Non-equilibrium dynamics in isolated many-particle quantum systems

Fabian Essler (Oxford)



Copenhagen, April 2015

A. Isolated quantum many-particle systems

New area, as these are difficult to realize in the lab.

Electronic degrees of freedom in solids?

coupled e.g. to phonons.



Probability in electronic subsystem not conserved; nonunitary time evolution felt after short times

> fundamentally open systems

Ultra-cold atomic gases





Nice properties:

- weak coupling to environment (essentially unitary time evolution on long time scales)
- control over Hamiltonian
- easy to study non-equilibrium physics



prepare the system in ground state of some H(h)

"quench" h, i.e. time-evolve with different H(h')

Quantum Quenches:

A. Consider a quantum many-particle system with Hamiltonian H(h)

B. Prepare the system in an initial density matrix ρ (0) that does not correspond to an eigenstate, e.g. $\rho(0) = |\Psi_0\rangle\langle\Psi_0|$ GS of H(h₀)

C. Time evolution ρ (t)= exp(-iH(h)t) ρ (0) exp(iH(h)t)

D. Calculate local observables $Tr[\rho(t) O(x)]$

Local Quantum Quenches

H(h) and $H(h_0)$ differ only in a small finite region



study propagation of a **local disturbance** e.g. via a 1-point function $\langle \psi(t) | \rho(x) | \psi(t) \rangle$

Injected a microscopic $O(L^0)$ amount of energy into the system.

real space probe of excitations over the ground state

Example: Local quench in the S=1/2 XXZ chain Ganahl, Rabel, Essler& Evertz, '12 $H(B) = J \sum_{j=1}^{L/2} S_{j}^{x} S_{j+1}^{x} + S_{j}^{y} S_{j+1}^{y} + \Delta S_{j}^{z} S_{j+1}^{z} + h S_{j}^{z}$ j = -L/2 $+B[S_{-1}^{z}+S_{0}^{z}+S_{1}^{z}]$ $B \gg J$ $|\Psi(0)\rangle = |GS| \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow GS\rangle$ $\langle \Psi(t)|S_{i}^{z}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ 0.4 0.2 20 disturbance e 40 0 appears to "spread" at a finite velocity. 60 -0.2 -0.4 80 80 100 20 60 40 position

Global Quantum Quenches

H(h) and H(h₀) differ everywhere



Shake up the entire system!

Here we have changed the system thermodynamically:

e.g.

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{1}{L} \langle \Psi_0 | H(h) | \Psi_0 \rangle \neq \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{1}{L} \langle \Psi_0 | H(h') | \Psi_0 \rangle$$

Example: transverse field Ising chain

Calabrese, Essler & Fagotti, '12



Late time behaviour

Given that we are considering an **isolated** system, it can never relax as a whole (\exists observables that remain time dependent)

But it relaxes locally (in space).



- Entire System: AUB
- Take A infinite, B finite
- Ask questions only about B:

Expectation values of local ops:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{\langle \Psi_t | \mathcal{O}_B | \Psi_t \rangle}{\langle \Psi_t | \Psi_t \rangle} = \text{const}$$

Generic systems (only E conserved)

Deutsch '91 Srednicki '94

Locally the stationary state is thermal:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{\langle \Psi_t | \mathcal{O}_B | \Psi_t \rangle}{\langle \Psi_t | \Psi_t \rangle} = \lim_{L \to \infty} \operatorname{tr} \left[\rho_G \mathcal{O}_B \right]$$

$$\rho_G = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta H(h)} \qquad e = \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{tr} \left[\rho_G H(h) \right]}{L} = \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{\langle \Psi(0) | H(h) | \Psi(0) \rangle}{L}$$

How is this possible?



all states in the middle of the spectrum look locally the same i.e. thermal! Systems with other conservation laws

 $[I_{m}, I_{n}]=0, I_{1}=H(h)$

Locally the stationary state is described by a Generalized Gibbs Ensemble:

Jaynes '57

Rigol, Dunjko, Yurosvki

& Olshanii '07

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{\langle \Psi_t | \mathcal{O}_B | \Psi_t \rangle}{\langle \Psi_t | \Psi_t \rangle} = \lim_{L \to \infty} \operatorname{tr} \left[\rho_{GGE} \mathcal{O}_B \right]$$

$$\rho_{GGE} = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\sum_{n} \lambda_{n} I_{n}}$$

$$e_{n} = \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{tr} \left[\rho_{GGE} I_{n} \right]}{L} = \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{\langle \Psi(0) | I_{n} | \Psi(0) \rangle}{L}$$

C. Causality and Lieb-Robinson bounds

We are dealing with non-relativistic QM \rightarrow no "speed of light" \rightarrow no a priori reason why measurements should display **causal structures**.

How do perturbations/correlations spread?

Consider some non-relativistic quantum spin system with **short-ranged** Hamiltonian H



Let $O_{A/B}$ be operators acting only in subsystem A/B and $O_A(t)=\exp(iHt) O_A \exp(-iHt)$. Then the following bound holds

$$\| [O_A(t), O_B(0)] \| \le c N_{min} \| O_A \| \| O_B \| \exp\left(-\frac{L - v|t|}{\xi}\right), \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Lieb \&} \\ \text{Robinson '72} \end{array}$$

$\| [O_A(t), O_B(0)] \| \le cN_{min} \| O_A \| \| O_B \| \exp \left(-\frac{L - v|t|}{\xi} \right),$

Lieb & Robinson '72

- RHS exponentially small until L≈vt → operators ≈ commute
- perturbation in A does not affect measurement in B
 significantly until at least vt for some v.





