Non-renormalization Theorems without Supersymmetry

Clifford Cheung



C.C. and Chia-Hsien Shen (1505.01844)

effective field theory lore

 $\mathcal{L} = \sum c_i \mathcal{O}_i$ i

 $c_i \sim 1$ in units of the cutoff Λ .

effective field theory lore



- ultraviolet democracy of parameters
- couplings are generated by running



e.g. chiral symmetry, supersymmetry,

e.g. electron mass, Higgs mass,



True because c_i is a spurion for breaking, so

$$\frac{dc_i}{d\log\mu} \propto c_i$$

enhanced symmetry at

$$c_i = 0$$
 —

radiative stability of



e.g. chiral symmetry, supersymmetry,

e.g. electron mass, Higgs mass,

enhanced symmetry at

$$c_i = 0$$

radiative stability of



e.g. chiral symmetry, supersymmetry,

e.g. electron mass, Higgs mass,





Logically, this "naturalness" is equivalent to

no symmetry \longrightarrow "anything goes" $c_i \sim 1$

At renormalizable level, operators not protected by symmetry have $\mathcal{O}(1)$ running.

At renormalizable level, operators not protected by symmetry have $\mathcal{O}(1)$ running.

At non-renormalizable level, though, a puzzle:

Holomorphy without Supersymmetry in the Standard Model Effective Field Theory

Rodrigo Alonso, Elizabeth E. Jenkins, and Aneesh V. Manohar Department of Physics, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

The anomalous dimensions of dimension-six operators in the Standard Model Effective Field Theory (SMEFT) respect holomorphy to a large extent. The holomorphy conditions are reminiscent of supersymmetry, even though the SMEFT is not a supersymmetric theory.

At renormalizable level, operators not protected by symmetry have $\mathcal{O}(1)$ running.

At non-renormalizable level, though, a puzzle:

Holomorphy without Supersymmetry in the Standard Model Effective Field Theory

Rodrigo Alonso, Elizabeth E. Jenkins, and Aneesh V. Manohar Department of Physics, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

The anomalous dimensions of dimension-six operators in the Standard Model Effective Field Theory (SMEFT) respect holomorphy to a large extent. The holomorphy conditions are reminiscent of supersymmetry, even though the SMEFT is not a supersymmetric theory.

Radiative stability without symmetry???

standard model effective field theory

Standard model effective theory is defined by

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \Delta \mathcal{L}$$

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = \sum_{i} c_i \mathcal{O}_i$$

where $[\mathcal{O}_i] = 6$ and B and L are assumed.

Caveat: operator basis $\{O_i\}$ is not unique.

Inserting equations of motion is equivalent to a field redefinition at leading order, e.g.



However, the S-matrix is left invariant.

Choose a basis of 59 operators, mod flavor.

Choose a basis of 59 operators, mod flavor.

