_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & b_1^4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & b_4^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4}(C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2}(C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)}(\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \qquad C \in G(k, n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \widetilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \widetilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \qquad C \in G(k, n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$



・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・
Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \widetilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \widetilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \qquad C \in G(k, n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \widetilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \widetilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \qquad C \in G(k, n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \widetilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \widetilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \qquad C \in G(k, n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

### Grassmannian Representations of On-Shell Functions

In order to **linearize** momentum conservation at each three-particle vertex, (and to specify *which* of the solutions to three-particle kinematics to use) we introduce **auxiliary**  $B \in G(2,3)$  and  $W \in G(1,3)$  for each vertex—allowing us to represent all on-shell functions in the form:

$$f \equiv \int \Omega_C \ \delta^{k \times 4} (C \cdot \widetilde{\eta}) \delta^{k \times 2} (C \cdot \widetilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \qquad C \in G(k, n) \\ k \equiv 2n_B + n_W - n_I$$



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Building-Up On-Shell Diagrams with "BCFW" Bridges

Very complex on-shell diagrams can be constructed by successively adding "BCFW" bridges to diagrams

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Building-Up On-Shell Diagrams with "BCFW" Bridges

Very complex on-shell diagrams can be constructed by successively adding "BCFW" bridges to diagrams (an **extremely** useful tool!):

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

# Building-Up On-Shell Diagrams with "BCFW" Bridges

Very complex on-shell diagrams can be constructed by successively adding "BCFW" bridges to diagrams (an **extremely** useful tool!):



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Building-Up On-Shell Diagrams with "BCFW" Bridges

Very complex on-shell diagrams can be constructed by successively adding "BCFW" bridges to diagrams (an **extremely** useful tool!):



Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Building-Up On-Shell Diagrams with "BCFW" Bridges

Very complex on-shell diagrams can be constructed by successively adding "BCFW" bridges to diagrams (an **extremely** useful tool!):



а

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Building-Up On-Shell Diagrams with "BCFW" Bridges



Adding the bridge has the effect of shifting the momenta  $p_a$  and  $p_b$  flowing into the diagram  $f_0$  according to:

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Building-Up On-Shell Diagrams with "BCFW" Bridges



Adding the bridge has the effect of shifting the momenta  $p_a$  and  $p_b$  flowing into the diagram  $f_0$  according to:

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Building-Up On-Shell Diagrams with "BCFW" Bridges

Very complex on-shell diagrams can be constructed by successively adding "BCFW" bridges to diagrams (an **extremely** useful tool!):



Adding the bridge has the effect of shifting the momenta  $p_a$  and  $p_b$  flowing into the diagram  $f_0$  according to:

$$\lambda_a \widetilde{\lambda}_a \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{a}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{a}} = \lambda_a \widetilde{\lambda}_a - \lambda_I \widetilde{\lambda}_I$$
 and  $\lambda_b \widetilde{\lambda}_b \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{b}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{b}} = \lambda_b \widetilde{\lambda}_b + \lambda_I \widetilde{\lambda}_I$ ,

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Building-Up On-Shell Diagrams with "BCFW" Bridges

Very complex on-shell diagrams can be constructed by successively adding "BCFW" bridges to diagrams (an **extremely** useful tool!):



Adding the bridge has the effect of shifting the momenta  $p_a$  and  $p_b$  flowing into the diagram  $f_0$  according to:

$$\lambda_a \widetilde{\lambda}_a \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{a}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{a}} = \lambda_a \widetilde{\lambda}_a - \lambda_I \widetilde{\lambda}_I$$
 and  $\lambda_b \widetilde{\lambda}_b \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{b}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{b}} = \lambda_b \widetilde{\lambda}_b + \lambda_I \widetilde{\lambda}_I$ ,

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Building-Up On-Shell Diagrams with "BCFW" Bridges



Adding the bridge has the effect of shifting the momenta  $p_a$  and  $p_b$  flowing into the diagram  $f_0$  according to:

$$\lambda_a \widetilde{\lambda}_a \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{a}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{a}} = \lambda_a \widetilde{\lambda}_a - \alpha \lambda_a \widetilde{\lambda}_I$$
 and  $\lambda_b \widetilde{\lambda}_b \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{b}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{b}} = \lambda_b \widetilde{\lambda}_b + \alpha \lambda_a \widetilde{\lambda}_I$ ,

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Building-Up On-Shell Diagrams with "BCFW" Bridges

Very complex on-shell diagrams can be constructed by successively adding "BCFW" bridges to diagrams (an **extremely** useful tool!):



Adding the bridge has the effect of shifting the momenta  $p_a$  and  $p_b$  flowing into the diagram  $f_0$  according to:

$$\lambda_a \widetilde{\lambda}_a \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{a}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{a}} = \lambda_a \widetilde{\lambda}_a - \alpha \lambda_a \widetilde{\lambda}_b$$
 and  $\lambda_b \widetilde{\lambda}_b \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{b}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{b}} = \lambda_b \widetilde{\lambda}_b + \alpha \lambda_a \widetilde{\lambda}_b$ ,

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Building-Up On-Shell Diagrams with "BCFW" Bridges

Very complex on-shell diagrams can be constructed by successively adding "BCFW" bridges to diagrams (an **extremely** useful tool!):



Adding the bridge has the effect of shifting the momenta  $p_a$  and  $p_b$  flowing into the diagram  $f_0$  according to:

$$\lambda_a \widetilde{\lambda}_a \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{a}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{a}} = \lambda_a (\widetilde{\lambda}_a - \alpha \widetilde{\lambda}_b) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_b \widetilde{\lambda}_b \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{b}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{b}} = \lambda_b \widetilde{\lambda}_b + \alpha \lambda_a \widetilde{\lambda}_b,$$

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Building-Up On-Shell Diagrams with "BCFW" Bridges

Very complex on-shell diagrams can be constructed by successively adding "BCFW" bridges to diagrams (an **extremely** useful tool!):



Adding the bridge has the effect of shifting the momenta  $p_a$  and  $p_b$  flowing into the diagram  $f_0$  according to:

$$\lambda_a \widetilde{\lambda}_a \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{a}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{a}} = \lambda_a (\widetilde{\lambda}_a - \alpha \widetilde{\lambda}_b) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_b \widetilde{\lambda}_b \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{b}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{b}} = (\lambda_b + \alpha \lambda_a) \widetilde{\lambda}_b,$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Building-Up On-Shell Diagrams with "BCFW" Bridges

