# String interactions and integrability

Romuald A. Janik

Jagiellonian University Kraków

Z. Bajnok, RJ 1501.04533 Z. Bajnok, RJ 1512.01471

# Outline

#### Introduction and motivation

#### String Field Theory vertex

The conventional approach for noninteracting worldsheet theory What will change for an interacting worldsheet theory?

### Interlude: Form factors in an integrable quantum field theory

### Functional equations for the string vertex

What happens in  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ ? The kinematical  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  Neumann coefficient

**Conclusions & outlook** 

#### Key questions:

► Find the spectrum of conformal weights ≡ eigenvalues of the dilatation operator ≡ (anomalous) dimensions of operators

$$\langle O(0)O(x)\rangle = rac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}}$$

The dimensions are complicated functions of the coupling:

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta_0(\lambda)}_{planar} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N_c^2} \Delta_1(\lambda) + \dots}_{nonplanar} \qquad \text{where } \lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 \Lambda$$

▶ Find the OPE coefficients *C*<sub>ijk</sub> defined through

 $\langle O_i(x_1)O_j(x_2)O_k(x_3)\rangle = rac{C_{ijk}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}|x_1 - x_3|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}|x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}}$ 

#### Key questions:

▶ Find the spectrum of conformal weights
≡ eigenvalues of the dilatation operator
≡ (anomalous) dimensions of operators

$$\langle O(0)O(x)\rangle = rac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}}$$

The dimensions are complicated functions of the coupling:

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta_0(\lambda)}_{planar} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N_c^2} \Delta_1(\lambda) + \dots}_{nonplanar} \qquad \text{where } \lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 \Lambda$$

▶ Find the OPE coefficients *C*<sub>ijk</sub> defined through

 $\langle O_i(x_1)O_j(x_2)O_k(x_3)\rangle = rac{C_{ijk}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}|x_1 - x_3|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}|x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}}$ 

# Key questions:

▶ Find the spectrum of conformal weights
≡ eigenvalues of the dilatation operator
≡ (anomalous) dimensions of operators

$$\langle O(0)O(x)\rangle = rac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}}$$

The dimensions are complicated functions of the coupling:

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta_0(\lambda)}_{planar} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N_c^2} \Delta_1(\lambda) + \dots}_{nonplanar} \qquad \text{where } \lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 \Lambda$$

▶ Find the OPE coefficients *C*<sub>ijk</sub> defined through

 $\langle O_i(x_1)O_j(x_2)O_k(x_3)\rangle = \frac{C_{ijk}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}|x_1 - x_3|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}|x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}}$ 

# Key questions:

Find the spectrum of conformal weights

 $\equiv$  eigenvalues of the dilatation operator  $\equiv$  (anomalous) dimensions of operators

$$\langle O(0)O(x)\rangle = \frac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}}$$

The dimensions are complicated functions of the coupling:

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta_0(\lambda)}_{planar} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N_c^2} \Delta_1(\lambda) + \dots}_{nonplanar} \qquad \text{where } \lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2$$

▶ Find the OPE coefficients *C*<sub>ijk</sub> defined through

 $\langle O_i(x_1)O_j(x_2)O_k(x_3)\rangle = rac{C_{ijk}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}|x_1 - x_3|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}|x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}}$ 

### Key questions:

Find the spectrum of conformal weights
= eigenvalues of the dilatation operator

 $\equiv$  (anomalous) dimensions of operators

$$\langle O(0)O(x)\rangle = \frac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}}$$

The dimensions are complicated functions of the coupling:

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta_0(\lambda)}_{planar} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N_c^2} \Delta_1(\lambda) + \dots}_{nonplanar} \qquad \text{where}$$

where 
$$\lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 N_c$$

▶ Find the OPE coefficients *C*<sub>ijk</sub> defined through

 $\langle O_i(x_1)O_j(x_2)O_k(x_3)\rangle = \frac{C_{ijk}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}|x_1 - x_3|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}|x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}}$ 

### Key questions:

- Find the spectrum of conformal weights
  - $\equiv$  eigenvalues of the dilatation operator
  - $\equiv$  (anomalous) dimensions of operators

$$\langle O(0)O(x)\rangle = rac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}}$$