	X^3		φ^6 and $\varphi^4 D^2$		$\psi^2 arphi^3$
Q_G	$f^{ABC}G^{A\nu}_{\mu}G^{B\rho}_{\nu}G^{C\mu}_{\rho}$	Q_{φ}	$(arphi^\dagger arphi)^3$	$Q_{e\varphi}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)(\overline{l}_{p}e_{r}\varphi)$
$Q_{\widetilde{G}}$	$f^{ABC} \widetilde{G}^{A\nu}_{\mu} G^{B\rho}_{\nu} G^{C\mu}_{\rho}$	$Q_{\varphi\Box}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)\Box(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)$	$Q_{u\varphi}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{p}u_{r}\widetilde{\varphi})$
Q_W	$\varepsilon^{IJK} W^{I\nu}_{\mu} W^{J\rho}_{\nu} W^{K\mu}_{\rho}$	$Q_{\varphi D}$	$\left(\varphi^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\varphi\right)^{\star}\left(\varphi^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\varphi\right)$	$Q_{d\varphi}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{p}d_{r}\varphi)$
$Q_{\widetilde{W}}$	$\varepsilon^{IJK}\widetilde{W}^{I\nu}_{\mu}W^{J\rho}_{\nu}W^{K\mu}_{\rho}$				
	$X^2 \varphi^2$		$\psi^2 X \varphi$		$\psi^2 \varphi^2 D$
$Q_{\varphi G}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphiG^{A}_{\mu\nu}G^{A\mu\nu}$	Q_{eW}	$(\bar{l}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_r) \tau^I \varphi W^I_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi l}^{(1)}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{l}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}l_{r})$
$Q_{\varphi \widetilde{G}}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi\widetilde{G}^{A}_{\mu u}G^{A\mu u}$	Q_{eB}	$(\bar{l}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_r) \varphi B_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi l}^{(3)}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}^{I}\varphi)(\bar{l}_{p}\tau^{I}\gamma^{\mu}l_{r})$
$Q_{\varphi W}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphiW^{I}_{\mu\nu}W^{I\mu\nu}$	Q_{uG}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} T^A u_r) \widetilde{\varphi} G^A_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi e}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{e}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}e_{r})$
$Q_{\varphi \widetilde{W}}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi\widetilde{W}^{I}_{\mu\nu}W^{I\mu\nu}$	Q_{uW}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_r) \tau^I \widetilde{\varphi} W^I_{\mu\nu}$	$Q^{(1)}_{\varphi q}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}q_{r})$
$Q_{\varphi B}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphiB_{\mu u}B^{\mu u}$	Q_{uB}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_r) \widetilde{\varphi} B_{\mu\nu}$	$Q^{(3)}_{\varphi q}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}^{I}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{p}\tau^{I}\gamma^{\mu}q_{r})$
$Q_{\varphi \widetilde{B}}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi\widetilde{B}_{\mu u}B^{\mu u}$	Q_{dG}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} T^A d_r) \varphi G^A_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi u}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{u}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}u_{r})$
$Q_{\varphi WB}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\varphiW^{I}_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$	Q_{dW}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_r) \tau^I \varphi W^I_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi d}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{d}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}d_{r})$
$Q_{\varphi \widetilde{W}B}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\varphi\widetilde{W}^{I}_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$	Q_{dB}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_r) \varphi B_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi ud}$	$i(\widetilde{\varphi}^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{u}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}d_{r})$

Grzadkowski, Iskrzynski, Misiak, Rosiek (1008.4884)

Choose a basis of 59 operators, mod flavor.

		X^3		φ^6 and $\varphi^4 D^2$		$\psi^2 arphi^3$	
	Q_G	$f^{ABC}G^{A\nu}_{\mu}G^{B\rho}_{\nu}G^{C\mu}_{\rho}$	Q_{φ}	$(arphi^\dagger arphi)^3$	$Q_{e\varphi}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)(\bar{l}_{p}e_{r}\varphi)$	
anomalous	$Q_{\widetilde{G}}$	$f^{ABC} \widetilde{G}^{A\nu}_{\mu} G^{B\rho}_{\nu} G^{C\mu}_{\rho}$	$Q_{\varphi\Box}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)\Box(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)$	$Q_{u\varphi}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{p}u_{r}\widetilde{\varphi})$	
gauge —	Q _W	$\varepsilon^{IJK} W^{I\nu}_{\mu} W^{J\rho}_{\nu} W^{K\mu}_{\rho}$	$Q_{\varphi D}$	$\left(\varphi^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\varphi\right)^{\star}\left(\varphi^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\varphi\right)$	$Q_{d\varphi}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{p}d_{r}\varphi)$	
vertex	$Q_{\widetilde{W}}$	$\varepsilon^{IJK}\widetilde{W}^{I\nu}_{\mu}W^{J\rho}_{\nu}W^{K\mu}_{\rho}$					
		$X^2 \varphi^2$		$\psi^2 X \varphi$		$\psi^2 \varphi^2 D$	
	$Q_{\varphi G}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphiG^{A}_{\mu\nu}G^{A\mu\nu}$	Q_{eW}	$(\bar{l}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_r) \tau^I \varphi W^I_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi l}^{(1)}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\overline{l}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}l_{r})$	anomalous
	$Q_{\varphi \widetilde{G}}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi\widetilde{G}^{A}_{\mu u}G^{A\mu u}$	Q_{eB}	$(\bar{l}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_r) \varphi B_{\mu\nu}$	$Q^{(3)}_{arphi l}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}^{I}\varphi)(\overline{l}_{p}\tau^{I}\gamma^{\mu}l_{r})$	— magnetic
	$Q_{\varphi W}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphiW^{I}_{\mu\nu}W^{I\mu\nu}$	Q_{uG}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} T^A u_r) \widetilde{\varphi} G^A_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi e}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{e}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}e_{r})$	moment
	$Q_{\varphi \widetilde{W}}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi\widetilde{W}^{I}_{\mu\nu}W^{I\mu\nu}$	Q_{uW}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_r) \tau^I \widetilde{\varphi} W^I_{\mu\nu}$	$Q^{(1)}_{\varphi q}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}q_{r})$	
Higgs to	$Q_{\varphi \overline{B}}$		Q_{uB}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_r) \widetilde{\varphi} B_{\mu\nu}$	$Q^{(3)}_{\varphi q}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}^{I}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{p}\tau^{I}\gamma^{\mu}q_{r})$	
GI-gainina	$Q_{\varphi \widetilde{B}}$	$arphi^{\dagger}arphi\widetilde{B}_{\mu u}B^{\mu u}$	Q_{dG}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} T^A d_r) \varphi G^A_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi u}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{u}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}u_{r})$	
	$Q_{\varphi WB}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\varphiW^{I}_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$	Q_{dW}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_r) \tau^I \varphi W^I_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi d}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{d}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}d_{r})$	
	$Q_{\varphi \widetilde{W}B}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\tau^{I}\varphi\widetilde{W}^{I}_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$	Q_{dB}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_r) \varphi B_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi ud}$	$i(\widetilde{\varphi}^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{u}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}d_{r})$	