Very complex on-shell diagrams can be constructed by successively adding "BCFW" bridges to diagrams (an **extremely** useful tool!):



Adding the bridge has the effect of shifting the momenta  $p_a$  and  $p_b$  flowing into the diagram  $f_0$  according to:

 $\lambda_a \widetilde{\lambda}_a \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{a}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{a}} = \lambda_a (\widetilde{\lambda}_a - \alpha \widetilde{\lambda}_b)$  and  $\lambda_b \widetilde{\lambda}_b \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{b}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{b}} = (\lambda_b + \alpha \lambda_a) \widetilde{\lambda}_b$ , introducing a new parameter  $\alpha$ , in terms of which we may write:

Tuesday, 6th January

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほとう

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Building-Up On-Shell Diagrams with "BCFW" Bridges

Very complex on-shell diagrams can be constructed by successively adding "BCFW" bridges to diagrams (an **extremely** useful tool!):



Adding the bridge has the effect of shifting the momenta  $p_a$  and  $p_b$  flowing into the diagram  $f_0$  according to:

 $\lambda_a \widetilde{\lambda}_a \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{a}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{a}} = \lambda_a (\widetilde{\lambda}_a - \alpha \widetilde{\lambda}_b)$  and  $\lambda_b \widetilde{\lambda}_b \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{b}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{b}} = (\lambda_b + \alpha \lambda_a) \widetilde{\lambda}_b$ , introducing a new parameter  $\alpha$ , in terms of which we may write:

$$f(\ldots,a,b,\ldots) = \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} f_0(\ldots,\widehat{a},\widehat{b},\ldots)$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Building-Up On-Shell Diagrams with "BCFW" Bridges

Very complex on-shell diagrams can be constructed by successively adding "BCFW" bridges to diagrams (an **extremely** useful tool!):



Adding the bridge has the effect of shifting the momenta  $p_a$  and  $p_b$  flowing into the diagram  $f_0$  according to:

 $\lambda_a \widetilde{\lambda}_a \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{a}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{a}} = \lambda_a (\widetilde{\lambda}_a - \alpha \widetilde{\lambda}_b)$  and  $\lambda_b \widetilde{\lambda}_b \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{b}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{b}} = (\lambda_b + \alpha \lambda_a) \widetilde{\lambda}_b$ , introducing a new parameter  $\alpha$ , in terms of which we may write:

$$f(\ldots,a,b,\ldots) = \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} f_0(\ldots,\widehat{a},\widehat{b},\ldots)$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Beyond (Mere) Scattering Amplitudes: On-Shell Functions Systematics of Computation and the Auxiliary Grassmannian Building-Up Diagrams with 'BCFW' Bridges

## Building-Up On-Shell Diagrams with "BCFW" Bridges

Very complex on-shell diagrams can be constructed by successively adding "BCFW" bridges to diagrams (an **extremely** useful tool!):



Adding the bridge has the effect of shifting the momenta  $p_a$  and  $p_b$  flowing into the diagram  $f_0$  according to:

 $\lambda_a \widetilde{\lambda}_a \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{a}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{a}} = \lambda_a (\widetilde{\lambda}_a - \alpha \widetilde{\lambda}_b)$  and  $\lambda_b \widetilde{\lambda}_b \mapsto \lambda_{\widehat{b}} \widetilde{\lambda}_{\widehat{b}} = (\lambda_b + \alpha \lambda_a) \widetilde{\lambda}_b$ , introducing a new parameter  $\alpha$ , in terms of which we may write:

$$f(\ldots,a,b,\ldots) = \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} f_0(\ldots,\widehat{a},\widehat{b},\ldots)$$

Tuesday, 6th January

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

#### The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude

Tuesday, 6th January

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude:



Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude:



### The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :



### The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha o 0) \propto \oint rac{dlpha}{lpha} \,\, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha)$$



### The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha 
ightarrow 0) \propto \oint rac{dlpha}{lpha} \,\, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha)$$

We can use **Cauchy's theorem** to trade the residue about  $\alpha = 0$  for (minus) the sum of residues away from the origin:



A D D A B D A B D A

### The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :



### The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :



### The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :



### The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha 
ightarrow 0) \propto \oint rac{dlpha}{lpha} \,\, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha)$$



### The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :



### The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :



### The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :



### The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :

We can use **Cauchy's theorem** to trade the residue about  $\alpha = 0$  for (minus) the sum of residues away from the origin:



15 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

### The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :


# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :



# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :



# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :



# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :

We can use **Cauchy's theorem** to trade the residue about  $\alpha = 0$  for (minus) the sum of residues away from the origin:



Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha 
ightarrow 0) \propto \oint rac{dlpha}{lpha} \,\, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha)$$



# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha 
ightarrow 0) \propto \oint rac{dlpha}{lpha} \,\, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha)$$



# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha 
ightarrow 0) \propto \oint rac{dlpha}{lpha} \,\, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha)$$



# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha 
ightarrow 0) \propto \oint rac{dlpha}{lpha} \,\, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha)$$



# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha 
ightarrow 0) \propto \oint rac{dlpha}{lpha} \,\, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha)$$



# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha 
ightarrow 0) \propto \oint rac{dlpha}{lpha} \,\, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha)$$



# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :



# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :



# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha 
ightarrow 0) \propto \oint rac{dlpha}{lpha} \,\, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha)$$

We can use **Cauchy's theorem** to trade the residue about  $\alpha = 0$  for (minus) the sum of residues away from the origin:



• • • • • • • • • • • • •

# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha 
ightarrow 0) \propto \oint rac{dlpha}{lpha} \,\, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha)$$

We can use **Cauchy's theorem** to trade the residue about  $\alpha = 0$  for (minus) the sum of residues away from the origin:



• • • • • • • • • • • • •

# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha 
ightarrow 0) \propto \oint rac{dlpha}{lpha} \,\, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha)$$

We can use **Cauchy's theorem** to trade the residue about  $\alpha = 0$  for (minus) the sum of residues away from the origin:



• • • • • • • • • • • • •

# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha o 0) \propto \oint egin{array}{c} rac{dlpha}{lpha} \ \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha) \ lpha = 0 \end{cases}$$

We can use **Cauchy's theorem** to trade the residue about  $\alpha = 0$  for (minus) the sum of residues away from the origin—these come in two types:



イロト イ得ト イヨト イヨウ

# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha 
ightarrow 0) \propto \oint rac{dlpha}{lpha} \,\, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha)$$

We can use **Cauchy's theorem** to trade the residue about  $\alpha = 0$  for (minus) the sum of residues away from the origin—these come in two types: factorization-channels



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha 
ightarrow 0) \propto \oint rac{dlpha}{lpha} \,\, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha)$$

We can use **Cauchy's theorem** to trade the residue about  $\alpha = 0$  for (minus) the sum of residues away from the origin—these come in two types: factorization-channels and forward-limits



ヘロト ヘ戸 ト ヘ ヨ ト ヘ ヨ

# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha 
ightarrow 0) \propto \oint rac{dlpha}{lpha} \,\, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha)$$

We can use **Cauchy's theorem** to trade the residue about  $\alpha = 0$  for (minus) the sum of residues away from the origin—these come in two types: factorization-channels and forward-limits



# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Consider adding a BCFW bridge to the full *n*-particle scattering amplitude the undeformed amplitude  $A_n$  is recovered as the **residue** about  $\alpha = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha 
ightarrow 0) \propto \oint rac{dlpha}{lpha} \,\, \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n(lpha)$$

We can use **Cauchy's theorem** to trade the residue about  $\alpha = 0$  for (minus) the sum of residues away from the origin—these come in two types: factorization-channels and forward-limits



015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations



Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

Forward-limits and loop-momenta:



# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

#### Forward-limits and loop-momenta:

$$\ell \equiv \lambda_I \widetilde{\lambda}_I + \alpha \lambda_1 \widetilde{\lambda}_n$$
 with  $d^4 \ell = \frac{d^2 \lambda_I d^2 \widetilde{\lambda}_I}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_1)} d\alpha \langle 1 I \rangle [n I]$ 



015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

#### Forward-limits and loop-momenta:

$$\ell \equiv \lambda_I \widetilde{\lambda}_I + \alpha \lambda_1 \widetilde{\lambda}_n$$
 with  $d^4 \ell = \frac{d^2 \lambda_I d^2 \widetilde{\lambda}_I}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_1)} d\alpha \langle 1 I \rangle [n I]$ 



015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

#### Forward-limits and loop-momenta:

$$\ell \equiv \lambda_I \widetilde{\lambda}_I + \alpha \lambda_1 \widetilde{\lambda}_n$$
 with  $d^4 \ell = \frac{d^2 \lambda_I d^2 \widetilde{\lambda}_I}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_1)} d\alpha \langle 1 I \rangle [n I]$ 



015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

#### Forward-limits and loop-momenta:

$$\ell \equiv \lambda_I \widetilde{\lambda}_I + \alpha \lambda_1 \widetilde{\lambda}_n$$
 with  $d^4 \ell = d^3 LIPS_I d\alpha \langle 1 I \rangle [n I]$ 



015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

#### Forward-limits and loop-momenta:

$$\ell \equiv \lambda_I \widetilde{\lambda}_I + \alpha \lambda_1 \widetilde{\lambda}_n$$
 with  $d^4 \ell = d^3 LIPS_I d\alpha \langle 1 I \rangle [n I]$ 



015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

# The Analytic Boot-Strap: All-Loop Recursion Relations

#### Forward-limits and loop-momenta:

$$\ell \equiv \lambda_I \widetilde{\lambda}_I + \alpha \lambda_1 \widetilde{\lambda}_n$$
 with  $d^4 \ell = d^3 LIPS_I d\alpha \langle 1 I \rangle [n I]$ 



015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

# Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ !

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

# Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! The **only** (non-vanishing) contribution to  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$  is  $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}^{(2)} \bigotimes \mathcal{A}_3^{(1)}$ :

イロト 不得 とうほう イヨン

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

# Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! The **only** (non-vanishing) contribution to  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$  is  $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}^{(2)} \bigotimes \mathcal{A}_3^{(1)}$ :

$$A_4^{(2)} =$$

イロト 不得 とうほう イヨン

# Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! The **only** (non-vanishing) contribution to  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$  is  $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}^{(2)} \bigotimes \mathcal{A}_3^{(1)}$ :



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

# Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! The **only** (non-vanishing) contribution to  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$  is  $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}^{(2)} \bigotimes \mathcal{A}_3^{(1)}$ :



イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

# Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! The **only** (non-vanishing) contribution to  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$  is  $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}^{(2)} \bigotimes \mathcal{A}_3^{(1)}$ :



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! The **only** (non-vanishing) contribution to  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$  is  $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}^{(2)} \bigotimes \mathcal{A}_3^{(1)}$ :



$$A_5^{(2)} =$$

Tuesday, 6th January

イロト 不得 とうほう イヨン

# Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! The **only** (non-vanishing) contribution to  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$  is  $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}^{(2)} \bigotimes \mathcal{A}_3^{(1)}$ :



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト
# Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! The **only** (non-vanishing) contribution to  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$  is  $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}^{(2)} \bigotimes \mathcal{A}_3^{(1)}$ :



# Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! The **only** (non-vanishing) contribution to  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$  is  $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}^{(2)} \bigotimes \mathcal{A}_3^{(1)}$ :



イロト 不得 とうほう イヨン

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! The **only** (non-vanishing) contribution to  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$  is  $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}^{(2)} \bigotimes \mathcal{A}_3^{(1)}$ :



5 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! The **only** (non-vanishing) contribution to  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$  is  $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}^{(2)} \bigotimes \mathcal{A}_3^{(1)}$ :



5 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! The **only** (non-vanishing) contribution to  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$  is  $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}^{(2)} \bigotimes \mathcal{A}_3^{(1)}$ :



5 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! The **only** (non-vanishing) contribution to  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$  is  $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}^{(2)} \bigotimes \mathcal{A}_3^{(1)}$ :



5 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

# Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes

イロト イポト イラト イラト

# Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :

# Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :



Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

## Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :



Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :



4 日 ト 4 冊 ト 4 画 ト 4 画 ト

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :



4 日 ト 4 冊 ト 4 画 ト 4 画 ト

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :



Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :



Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :



Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :



Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

#### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :



Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :



Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :



Observations regarding recursed representations of scattering amplitudes:

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :



Observations regarding recursed representations of scattering amplitudes:

• varying recursion 'schema' can generate many 'BCFW formulae'

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :



Observations regarding recursed representations of scattering amplitudes:

• varying recursion 'schema' can generate many 'BCFW formulae'

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :



Observations regarding recursed representations of scattering amplitudes:

• varying recursion 'schema' can generate many 'BCFW formulae'

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :



Observations regarding recursed representations of scattering amplitudes:

- varying recursion 'schema' can generate many 'BCFW formulae'
- on-shell diagrams can often be related in surprising ways

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :



Observations regarding recursed representations of scattering amplitudes:

- varying recursion 'schema' can generate many 'BCFW formulae'
- on-shell diagrams can often be related in surprising ways

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

### Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :



Observations regarding recursed representations of scattering amplitudes:

- varying recursion 'schema' can generate many 'BCFW formulae'
- on-shell diagrams can often be related in surprising ways

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

# Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :



Observations regarding recursed representations of scattering amplitudes:

- varying recursion 'schema' can generate many 'BCFW formulae'
- on-shell diagrams can often be related in surprising ways

Is there any way to invariantly characterize the on-shell functions associated with on-shell diagrams?

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

Deriving Diagrammatic Recursion Relations for Amplitudes Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Tree Amplitudes

# Exempli Gratia: On-Shell Representations of Amplitudes

The BCFW recursion relations realize an incredible fantasy: they **directly** produces the **Parke-Taylor** formula for all amplitudes with k=2,  $\mathcal{A}_n^{(2)}$ ! And it generates **very concise** formulae for all other amplitudes—*e.g.*  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$ :



Observations regarding recursed representations of scattering amplitudes:

- varying recursion 'schema' can generate many 'BCFW formulae'
- on-shell diagrams can often be related in surprising ways

Is there any way to invariantly characterize the on-shell functions associated with on-shell diagrams?

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

On-shell diagrams can be altered without changing their associated functions

Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

- chains of equivalent three-particle vertices can be arbitrarily connected
- any four-particle 'square' can be drawn in its two equivalent ways



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

- chains of equivalent three-particle vertices can be arbitrarily connected
- any four-particle 'square' can be drawn in its two equivalent ways



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

- chains of equivalent three-particle vertices can be arbitrarily connected
- any four-particle 'square' can be drawn in its two equivalent ways



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

- chains of equivalent three-particle vertices can be arbitrarily connected
- any four-particle 'square' can be drawn in its two equivalent ways


A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

These moves leave invariant a permutation defined by 'left-right paths': Starting from any leg *a*, turn:



Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

These moves leave invariant a permutation defined by 'left-right paths': Starting from any leg a, turn:

• *left* at each white vertex;



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams



Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams



Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams



Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams



Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

These moves leave invariant a permutation defined by 'left-right paths': Starting from any leg *a*, turn:

- *left* at each white vertex;
- *right* at each blue vertex.



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams



Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams



Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams



Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams



Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams



Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams



Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams



Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams



Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

### Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

These moves leave invariant a permutation defined by 'left-right paths'. Recall that different contributions to  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$  were related by rotation:



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

### Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

These moves leave invariant a permutation defined by 'left-right paths'. Recall that different contributions to  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$  were related by rotation:



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

These moves leave invariant a permutation defined by 'left-right paths'. Recall that different contributions to  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$  were related by rotation:





イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

These moves leave invariant a permutation defined by 'left-right paths'. Recall that different contributions to  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$  were related by rotation:



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

These moves leave invariant a permutation defined by 'left-right paths'. Recall that different contributions to  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$  were related by rotation:



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

These moves leave invariant a permutation defined by 'left-right paths'. Recall that different contributions to  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$  were related by rotation:



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

These moves leave invariant a permutation defined by 'left-right paths'. Recall that different contributions to  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$  were related by rotation:



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

These moves leave invariant a permutation defined by 'left-right paths'. Recall that different contributions to  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$  were related by rotation:



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

These moves leave invariant a permutation defined by 'left-right paths'. Recall that different contributions to  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$  were related by rotation:



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

These moves leave invariant a permutation defined by 'left-right paths'. Recall that different contributions to  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$  were related by rotation:



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

These moves leave invariant a permutation defined by 'left-right paths'. Recall that different contributions to  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$  were related by rotation:



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

These moves leave invariant a permutation defined by 'left-right paths'. Recall that different contributions to  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$  were related by rotation:



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

These moves leave invariant a permutation defined by 'left-right paths'. Recall that different contributions to  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3)}$  were related by rotation:



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

Notice that the merge and square moves leave the number of 'faces' of an on-shell diagram invariant.

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

Notice that the merge and square moves leave the number of 'faces' of an on-shell diagram invariant. Diagrams with different numbers of faces can be related by 'reduction'

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

Notice that the merge and square moves leave the number of 'faces' of an on-shell diagram invariant. Diagrams with different numbers of faces can be related by 'reduction'—also known as 'bubble deletion':

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

Notice that the merge and square moves leave the number of 'faces' of an on-shell diagram invariant. Diagrams with different numbers of faces can be related by 'reduction'—also known as 'bubble deletion':



Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

Notice that the merge and square moves leave the number of 'faces' of an on-shell diagram invariant. Diagrams with different numbers of faces can be related by 'reduction'—also known as 'bubble deletion':



Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト
A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

## Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

Notice that the merge and square moves leave the number of 'faces' of an on-shell diagram invariant. Diagrams with different numbers of faces can be related by 'reduction'—also known as 'bubble deletion': Bubble-deletion does not, however, relate 'identical' on-shell diagrams:

• it leaves behind an overall factor of  $d\alpha/\alpha$  in the on-shell function



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

## Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

- it leaves behind an overall factor of  $d\alpha/\alpha$  in the on-shell function
- and it alters the corresponding left-right path permutation



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

## Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

- it leaves behind an overall factor of  $d\alpha/\alpha$  in the on-shell function
- and it alters the corresponding left-right path permutation



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

## Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

- it leaves behind an overall factor of  $d\alpha/\alpha$  in the on-shell function
- and it alters the corresponding left-right path permutation



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

# Combinatorial Characterization of On-Shell Diagrams

Notice that the merge and square moves leave the number of 'faces' of an on-shell diagram invariant. Diagrams with different numbers of faces can be related by 'reduction'—also known as 'bubble deletion': Bubble-deletion does not, however, relate 'identical' on-shell diagrams:

- it leaves behind an overall factor of  $d\alpha/\alpha$  in the on-shell function
- and it alters the corresponding left-right path permutation

Such factors of  $d\alpha/\alpha$  arising from bubble deletion encode loop integrands!