The dimensions are complicated functions of the coupling:

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta_0(\lambda)}_{planar} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N_c^2} \Delta_1(\lambda) + \dots}_{nonplanar}$$

where 
$$\lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 N_c$$

▶ Find the OPE coefficients C<sub>ijk</sub> defined through

 $\langle O_i(x_1)O_j(x_2)O_k(x_3)\rangle = rac{C_{ijk}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}|x_1 - x_3|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}|x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}}$ 

#### Key questions:

- Find the spectrum of conformal weights
  - $\equiv$  eigenvalues of the dilatation operator
  - $\equiv$  (anomalous) dimensions of operators

$$\langle O(0)O(x)\rangle = rac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}}$$

The dimensions are complicated functions of the coupling:

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta_0(\lambda)}_{planar} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N_c^2} \Delta_1(\lambda) + \dots}_{nonplanar}$$

where 
$$\lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 N_c$$

▶ Find the OPE coefficients C<sub>ijk</sub> defined through

 $\langle O_i(x_1)O_j(x_2)O_k(x_3)\rangle = \frac{C_{ijk}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}|x_1 - x_3|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}|x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}}$ 

#### Key questions:

- ► Find the spectrum of conformal weights
  - $\equiv$  eigenvalues of the dilatation operator
  - $\equiv$  (anomalous) dimensions of operators

$$\langle O(0)O(x)\rangle = rac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}}$$

The dimensions are complicated functions of the coupling:

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta_0(\lambda)}_{planar} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N_c^2} \Delta_1(\lambda) + \dots}_{nonplanar} \qquad \text{where } \lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 N_c$$

▶ Find the OPE coefficients C<sub>ijk</sub> defined through

 $\langle O_i(x_1)O_j(x_2)O_k(x_3)\rangle = rac{C_{ijk}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}|x_1 - x_3|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}|x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_i}}$ 

#### Key questions:

- ► Find the spectrum of conformal weights
  - $\equiv$  eigenvalues of the dilatation operator
  - $\equiv$  (anomalous) dimensions of operators

$$\langle O(0)O(x)\rangle = rac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}}$$

The dimensions are complicated functions of the coupling:

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta_0(\lambda)}_{planar} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N_c^2} \Delta_1(\lambda) + \dots}_{nonplanar} \qquad \text{where } \lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 N_c$$

▶ Find the OPE coefficients C<sub>ijk</sub> defined through

 $\langle O_i(x_1)O_j(x_2)O_k(x_3)\rangle = rac{C_{ijk}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}|x_1 - x_3|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}|x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_i}}$ 

# The AdS/CFT correspondence

 $\mathcal{N} = 4$  Super Yang-Mills theory

 $\equiv$  Superstrings on  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ 

# The AdS/CFT dictionary

- Operators in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM
- Single trace operators
- Multitrace operators
- Large *N<sub>c</sub>* limit
- Operator dimension
- Nonplanar corrections
- OPE coefficients

- (quantized) string states in  $AdS_5 imes S^5$
- → single string states
- $\rightarrow$  multistring states
- $\rightarrow$  suffices to consider single string states
- ightarrow Energy of a string state in  $\mathit{AdS}_5 imes S^5$
- $\sim$  string interactions
- $\sim$  string interactions

## The AdS/CFT correspondence

 $\mathcal{N} = 4$  Super Yang-Mills theory

# Superstrings on $AdS_5 \times S^5$

# The AdS/CFT dictionary

- Operators in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM  $\leftarrow$
- Single trace operators
- Multitrace operators
- Large *N<sub>c</sub>* limit
- Operator dimension
- Nonplanar corrections
- OPE coefficients

- (quantized) string states in  $AdS_5 imes S^5$
- $\rightarrow$  single string states

 $\equiv$ 

- $\rightarrow$  multistring states
- $\rightarrow$  suffices to consider single string states
- ightarrow Energy of a string state in  $AdS_5 imes S^5$
- $\sim$  string interactions
- $\sim$  string interactions

## The AdS/CFT correspondence

 $\mathcal{N} = 4$  Super Yang-Mills theory

Superstrings on  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ Ξ

# The AdS/CFT dictionary

- Single trace operators
- Multitrace operators
- Large  $N_c$  limit
- Operator dimension
- Nonplanar corrections
- **OPE** coefficients