Grzadkowski, Iskrzynski, Misiak, Rosiek (1008.4884)

Manohar et. al computed the leading RG, encoded in the anomalous dimension matrix.

$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{dc_i}{d\log\mu} = \sum_j \gamma_{ij} c_j$$

Here γ_{ij} is a dimensionless matrix made of the marginal couplings in the theory.

Alonso, Jenkins, Manohar, Trott (1308.2627, 1309.0819, 1310.4838, 1312.2014, 1409.0868)





For convenience, express Lorentz covariance in terms of spinor indices, $\mu\leftrightarrow\alpha,\dot{\alpha}$.

scalars:
$$\phi$$

fermions: $\psi_{\alpha}, \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}$
vectors: $F_{\alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \dot{\beta}} = F_{\alpha \beta} \bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} + \bar{F}_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} \epsilon_{\alpha \beta}$
derivatives: $D_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}$

Mod Lorentz and flavor structures, reduce to 14 operator classes split into 3 groups.

holomorphic $\leftrightarrow \alpha, \beta, \dots$ $\mathcal{O}^{(h)} = F^3, \ F^2 \phi^2, \ F \psi^2 \phi, \ \psi^4, \ \psi^2 \phi^3$

anti-holomorphic $\leftrightarrow \dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta}, \dots$ $\mathcal{O}^{(\overline{h})} = \bar{F}^3, \ \bar{F}^2 \phi^2, \ \bar{F} \bar{\psi}^2 \phi, \ \bar{\psi}^4, \ \bar{\psi}^2 \phi^3$

non-holomorphic $\leftrightarrow \alpha, \dot{\alpha}, \beta, \dot{\beta}, \dots$ $\mathcal{O}^{(n)} = \bar{\psi}^2 \psi^2, \ \bar{\psi} \psi \phi^2 D, \ \phi^4 D^2, \ \phi^6$







X = no diagram



X = no diag	gram								_						
X = cancels	s!	Ć	$\mathcal{I}_{i}^{(\mathrm{h})}$)		$\mathcal{O}_{i}^{(\mathrm{h})}$						$\mathcal{O}_{i}^{(n)}$			
			J					Ĵ				و	<u>)</u>		
		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
(h)				Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	
$\mathcal{O}_i^{(11)}$					Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
U	Х	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	
	Х					Х	Х	Х	Х					Х	
	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
$(\overline{\mathbf{h}})$	Х	Х	X	Х	Х				Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
$\mathcal{O}_i^{(\Pi)}$	Х	Х	X	Х	Х					Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
U	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х		Х	Х	Х	
	Х	Х	X	Х		Х								Х	
	Х	Х	X		Х	Х	Х	Х		Х			Х	Х	
$\mathcal{O}_i^{(\mathrm{n})}$	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х				Х	
	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х	
	Х		Х	Х		Х		Х	Х		Х				