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

Recall that attaching 'BCFW bridges' can lead to very rich on-shell diagrams.

Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト 不得 とうほう 不良 とう

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

Recall that attaching 'BCFW bridges' can lead to very rich on-shell diagrams. Conveniently, adding a BCFW bridge acts very nicely on permutations: it merely transposes the images of  $\sigma$ !



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

Recall that attaching 'BCFW bridges' can lead to very rich on-shell diagrams. Conveniently, adding a BCFW bridge acts very nicely on permutations: it merely transposes the images of  $\sigma$ !



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

Recall that attaching 'BCFW bridges' can lead to very rich on-shell diagrams. Read the other way,



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

Recall that attaching 'BCFW bridges' can lead to very rich on-shell diagrams. Read the other way,



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

#### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

Recall that attaching 'BCFW bridges' can lead to very rich on-shell diagrams. Read the other way, we can 'peel-off' bridges and thereby decompose a permutation into transpositions according to  $\sigma = (a b) \circ \sigma'$ 



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

## Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

## Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

(日)

э

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

(日)

э

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほとう

э

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほとう

э

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほとう

-

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

ヘロト ヘアト ヘリト ヘリト

э

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

э

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

(日)

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January
A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほとう

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほとう

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 三日

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 三日

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ・豆

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

◆□→ ◆□→ ◆□→ ◆□→ □□

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほとう

## Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

-

# **Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions**

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

> 'Bridge' Decomposition  $1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6$  $1 \ 4 \ 4 \ 4 \ 4 \ 7$

*f*<sub>8</sub> {7 8 3 10 5 6 }

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :

 $f_0 = \frac{d\alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \frac{d\alpha_2}{\alpha_2} \frac{d\alpha_3}{\alpha_3} \frac{d\alpha_4}{\alpha_4} \frac{d\alpha_5}{\alpha_5} \frac{d\alpha_6}{\alpha_6} \frac{d\alpha_7}{\alpha_7} \frac{d\alpha_8}{\alpha_8} f_8$ 

$$f_8 = \prod_{a=\sigma(a)+n} \left( \delta^4(\widetilde{\eta}_a) \delta^2(\widetilde{\lambda}_a) \right) \prod_{b=\sigma(b)} \left( \delta^2(\lambda_b) \right)$$

Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

## Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \frac{d\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{2}} \frac{d\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{3}} \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \frac{d\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{6}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{7}} \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} f_{8}$$
  

$$f_{8} = \prod_{a=\sigma(a)+n} \left( \delta^{4}(\tilde{\eta}_{a}) \delta^{2}(\tilde{\lambda}_{a}) \right) \prod_{b=\sigma(b)} \left( \delta^{2}(\lambda_{b}) \right)$$
  

$$C = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{5}{5} & \frac{6}{6} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$
  

$$f_{8} \left\{ 7 \ 8 \ 3 \ 10 \ 5 \ 6 \right\}$$

< 4 P ►

-

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

ヘロト ヘ戸 ト ヘ ヨ ト ヘ ヨ

## Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \frac{d\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{2}} \frac{d\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{3}} \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \frac{d\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{6}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{7}} \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} f_{8}$$
  

$$f_{8} = \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$
  

$$C \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{4}{5} & \frac{5}{6} \\ \frac{1}{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
  

$$f_{8} \{7 \ 8 \ 3 \ 10 \ 5 \ 6 \}$$

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

ヘロト ヘ戸 ト ヘ ヨ ト ヘ ヨ

## Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \frac{d\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{2}} \frac{d\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{3}} \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \frac{d\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{6}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{7}} \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} f_{8}$$
  

$$f_{8} = \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$
  

$$C \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{4}{5} & \frac{5}{6} \\ \frac{1}{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
  

$$f_{8} \{7 \ 8 \ 3 \ 10 \ 5 \ 6 \}$$

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \frac{d\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{2}} \frac{d\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{3}} \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \frac{d\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{6}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{7}} \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} f_{8}$$
  

$$f_{7} = \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$
  

$$C \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{4}{2} & \frac{5}{6} & \frac{6}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \alpha_{8} \end{pmatrix}$$
  

$$(46): c_{6} \mapsto c_{6} + \alpha_{8} c_{4}$$
  

$$f_{7} \{7 \ 8 \ 3 \ 6 \ 5 \ 10\}_{\{46\}}$$

不得下 イヨト イヨト

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \frac{d\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{2}} \frac{d\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{3}} \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \frac{d\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{6}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{7}} \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} f_{8}$$
  

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{7}} \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3\times4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3\times2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$
  

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{\alpha_{8}} & \frac{4}{\beta} & \frac{5}{\beta} & \frac{6}{\beta} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{4}{\beta} & \frac{5}{\beta} & \frac{6}{\beta} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{4}{\beta} & \frac{5}{\beta} & \frac{6}{\beta} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{4}{\beta} & \frac{5}{\beta} & \frac{6}{\beta} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{4}{\beta} & \frac{5}{\beta} & \frac{6}{\beta} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{\alpha_{8}} & \frac{4}{\beta} & \frac{5}{\beta} & \frac{6}{\beta} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{\alpha_{8}} & \frac{4}{\beta} & \frac{5}{\beta} & \frac{6}{\beta} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{\alpha_{8}} & \frac{4}{\beta} & \frac{5}{\beta} & \frac{6}{\beta} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{\alpha_{8}} & \frac{4}{\beta} & \frac{5}{\beta} & \frac{6}{\beta} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{\alpha_{8}} & \frac{4}{\beta} & \frac{5}{\beta} & \frac{6}{\beta} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{\alpha_{8}} & \frac{4}{\beta} & \frac{5}{\beta} & \frac{6}{\beta} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{\alpha_{8}} & \frac{4}{\beta} & \frac{5}{\beta} & \frac{6}{\beta} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{\alpha_{8}} & \frac{4}{\beta} & \frac{5}{\beta} & \frac{6}{\beta} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2$$