- Operators in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM  $\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$  (quantized) string states in  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ 
  - $\leftrightarrow$  single string states
  - multistring states  $\longleftrightarrow$
  - suffices to consider single string states  $\longleftrightarrow$
  - Energy of a string state in  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    - string interactions  $\sim$
  - string interactions  $\sim$

1. Anomalous dimensions in the planar limit:

 $\equiv$  energy levels of a single string in  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  $\equiv$  energy levels of a specific 2D QFT on a cylinder

- 2. Nonplanar corrections to the dilatation operator or OPE coefficients:
  - $\equiv$  string interactions
  - $\equiv$  the specific 2D QFT on a string 'pants' topology:

#### 1. Anomalous dimensions in the planar limit:

 $\equiv$  energy levels of a single string in  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  $\equiv$  energy levels of a specific 2D QFT on a cylinder

- 2. Nonplanar corrections to the dilatation operator or OPE coefficients:
  - $\equiv$  string interactions
  - $\equiv$  the specific 2D QFT on a string 'pants' topology:

1. Anomalous dimensions in the planar limit:

≡ energy levels of a single string in  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ ≡ energy levels of a specific 2D QFT on a cylinder

- 2. Nonplanar corrections to the dilatation operator or OPE coefficients:
  - $\equiv$  string interactions
  - $\equiv$  the specific 2D QFT on a string 'pants' topology:

#### 1. Anomalous dimensions in the planar limit:

 $\equiv$  energy levels of a single string in  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  $\equiv$  energy levels of a specific 2D QFT on a cylinder



- 2. Nonplanar corrections to the dilatation operator or OPE coefficients:
  - $\equiv$  string interactions
  - $\equiv$  the specific 2D QFT on a string 'pants' topology:

#### 1. Anomalous dimensions in the planar limit:

 $\equiv$  energy levels of a single string in  $AdS_5\times S^5$   $\equiv$  energy levels of a specific 2D QFT on a cylinder



2. Nonplanar corrections to the dilatation operator or OPE coefficients:

 $\equiv$  string interactions  $\equiv$  the specific 2D QFT on a string 'pants' topology

#### 1. Anomalous dimensions in the planar limit:

 $\equiv$  energy levels of a single string in  $AdS_5\times S^5$   $\equiv$  energy levels of a specific 2D QFT on a cylinder



- 2. Nonplanar corrections to the dilatation operator or OPE coefficients:
  - $\equiv$  string interactions
  - $\equiv$  the specific 2D QFT on a string 'pants' topology:

#### 1. Anomalous dimensions in the planar limit:

 $\equiv$  energy levels of a single string in  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  $\equiv$  energy levels of a specific 2D QFT on a cylinder



- 2. Nonplanar corrections to the dilatation operator or OPE coefficients:
  - $\equiv$  string interactions
  - $\equiv$  the specific 2D QFT on a string 'pants' topology:



#### 1. Anomalous dimensions in the planar limit:

 $\equiv$  energy levels of a single string in  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  $\equiv$  energy levels of a specific 2D QFT on a cylinder



- 2. Nonplanar corrections to the dilatation operator or OPE coefficients:
  - $\equiv$  string interactions
  - $\equiv$  the specific 2D QFT on a string 'pants' topology:



- ▶ We have a very good understanding of the spectrum of a string on AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup>
- This is due to the integrability of the worldsheet theory

- How to describe string interactions for a generic integrable worldsheet theory
- Previously we knew how to proceed only for a free worldsheet theory
  - massless free bosons and fermions in the case of flat spacetime
  - massive free bosons and fermions in the case of pp-wave background geometry

We have a very good understanding of the spectrum of a string on AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup>

 This is due to the integrability of the worldsheet theory

- How to describe string interactions for a generic integrable worldsheet theory
- Previously we knew how to proceed only for a free worldsheet theory
  - massless free bosons and fermions in the case of flat spacetime
  - massive free bosons and fermions in the case of pp-wave background geometry



- We have a very good understanding of the spectrum of a string on AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup>
- This is due to the integrability of the worldsheet theory