X = no diag	gram		ý	,					_							
X = cancels	5!	Ć	${\cal O}^{({ m h})}_{i}$)	${\cal O}_i^{({ m h})}$							$\mathcal{O}_{i}^{(n)}$				
		Х	X	Х	х	Х	Х	X	X	Х	Х	Х	X	Х		
$(\mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{r}})$				Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
$\mathcal{O}_i^{(n)}$					Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
U	Х	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	y u y d,e	Х	Х	Х		
	Х					Х	Х	Х	X	Y u Y d,e				Х		
	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
$(\overline{\mathbf{h}})$	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
$\mathcal{O}_i^{(11)}$	Х	Х	Х	Х	X					Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
U	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х	y u y d,e	Х	Х	Х		
	Х	Х	Х	Х	y u y d,e	Х								Х		
	Х	Х	Х	y u y d,e	Х	Х	Х	Х	y u y d,e	Х			Х	Х		
$\mathcal{O}^{(n)}$	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х				Х		
O_i	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х			Х		
	Х		Х	Х		Х		Х	X		Х					

X = no diagram X = cancels!

 $\mathcal{O}_i^{(\mathrm{r})}$

= cancels	5! 5!	Ć	$\mathcal{O}_{j}^{(\mathrm{h})}$.)			($\mathcal{O}_{j}^{(\overline{\mathrm{h}}}$	Ī)		$\mathcal{O}_{j}^{(\mathrm{n})}$					
		Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
(h)				Х	Х	Х	Х	hc	oloi	mo	rpł	nic	Х	Х		
$\mathcal{O}_i^{(11)}$					Х	Х	nŏr	n-re	eno	rm	aliz	zati	on	X		
Ŭ	Х	Х			Х	Х	X	Х	X	Х	YuYd,e	Х	Х	Х		
	Х					Х	X	Х	X	y u y d,e				Х		
	Х	Х	Х	X	X		X	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
$(\overline{\mathbf{h}})$	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
$\mathcal{O}_i^{(\Pi)}$	Х	Х	Х	X	X					Х	Х	Х	Х	X		
U	X	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	X			Х	y u y d,e	Х	Х	X		
	X	Х	Х	X	y u y d,e	Х								Х		
	Х	Х	Х	y u y d,e	Х	Х	X	Х	y u y d,e	Х			Х	Х		
$\mathcal{O}_i^{(\mathrm{n})}$	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х	X	Х				Х		
	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х		
	Х		Х	Х		Х		Х	Х		Х					

A critical clue for the underlying mechanism:

"impurities" in holomorphic $\sim y_u y_d, \ y_u y_e$ non-renormalization

which are spurions for holomorphy violation,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} \supset y_u qhu^c + y_d qh^{\dagger} d^c + y_e \ell h^{\dagger} e^c$$

With no obvious symmetry, Manohar et. al conjectured "hidden" holomorphy of the SM.

With no obvious symmetry, Manohar et. al conjectured "hidden" holomorphy of the SM.

As we will see, this is simply the result of:

i) unitarity

&

ii) helicity

With no obvious symmetry, Manohar et. al conjectured "hidden" holomorphy of the SM.

As we will see, this is simply the result of:

i) unitarity

&

ii) helicity (4D + on-shell)!

statement of new nonrenormalization theorems

We derive one-loop non-renormalization theorems for general 4D QFTs.