Tallies nicely with what we have seen for local quenches



Velocity: max group velocity of elementary excitations over the ground state of H (here max v_{spinon}).



Light cones in global quenches ?

Transverse field Ising chain order parameter 2-point function



Cold atom Experiments

Cheneau et al '12



$$\hat{H} = \sum_{j} \left\{ -J\left(\hat{a}_{j}^{\dagger} \,\hat{a}_{j+1} + \text{h.c.}\right) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{j}(\hat{n}_{j} - 1) \right\},\,$$

occupation parity 2-point function



quench $U_0/J=40 \rightarrow U/J=9$

2

5

6

6

velocity $u \, (Ja_{\text{lat}}/\hbar)$

0.0

b

distance *d*



This also follows from Lieb-Robinson bounds:



There is a kind of "speed limit" for "sizeable" connected correlations to emerge.

Quench creates quasiparticles at t=0, which start propagating with maximal velocity v

Operators at r_j get "hit" by quasiparticles from within the backwards light cone \rightarrow dephasing of 1-point fns



At $\mathbf{t}^{*=} |\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1|/(2\mathbf{v})$ the backwards light cones touch, and connected correlations develop



Correlations induced by entangled quasiparticle pairs.

The model:
$$H(\Delta) = J \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \left(S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y + \Delta S_i^z S_{i+1}^z \right).$$

$$\rho(t=0) = Z_{\beta}^{-1} \exp[-\beta H(\Delta_i)], \quad \beta = \frac{1}{k_{\rm B}T},$$

- \bullet Gibbs distribution for H($\Delta_{i})$ at temperature T
- time evolve with $H(\Delta)$

The observable:

1

The quench:

$$\mathcal{S}^{z}(j;t) = \langle S^{z}_{\frac{L}{2}}S^{z}_{\frac{L}{2}+j}\rangle - \langle S^{z}_{\frac{L}{2}}\rangle \langle S^{z}_{\frac{L}{2}+j}\rangle$$

•••••

L/2 j

Compute time evolution numerically using METTS (Minimally Entangled Typical Thermal States) MPS methods. White '09

Results for quenches $\Delta_i = 4 \longrightarrow \Delta = \cos(\pi/4)$



Nice light-cone effect.

Observation: Light-cone velocity **depends on initial state** (and not just final Hamiltonian):

Question: what properties of the initial state determine v?

Observation: good data collapse if we plot velocity as a function of final state energy $e_f = \frac{\text{Tr}[H(\Delta_f)\rho(t=0)]}{L}$.



How to understand these findings?

Well, the XXZ chain is integrable ...

 $[I_{m_{i}} I_{n}]=0, I_{1}=H(h), n=1,2,3,....$

$$e_n = \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{\langle \Psi(t) | I_n | \Psi(t) \rangle}{L}$$
 are fixed

maximize entropy with fixed $e_n \rightarrow macrostate \rho$

 \rightarrow microstate ("representative state") $|\Phi_s\rangle$ Caux& Essler '13

Main idea: light cone velocity determined by "excitations" over the representative state.



This sounds crazy, but let's look at a case we know:

Free theories: (think of a Fermi gas)

- single species, dispersion ε (p) $H = \sum_{p} \epsilon(p) \hat{n}(p)$
- ullet can describe $|\Phi_{ extsf{s}}
 angle$ by densities of particles and holes $ho^{p,h}(k)$

$$\rho^p(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} - \rho^h(k) = \frac{\langle \Phi_s | \hat{n}(k) | \Phi_s \rangle}{2\pi}$$

• specific "representative state" in large, finite volume

$$|\Phi_s\rangle_L = \prod_j c^{\dagger}(k_j)|0\rangle , \quad \rho^p(k_j) = \frac{1}{L(k_{j+1} - k_j)} , \quad k_j = \frac{2\pi n_j}{L}$$

- Excitations= particles and holes with energies $\pm \varepsilon$ (p)
- velocity= $\max_{p} | \varepsilon'(p) |$



Works analogously to the free case (but **much** more complicated, e.g. energies given in terms of infinite set of coupled nonlinear integral equations etc)

Crucial point: states in the "middle of the spectrum" can still be understood in terms of **stable** "excitations" due to integrability.

Comparison to numerical results

quenches
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta_i \longrightarrow \Delta = \cos(\pi/4) \\ \Delta_i \longrightarrow \Delta = 1/2 \end{array} \right\}$$
 for several T_i



Summary

- Non-equilibrium dynamics in isolated many-particle systems gives access to unexplored, interesting aspects of quantum theory.
- Rich structure in light-cones after both local & global quenches.



- A. Isolated many-particle quantum systems out of equilibrium.
- **B.** Theoretical protocols: local vs global "quantum quenches".
- **C.** Spreading of correlations & "approximate causality".
- **D.** Structure of the "light-cone" after global quenches.