- How to describe string interactions for a generic integrable worldsheet theory
- Previously we knew how to proceed only for a free worldsheet theory
  - massless free bosons and fermions in the case of flat spacetime
  - massive free bosons and fermions in the case of pp-wave background geometry



- We have a very good understanding of the spectrum of a string on AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup>
- This is due to the integrability of the worldsheet theory

- How to describe string interactions for a generic integrable worldsheet theory
- Previously we knew how to proceed only for a free worldsheet theory
  - massless free bosons and fermions in the case of flat spacetime
  - massive free bosons and fermions in the case of pp-wave background geometry



- We have a very good understanding of the spectrum of a string on AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup>
- This is due to the integrability of the worldsheet theory

- How to describe string interactions for a generic integrable worldsheet theory
- Previously we knew how to proceed only for a free worldsheet theory
  - massless free bosons and fermions in the case of flat spacetime
  - massive free bosons and fermions in the case of pp-wave background geometry





- We have a very good understanding of the spectrum of a string on AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup>
- This is due to the integrability of the worldsheet theory

- How to describe string interactions for a generic integrable worldsheet theory
- Previously we knew how to proceed only for a free worldsheet theory
  - massless free bosons and fermions in the case of flat spacetime
  - massive free bosons and fermions in the case of pp-wave background geometry





- We have a very good understanding of the spectrum of a string on AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup>
- This is due to the integrability of the worldsheet theory

- How to describe string interactions for a generic integrable worldsheet theory
- Previously we knew how to proceed only for a free worldsheet theory
  - massless free bosons and fermions in the case of flat spacetime
  - massive free bosons and fermions in the case of pp-wave background geometry





- We have a very good understanding of the spectrum of a string on AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup>
- This is due to the integrability of the worldsheet theory

- How to describe string interactions for a generic integrable worldsheet theory
- Previously we knew how to proceed only for a free worldsheet theory
  - massless free bosons and fermions in the case of flat spacetime
  - massive free bosons and fermions in the case of pp-wave background geometry





- We have a very good understanding of the spectrum of a string on AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup>
- This is due to the integrability of the worldsheet theory

- How to describe string interactions for a generic integrable worldsheet theory
- Previously we knew how to proceed only for a free worldsheet theory
  - massless free bosons and fermions in the case of flat spacetime
  - massive free bosons and fermions in the case of pp-wave background geometry





Standard approach to the light cone String Field Theory vertex...



String Field Theory vertex describes the splitting/joining of 3 strings

### **Comments:**

- **1.** In light cone gauge, the lengths of the strings are directly proportional to some conserved charges of the theory
- 2. They always have to add up by charge conservation

$$L_3 = L_1 + L_2$$

3. In typical applications to  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ /pp-wave, the lengths are directly the R-charges w.r.t.  $U(1) \subset SO(6)$ 

$$J_3 = J_1 + J_2$$



# String Field Theory vertex describes the splitting/joining of 3 strings

## **Comments:**

- **1.** In light cone gauge, the lengths of the strings are directly proportional to some conserved charges of the theory
- 2. They always have to add up by charge conservation

$$L_3 = L_1 + L_2$$

3. In typical applications to  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ /pp-wave, the lengths are directly the R-charges w.r.t.  $U(1) \subset SO(6)$ 

$$J_3 = J_1 + J_2$$



# String Field Theory vertex describes the splitting/joining of 3 strings

# **Comments:**

- 1. In light cone gauge, the lengths of the strings are directly proportional to some conserved charges of the theory
- 2. They always have to add up by charge conservation

$$L_3 = L_1 + L_2$$

**3.** In typical applications to  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ /pp-wave, the lengths are directly the R-charges w.r.t.  $U(1) \subset SO(6)$ 

$$J_3 = J_1 + J_2$$



String Field Theory vertex describes the splitting/joining of 3 strings

# **Comments:**

- 1. In light cone gauge, the lengths of the strings are directly proportional to some conserved charges of the theory
- 2. They always have to add up by charge conservation

$$L_3 = L_1 + L_2$$

**3.** In typical applications to  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ /pp-wave, the lengths are directly the R-charges w.r.t.  $U(1) \subset SO(6)$ 

$$J_3 = J_1 + J_2$$
Light-cone String Field Theory Vertex



String Field Theory vertex describes the splitting/joining of 3 strings

# **Comments:**

- 1. In light cone gauge, the lengths of the strings are directly proportional to some conserved charges of the theory
- 2. They always have to add up by charge conservation

$$L_3 = L_1 + L_2$$

 In typical applications to AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup>/pp-wave, the lengths are directly the R-charges w.r.t. U(1)⊂SO(6)

$$J_3 = J_1 + J_2$$

(these are not spin-chain lengths!)