Our proof centers on a gauge invariant, field reparameterization invariant observable:

A = on-shell amplitude!

definition of amplitude weight

$$w(A) = n(A) - h(A) \quad \text{(weight)}$$

 $\overline{w}(A) = n(A) + h(A) \quad \text{(anti-weight)}$

$$definition of amplitude weight$$

$$w(A) = n(A) - h(A) \quad \text{(weight)}$$

$$\overline{w}(A) = n(A) + h(A) \quad \text{(anti-weight)}$$

$$\texttt{total number} \quad \texttt{total helicity} \quad \texttt{of particles} \quad \texttt{of particles}$$

 w, \overline{w} monotonically increase when tacking on new vectors, fermions, and scalars.



definition of operator weight $w(\mathcal{O}) = \min\{w(A)\} = n(\mathcal{O}) - h(\mathcal{O})$ $\overline{w}(\mathcal{O}) = \min\{\overline{w}(A)\} = n(\mathcal{O}) + h(\mathcal{O})$

definition of operator weight

$$w(\mathcal{O}) = \min\{w(A)\} = n(\mathcal{O}) - h(\mathcal{O})$$

 $\overline{w}(\mathcal{O}) = \min\{\overline{w}(A)\} = n(\mathcal{O}) + h(\mathcal{O})$
 \swarrow
marginalize
over all A \neq 0
involving O

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{definition of operator weight} \\ & w(\mathcal{O}) = \min\{w(A)\} = n(\mathcal{O}) - h(\mathcal{O}) \\ & \overline{w}(\mathcal{O}) = \min\{\overline{w}(A)\} = n(\mathcal{O}) + h(\mathcal{O}) \\ & \swarrow & \swarrow & \swarrow \\ & \text{marginalize} & \text{total number} & \text{total helicity} \\ & \text{of particles} & \text{created by O} & \text{created by O} \end{aligned}$$

field operator



dimension 6



new non-renormalization theorem

Leading irrelevant deformation of a 4D QFT with marginal interactions satisfies:

 \mathcal{O}_i cannot be renormalized by \mathcal{O}_j of greater weight or anti-weight.

 $\gamma_{ij} = 0$ if $w_i < w_j$ or $\overline{w}_i < \overline{w}_j$

dimension 6

dimension 5 $F^2 \phi \ F \psi^2$ $\psi^2 \phi^2$ 5 ϕ^5 $\bar{\psi}^2 \phi^2$ \overline{W} 3 ${\bar F}^2 \phi \\ {\bar F} {\bar \psi}^2$ 1 RG 3 5 1 w



proof of new nonrenormalization theorems

i) unitarity



No cut means no running.

ii) helicity



= 0 (on-shell, renormalizable)

Feynman diagrams exist, but vanish on-shell!

tree amplitudes

At renormalizable level, nearly every n-point tree amplitude A_n satisfies

$$w_n, \bar{w}_n \ge 4$$
 for $n \ge 4$

Weights monotonically increase, so it suffices to consider all $w_4 < 4$ amplitudes.

Most $w_4 = 1, 3$ amplitudes do not have any corresponding Feynman diagrams.

$$0 = A(F^{+}F^{+}F^{\pm}\phi) = A(F^{+}F^{+}\psi^{\pm}\psi^{\pm})$$

= $A(F^{+}F^{-}\psi^{+}\psi^{+}) = A(F^{+}\psi^{+}\psi^{-}\phi)$
= $A(\psi^{+}\psi^{+}\psi^{+}\psi^{-}).$

Most $w_4 = 0, 2$ amplitudes have Feynman diagrams but vanish on-shell.

$$0 = A(F^{+}F^{+}F^{+}F^{\pm}) = A(F^{+}F^{+}\psi^{+}\psi^{-})$$

= $A(F^{+}F^{+}\phi\phi) = A(F^{+}\psi^{+}\psi^{+}\phi).$

Lastly, we have three non-zero "exceptional" diagrams with $w_4 < 4$.



Lastly, we have three non-zero "exceptional" diagrams with $w_4 < 4$.



Lastly, we have three non-zero "exceptional" diagrams with $w_4 < 4$. \$\$ in SM \$

 $A(\psi^+\psi$ = 2) (w_4) $A(F^+\phi\,\phi\,\phi)$ $(w_4 = 3)$ not in SM $A(\psi$ $(w_4 = 3)$

In SM, exceptional diagram is generated...



... but not in the holomorphic 2HDM.



... but not in the holomorphic 2HDM.



Since weights cannot decrease from adding particles, we find that

$$w_n, \bar{w}_n \ge 4$$
 for $n \ge 4$

mod the exceptional amplitudes discussed.