不得下 イヨト イヨト

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

## Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \frac{d\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{2}} \frac{d\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{3}} \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \frac{d\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{6}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{7}} \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} f_{8}$$
  

$$f_{5} = \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{6}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{7}} \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3\times4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3\times2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$
  

$$C \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{\alpha_{8}} \frac{4}{\alpha_{8}} 5 & \frac{6}{\alpha_{8}} \frac{1}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3\times4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3\times2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp}) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \alpha_{7} & \alpha_{6} \alpha_{7} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \alpha_{7} & \alpha_{6} \alpha_{7} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \alpha_{6} & \alpha_{8} \end{pmatrix}$$
  

$$(45): c_{5} \mapsto c_{5} + \alpha_{6} c_{4}$$
  
**Bridge' Decomposition**  

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \tau \\ f_{5} \{7 & 6 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 10\} (45) \\ f_{6} \{7 & 6 & 3 & 8 & 5 & 10\} (24) \\ f_{7} \{7 & 8 & 3 & 6 & 5 & 10\} (24) \\ f_{8} \{7 & 8 & 3 & 10 & 5 & 6\} (46) \end{array}$$

・ 伺 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \frac{d\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{2}} \frac{d\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{3}} \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \frac{d\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{6}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{7}} \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} f_{8}$$

$$f_{4} = \frac{d\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{5}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$F_{4} = \frac{d\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{5}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{4} \{6 \ 7 \ 3 \ 5 \ 8 \ 10\} (12)$$

$$f_{5} \{7 \ 6 \ 3 \ 5 \ 8 \ 10\} (12)$$

$$f_{6} \{7 \ 6 \ 3 \ 8 \ 5 \ 10\} (45)$$

$$f_{6} \{7 \ 6 \ 3 \ 8 \ 5 \ 10\} (24)$$

$$f_{7} \{7 \ 8 \ 3 \ 6 \ 5 \ 10\} (24)$$

$$f_{8} \{7 \ 8 \ 3 \ 10 \ 5 \ 6\} (46)$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

## Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \frac{d\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{2}} \frac{d\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{3}} \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \frac{d\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{6}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{7}} \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} f_{8}$$

$$f_{3} = \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{3} = \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{3} = \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{3} = \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{3} = \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{3} = \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{3} = \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{3} = \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{3} = \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{3} = \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{3} = \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{5}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{4} = \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{5}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{4} = \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{5}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{4 \times 5} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{5} = \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{5}} \delta^{5 \times 10} \delta^{4 \times 5} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{4 \times 5} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda})$$

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

## Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \frac{d\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{2}} \frac{d\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{3}} \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \frac{d\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{6}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{7}} \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} f_{8}$$

$$f_{2} = \frac{d\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{3}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{2} = \frac{d\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{3}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{2} \{5 \ 6 \ 3 \ 7 \ 8 \ 10\} (12)$$

$$f_{3} \{6 \ 5 \ 3 \ 7 \ 8 \ 10\} (12)$$

$$f_{3} \{6 \ 5 \ 3 \ 7 \ 8 \ 10\} (12)$$

$$f_{4} \{6 \ 7 \ 3 \ 5 \ 8 \ 10\} (12)$$

$$f_{5} \{7 \ 6 \ 3 \ 5 \ 8 \ 10\} (12)$$

$$f_{6} \{7 \ 6 \ 3 \ 8 \ 5 \ 10\} (24)$$

$$f_{6} \{7 \ 6 \ 3 \ 8 \ 5 \ 10\} (24)$$

$$f_{7} \{7 \ 8 \ 3 \ 6 \ 5 \ 10\} (24)$$

$$f_{7} \{7 \ 8 \ 3 \ 6 \ 5 \ 10\} (24)$$

$$f_{8} \{7 \ 8 \ 3 \ 10 \ 5 \ 6\}$$

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{2}} \frac{d\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{3}} \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \frac{d\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{6}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{7}} \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} f_{8}$$

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3 \times 4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3 \times 2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{1} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{3} + \alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{2} + \alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{4} + \alpha_{7}} \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{1} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{3} + \alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{2} + \alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{4} + \alpha_{7}} \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{1} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{3} + \alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{2} + \alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{4} + \alpha_{7}} \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{1} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{3} + \alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{2} + \alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{6} + \alpha_{7}} \delta^{2 \times 3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{2} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{3} + \alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{2} + \alpha_{7}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{6} + \alpha_{7}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{7}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{7}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{8}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{8}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{8}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{8}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{8}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{8}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{8}} \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{8}} \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \frac{d\alpha_{$$

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

A (10) × (10) × (10)

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \frac{d\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{2}} \frac{d\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{3}} \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \frac{d\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{6}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{7}} \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} f_{8}$$

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3\times4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3\times2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3\times4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3\times2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3\times4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3\times2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3\times4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3\times2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3\times4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3\times2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3\times4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3\times2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3\times4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3\times2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{1} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\delta} = \frac{\delta^{3}}{\delta} = \frac{\delta^{3}$$

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

A (10) × (10) × (10)

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \frac{d\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{2}} \frac{d\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{3}} \frac{d\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{4}} \frac{d\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{5}} \frac{d\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{6}} \frac{d\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{7}} \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} f_{8}$$

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3\times4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3\times2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3\times4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3\times2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3\times4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3\times2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3\times4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3\times2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3\times4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3\times2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3\times4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3\times2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{0} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \frac{d\alpha_{8}}{\alpha_{8}} \delta^{3\times4} (C \cdot \tilde{\eta}) \delta^{3\times2} (C \cdot \tilde{\lambda}) \delta^{2\times3} (\lambda \cdot C^{\perp})$$

$$f_{1} = \frac{d\alpha_{1}}{\delta} = \frac{\delta^{3}}{\delta} = \frac{\delta^{3}$$

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

## Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

## Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

## Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

## Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

## Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

## Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

## Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

## Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions


A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

7

7

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

8 10

8 10

э

5 8 10

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

8 10

8 10

э

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

7

7

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

8 10

8 10

э

5 8 10

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

7

7

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

8 10

8 10

э

5 8 10

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

7

7

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

8 10

8 10

э

5 8 10

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

7

7

8 3 10 5 6

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

8 10

8 10

э

5 8 10

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions





A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

8 10

8 10

э

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

7

- 7

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

8 10

8 10

э

5 8 10

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :





A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

> 7 8

5 8 10

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

8 10 7

5 8 10

8 5 10

6 5 10

46

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

> 7 8

5 8 10

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

8 10

5 8 10

8 5 10

6 5 10

46

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

> 7 8

5 8 10

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

8 10 7

5 8 10

8 5 10

6 5 10

46

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

> 7 8

5 8 10

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

8 10 7

5 8 10

8 5 10

6 5 10

46

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

> 7 8

5 8 10

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

8 10 7

5 8 10

8 5 10

6 5 10

46

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

> 7 8

5 8 10

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

8 10 7

5 8 10

8 5 10

6 5 10

46

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

> 7 8

5 8 10

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

8 10 7

5 8 10

8 5 10

6 5 10

46

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

8

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

8 10

8 10

46

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

46

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

46

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

> 7 8

5

8 10

8 10

46

5 8 10

8 5 10

6 5 10

10 5 6

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :





A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :





A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions





A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions





A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions





A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :





A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :





A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :





A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :





A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions





A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions





A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions





A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :





< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :





ヘロト ヘ戸ト ヘヨト ヘヨ

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :





ヘロト ヘ戸ト ヘヨト ヘヨ

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :





ヘロト ヘ戸ト ヘヨト ヘヨ
A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

46

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

6 3

6 3

8 8 10

5 8 10

8 10 5

5 10 8

46

6 5 10

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

> 8 8 10

5 8 10

8

8 10 5

5 10

46

6 5 10

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

> 8 8 10

8 10

8 10

5 10

46

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions


A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



On-Shell, All-Order Recursion Relations for Scattering Amplitudes Combinatorics, Classification, and Canonical Computation A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



On-Shell, All-Order Recursion Relations for Scattering Amplitudes Combinatorics, Classification, and Canonical Computation A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

On-Shell, All-Order Recursion Relations for Scattering Amplitudes Combinatorics, Classification, and Canonical Computation A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



On-Shell, All-Order Recursion Relations for Scattering Amplitudes Combinatorics, Classification, and Canonical Computation A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



Tuesday, 6th January
A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



On-Shell, All-Order Recursion Relations for Scattering Amplitudes Combinatorics, Classification, and Canonical Computation A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions

There are many ways to decompose a permutation into transpositions—*e.g.*, always choose the first transposition  $\tau \equiv (a b)$  such that  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ :



On-Shell, All-Order Recursion Relations for Scattering Amplitudes Combinatorics, Classification, and Canonical Computation A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

7

7

8 10

8 10

э

5 8 10

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



On-Shell, All-Order Recursion Relations for Scattering Amplitudes Combinatorics, Classification, and Canonical Computation A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

7

7

8 10

8 10

э

5 8 10

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions


A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

# Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

## Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

### Canonical Coordinates for Computing On-Shell Functions



A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

ヘロト ヘアト ヘリト ヘリト

### Canonical Coordinates and the Manifestation of the Yangian

All on-shell diagrams, in terms of canonical coordinates, take the form:

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

ヘロト ヘアト ヘリト ヘリト

### Canonical Coordinates and the Manifestation of the Yangian

All on-shell diagrams, in terms of canonical coordinates, take the form:

$$f = \int \frac{d\alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d\alpha_d}{\alpha_d} \, \delta^{k \times 4} \big( C(\vec{\alpha}) \cdot \widetilde{\eta} \big) \delta^{k \times 2} \big( C(\vec{\alpha}) \cdot \widetilde{\lambda} \big) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} \big( \lambda \cdot C(\vec{\alpha})^{\perp} \big)$$

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

(日)

#### Canonical Coordinates and the Manifestation of the Yangian

All on-shell diagrams, in terms of canonical coordinates, take the form:

$$f = \int \frac{d\alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d\alpha_d}{\alpha_d} \, \delta^{k \times 4} \big( C(\vec{\alpha}) \cdot \widetilde{\eta} \big) \delta^{k \times 2} \big( C(\vec{\alpha}) \cdot \widetilde{\lambda} \big) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} \big( \lambda \cdot C(\vec{\alpha})^{\perp} \big)$$

Measure-preserving diffeomorphisms leave the function invariant

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほとう

#### Canonical Coordinates and the Manifestation of the Yangian

All on-shell diagrams, in terms of canonical coordinates, take the form:

$$f = \int \frac{d\alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d\alpha_d}{\alpha_d} \, \delta^{k \times 4} \big( C(\vec{\alpha}) \cdot \widetilde{\eta} \big) \delta^{k \times 2} \big( C(\vec{\alpha}) \cdot \widetilde{\lambda} \big) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} \big( \lambda \cdot C(\vec{\alpha})^{\perp} \big)$$

Measure-preserving diffeomorphisms leave the function invariant, but via the  $\delta$ -functions—can be recast variations of the kinematical data.

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

#### Canonical Coordinates and the Manifestation of the Yangian

All on-shell diagrams, in terms of canonical coordinates, take the form:

$$f = \int \frac{d\alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d\alpha_d}{\alpha_d} \, \delta^{k \times 4} \big( C(\vec{\alpha}) \cdot \widetilde{\eta} \big) \delta^{k \times 2} \big( C(\vec{\alpha}) \cdot \widetilde{\lambda} \big) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} \big( \lambda \cdot C(\vec{\alpha})^{\perp} \big)$$

Measure-preserving diffeomorphisms leave the function invariant, but via the  $\delta$ -functions—can be recast variations of the kinematical data. The *Yangian* corresponds to those diffeomorphisms that simultaneously preserve the measures of *all* on-shell diagrams.

A Combinatorial Classification of On-Shell Functions Building-Up (Representative) Diagrams and Functions with Bridges Asymptotic Symmetries of the S-Matrix: the Yangian

イロト 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

#### Canonical Coordinates and the Manifestation of the Yangian

All on-shell diagrams, in terms of canonical coordinates, take the form:

$$f = \int \frac{d\alpha_1}{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d\alpha_d}{\alpha_d} \, \delta^{k \times 4} \big( C(\vec{\alpha}) \cdot \widetilde{\eta} \big) \delta^{k \times 2} \big( C(\vec{\alpha}) \cdot \widetilde{\lambda} \big) \delta^{2 \times (n-k)} \big( \lambda \cdot C(\vec{\alpha})^{\perp} \big)$$

Measure-preserving diffeomorphisms leave the function invariant, but via the  $\delta$ -functions—can be recast variations of the kinematical data. The *Yangian* corresponds to those diffeomorphisms that simultaneously preserve the measures of *all* on-shell diagrams.