Light-cone String Field Theory Vertex



String Field Theory vertex describes the splitting/joining of 3 strings

# **Comments:**

- 1. In light cone gauge, the lengths of the strings are directly proportional to some conserved charges of the theory
- 2. They always have to add up by charge conservation

$$L_3 = L_1 + L_2$$

3. In typical applications to  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ /pp-wave, the lengths are directly the R-charges w.r.t.  $U(1) \subset SO(6)$ 

$$J_3 = J_1 + J_2$$

(these are not spin-chain lengths!)



- ▶ pp-wave SFT vertex  $\equiv$  free massive boson  $\phi$  (or fermion) on this geometry
- ► Express the scalar field in terms of separate creation and anihilation operators a<sup>+(r)</sup><sub>k</sub> and a<sup>(r)</sup><sub>k</sub> in each string r = 1, 2, 3
- ▶ and the relevant modes are  $\cos \frac{2\pi k}{L_r}$  and  $\sin \frac{2\pi k}{L_r}$
- impose continuity conditions for  $\phi$  and  $\Pi \equiv \partial_t \phi$

looks like an inherently finite-volume computation...



- pp-wave SFT vertex ≡ free massive boson φ (or fermion) on this geometry
- ► Express the scalar field in terms of separate creation and anihilation operators a<sup>+(r)</sup><sub>k</sub> and a<sup>(r)</sup><sub>k</sub> in each string r = 1, 2, 3
- ▶ and the relevant modes are  $\cos \frac{2\pi k}{L_r}$  and  $\sin \frac{2\pi k}{L_r}$
- impose continuity conditions for  $\phi$  and  $\Pi \equiv \partial_t \phi$

looks like an inherently finite-volume computation...



▶ pp-wave SFT vertex  $\equiv$  free massive boson  $\phi$  (or fermion) on this geometry

- Express the scalar field in terms of separate creation and anihilation operators a<sup>+(r)</sup><sub>k</sub> and a<sup>(r)</sup><sub>k</sub> in each string r = 1, 2, 3
- ▶ and the relevant modes are  $\cos \frac{2\pi k}{L_r}$  and  $\sin \frac{2\pi k}{L_r}$
- impose continuity conditions for  $\phi$  and  $\Pi \equiv \partial_t \phi$

looks like an inherently finite-volume computation...



- pp-wave SFT vertex ≡ free massive boson φ (or fermion) on this geometry
- Express the scalar field in terms of separate creation and anihilation operators a<sub>k</sub><sup>+(r)</sup> and a<sub>k</sub><sup>(r)</sup> in each string r = 1, 2, 3
- and the relevant modes are  $\cos \frac{2\pi k}{L}$  and  $\sin \frac{2\pi k}{L}$
- impose continuity conditions for  $\phi$  and  $\Pi \equiv \partial_t \phi$

looks like an inherently finite-volume computation...



- pp-wave SFT vertex ≡ free massive boson φ (or fermion) on this geometry
- Express the scalar field in terms of separate creation and anihilation operators a<sub>k</sub><sup>+(r)</sup> and a<sub>k</sub><sup>(r)</sup> in each string r = 1, 2, 3
- ▶ and the relevant modes are  $\cos \frac{2\pi k}{L_r}$  and  $\sin \frac{2\pi k}{L_r}$
- impose continuity conditions for  $\phi$  and  $\Pi \equiv \partial_t \phi$

looks like an inherently finite-volume computation...



- pp-wave SFT vertex ≡ free massive boson φ (or fermion) on this geometry
- ► Express the scalar field in terms of separate creation and anihilation operators a<sup>+(r)</sup><sub>k</sub> and a<sup>(r)</sup><sub>k</sub> in each string r = 1, 2, 3
- ▶ and the relevant modes are  $\cos \frac{2\pi k}{L_r}$  and  $\sin \frac{2\pi k}{L_r}$
- impose continuity conditions for  $\phi$  and  $\Pi \equiv \partial_t \phi$

looks like an inherently finite-volume computation...