Next, we consider one-loop amplitudes...

one-loop amplitudes





$$w_i = w_j + w_k - 4$$





Caveat: we've dropped 3-point contributions, which vanish in dim reg but are IR divergent.



So $c_{\rm UV} = c_{\rm IR}$, but we can show $c_{\rm IR} = 0$ since there aren't IR divergent real emission diagrams for $w_i < w_j$ or $\overline{w}_i < \overline{w}_j$. (back to) standard model effective field theory

X = no diag	gram		ý	,					_							
X = cancels	5!	Ć	${\cal O}^{({ m h})}_{i}$)	${\cal O}_i^{({ m h})}$							$\mathcal{O}_{i}^{(n)}$				
		Х	X	Х	х	Х	Х	X	X	Х	Х	Х	X	Х		
$(\mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{r}})$				Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
$\mathcal{O}_i^{(n)}$					Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
U	Х	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	y u y d,e	Х	Х	Х		
	Х					Х	Х	Х	X	Y u Y d,e				Х		
	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
$(\overline{\mathbf{h}})$	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
$\mathcal{O}_i^{(11)}$	Х	Х	Х	Х	X					Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
U	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х	y u y d,e	Х	Х	Х		
	Х	Х	Х	Х	y u y d,e	Х								Х		
	Х	Х	Х	y u y d,e	Х	Х	Х	Х	y u y d,e	Х			Х	Х		
$\mathcal{O}^{(n)}$	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х				Х		
O_i	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х			Х		
	Х		Х	Х		Х		Х	X		Х					

X = no diagram X = cancels!

 $\mathcal{O}_j^{(\mathrm{h})}$





		Х	Х	Х	Х			Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х
(\mathbf{h})				Х	Х	n	on-	rer	nor	ma	liza	tic	n <	X
$\mathcal{D}_i^{(\Pi)}$								ťh	eo	rĕn	nš		Х	X
U	Х	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	YuY d,e		Х	Х
	Х									y u y d,e				Х
			Х	Х	Х			Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х
$(\overline{\mathbf{h}})$	Х	$\sqrt{2}$.	X	6	Х				Х	X	Х			Х
$\mathcal{D}_i^{(\Pi)}$		ĬIJ	Х	X									Х	X
l	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х	y u y d,e		Х	Х
			X		YuYd,e	Х								X
		Х		Y u Y d,e	Х		Х		y u y d,e	Х			Х	Х
$\gamma^{(n)}$	Х (W_i	\langle	W.	; ×O	r× 7	\overline{W}_{i}	\langle	\overline{w}	i ×				X
\mathcal{I}_i		X		X	Х		X	Х	X	Х	Х			X
	Х		Х	Х		Х		Х	Х		Х			

X = no diagram X = cancels!

 $\mathcal{O}_j^{(\mathrm{h})}$





		Х	Х	Х	X			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
(\mathbf{h})				Х	Х	n	on-	rer	nor	ma	liza	ntio	n <	Х
$\mathcal{D}_i^{(\Pi)}$								ťh	eo	rĕn	nš		Х	X
U	Х	X			Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	YuYd,e		Х	Х
	Х									y u y d,e				Х
			Х	X	Х		Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х
$a(\overline{\mathbf{h}})$	Х	<u>, ∕×</u> .	X	6	Х				Х	X	1	×	X	Х
$\mathcal{D}_{i}^{(n)}$		ĬIJ	Х	X						Х	X	X	V	Х
U	X	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х	y u y d,e		Х	Х
			X		YuYd,e	Х								X
	Х	X		y u y d,e	Х	Х	Х	Х	y u y d,e	Х			Х	Х
$\gamma^{(n)}$	Х (w_i	\langle	w_{γ}	; ×O	r× 7	\overline{W}_i	<	\overline{w}	i ×				X
\mathcal{I}_i		X		X	Х		X	Х	X	X	Х			X
	Х		Х	Х		Х		Х	Х		Х			

What about higher loop order?



Helicity selection rules fail at finite one-loop.

Non-renormalization should fail at two-loop!

conclusions

• New non-renormalization theorems arise from unitarity and helicity in 4D QFTs.

• Fully explains curious zeros in the RG of the SM EFT, all without off-shell symmetry.

• Our proof strongly suggests that observed non-renormalization will fail at higher loop!

thank you!