On-Shell Representations of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

# On-Shell Recursion of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

Let's look at an example of how loop amplitudes are represented by recursion.

Tuesday, 6th January

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

On-Shell Representations of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

# On-Shell Recursion of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

Let's look at an example of how loop amplitudes are represented by recursion.

Tuesday, 6th January

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

On-Shell Representations of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

# On-Shell Recursion of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

Let's look at an example of how loop amplitudes are represented by recursion.



On-Shell Representations of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

# On-Shell Recursion of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

Let's look at an example of how loop amplitudes are represented by recursion. For  $\mathcal{A}_4^{(2),1}$ , the only terms come from the 'forward limit' of the tree  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3),0}$ :



On-Shell Representations of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

# On-Shell Recursion of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

Let's look at an example of how loop amplitudes are represented by recursion. For  $\mathcal{A}_4^{(2),1}$ , the only terms come from the 'forward limit' of the tree  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3),0}$ :





On-Shell Representations of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

# On-Shell Recursion of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

Let's look at an example of how loop amplitudes are represented by recursion. For  $\mathcal{A}_4^{(2),1}$ , the only terms come from the 'forward limit' of the tree  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3),0}$ :





On-Shell Representations of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

# On-Shell Recursion of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

Let's look at an example of how loop amplitudes are represented by recursion. For  $\mathcal{A}_4^{(2),1}$ , the only terms come from the 'forward limit' of the tree  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3),0}$ :





On-Shell Representations of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

# On-Shell Recursion of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

Let's look at an example of how loop amplitudes are represented by recursion. For  $\mathcal{A}_4^{(2),1}$ , the only terms come from the 'forward limit' of the tree  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3),0}$ :





On-Shell Representations of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

# On-Shell Recursion of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

Let's look at an example of how loop amplitudes are represented by recursion. For  $\mathcal{A}_4^{(2),1}$ , the only terms come from the 'forward limit' of the tree  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3),0}$ :





On-Shell Representations of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

# On-Shell Recursion of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

Let's look at an example of how loop amplitudes are represented by recursion. For  $\mathcal{A}_4^{(2),1}$ , the only terms come from the 'forward limit' of the tree  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3),0}$ :





On-Shell Representations of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

# On-Shell Recursion of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

Let's look at an example of how loop amplitudes are represented by recursion. For  $\mathcal{A}_4^{(2),1}$ , the only terms come from the 'forward limit' of the tree  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3),0}$ :



On-Shell Representations of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

# On-Shell Recursion of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

Let's look at an example of how loop amplitudes are represented by recursion. For  $\mathcal{A}_4^{(2),1}$ , the only terms come from the 'forward limit' of the tree  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3),0}$ :





On-Shell Representations of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

# On-Shell Recursion of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

Let's look at an example of how loop amplitudes are represented by recursion. For  $\mathcal{A}_4^{(2),1}$ , the only terms come from the 'forward limit' of the tree  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3),0}$ :





On-Shell Representations of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

## **On-Shell Recursion of Loop-Amplitude Integrands**

Let's look at an example of how loop amplitudes are represented by recursion. For  $\mathcal{A}_4^{(2),1}$ , the only terms come from the 'forward limit' of the tree  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3),0}$ :





On-Shell Representations of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

#### On-Shell Recursion of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

Let's look at an example of how loop amplitudes are represented by recursion. For  $\mathcal{A}_{4}^{(2),1}$ , the only terms come from the 'forward limit' of the tree  $\mathcal{A}_{6}^{(3),0}$ :  $\int_{\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}} d^{4}\ell \iff \int_{\ell \in (\lambda_{1}\tilde{\lambda}_{1} + \alpha\lambda_{1}\tilde{\lambda}_{4}) \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}} \frac{d^{2}\lambda_{1}d^{2}\tilde{\lambda}_{1}}{\operatorname{vol}(GL_{1})} d\alpha \langle II \rangle [nI]$ 

$$\mathcal{A}_{4}^{(2),0} \times \int d\log\left(\frac{\ell^{2}}{(\ell-\ell^{*})^{2}}\right) d\log\left(\frac{(\ell+p_{1})^{2}}{(\ell-\ell^{*})^{2}}\right) d\log\left(\frac{(\ell+p_{1}+p_{2})^{2}}{(\ell-\ell^{*})^{2}}\right) d\log\left(\frac{(\ell-p_{4})^{2}}{(\ell-\ell^{*})^{2}}\right) d\log\left(\frac{(\ell-p_$$

Tuesday, 6th January

NBIA Nordic Winter School 2015 Part II: On-Shell Diagrams, Recursion Relations, and Combinatorics

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほ とう

On-Shell Representations of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

# On-Shell Recursion of Loop-Amplitude Integrands

Let's look at an example of how loop amplitudes are represented by recursion. For  $\mathcal{A}_4^{(2),1}$ , the only terms come from the 'forward limit' of the tree  $\mathcal{A}_6^{(3),0}$ : 2 3



$$\mathcal{A}_{4}^{(2),0} \times \int d\log\left(\frac{\ell^{2}}{(\ell-\ell^{*})^{2}}\right) d\log\left(\frac{(\ell+p_{1})^{2}}{(\ell-\ell^{*})^{2}}\right) d\log\left(\frac{(\ell+p_{1}+p_{2})^{2}}{(\ell-\ell^{*})^{2}}\right) d\log\left(\frac{(\ell-p_{4})^{2}}{(\ell-\ell^{*})^{2}}\right)$$

$$= \mathcal{A}_{4}^{(2),0} \times \int d^{4}\ell \frac{(p_{1}+p_{2})^{2}(p_{3}+p_{4})^{2}}{\ell^{2}(\ell+p_{1})^{2}(\ell+p_{1}+p_{2})^{2}(\ell-p_{4})^{2}}$$

Tuesday, 6th January

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほ とう