- pp-wave SFT vertex ≡ free massive boson φ (or fermion) on this geometry
- ► Express the scalar field in terms of separate creation and anihilation operators a<sup>+(r)</sup><sub>k</sub> and a<sup>(r)</sup><sub>k</sub> in each string r = 1, 2, 3
- ▶ and the relevant modes are  $\cos \frac{2\pi k}{L_r}$  and  $\sin \frac{2\pi k}{L_r}$
- impose continuity conditions for  $\phi$  and  $\Pi \equiv \partial_t \phi$

looks like an inherently finite-volume computation...



- pp-wave SFT vertex ≡ free massive boson φ (or fermion) on this geometry
- Express the scalar field in terms of separate creation and anihilation operators a<sub>k</sub><sup>+(r)</sup> and a<sub>k</sub><sup>(r)</sup> in each string r = 1, 2, 3
- ▶ and the relevant modes are  $\cos \frac{2\pi k}{L_r}$  and  $\sin \frac{2\pi k}{L_r}$
- impose continuity conditions for  $\phi$  and  $\Pi \equiv \partial_t \phi$

looks like an inherently finite-volume computation...

Continuity conditions yield linear relations between creation and annihilation operators of the three strings:

▶ Implement these relations as operator equations acting on a state  $|V\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3$  — the SFT vertex

The state has the form

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

Continuity conditions yield linear relations between creation and annihilation operators of the three strings:

$$\sum_{r=1}^{3} \frac{X_{nm}^{r}}{\sqrt{\omega_{m}^{r}}} \left( a_{m}^{+(r)} - a_{m}^{(r)} \right) = 0 \quad \sum_{r=1}^{3} s_{r} X_{nm}^{r} \sqrt{\omega_{m}^{r}} \left( a_{m}^{+(r)} + a_{m}^{(r)} \right) = 0$$

- ▶ Implement these relations as operator equations acting on a state  $|V\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3$  the SFT vertex
- The state has the form

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

Continuity conditions yield linear relations between creation and annihilation operators of the three strings:

$$\sum_{r=1}^{3} \frac{X_{nm}^{r}}{\sqrt{\omega_{m}^{r}}} \left( a_{m}^{+(r)} - a_{m}^{(r)} \right) |V\rangle = 0 \quad \sum_{r=1}^{3} s_{r} X_{nm}^{r} \sqrt{\omega_{m}^{r}} \left( a_{m}^{+(r)} + a_{m}^{(r)} \right) |V\rangle = 0$$

▶ Implement these relations as operator equations acting on a state  $|V\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3$  — the SFT vertex

The state has the form

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

Continuity conditions yield linear relations between creation and annihilation operators of the three strings:

$$\sum_{r=1}^{3} \frac{X_{nm}^{r}}{\sqrt{\omega_{m}^{r}}} \left( a_{m}^{+(r)} - a_{m}^{(r)} \right) |V\rangle = 0 \quad \sum_{r=1}^{3} s_{r} X_{nm}^{r} \sqrt{\omega_{m}^{r}} \left( a_{m}^{+(r)} + a_{m}^{(r)} \right) |V\rangle = 0$$

- ▶ Implement these relations as operator equations acting on a state  $|V\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3$  the SFT vertex
- The state has the form

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

- The Neumann coefficient N<sup>rs</sup><sub>nm</sub> has the interpretation of a SFT amplitude/matrix element involving only 2 particles
- Obtaining the Neumann matrices is surprisingly nontrivial as it involves inverting an infinite-dimensional matrix

He, Schwarz, Spradlin, Volovich → Lucietti, Schafer-Nameki, Sinha

$$\Gamma_{\mu}(z) = \frac{e^{-\gamma\sqrt{z^2 + \mu^2}}}{z} \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{\sqrt{n^2 + \mu^2} + \sqrt{z^2 + \mu^2}} e^{\frac{\sqrt{z^2 + \mu^2}}{n}}$$

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

 The Neumann coefficient N<sup>rs</sup><sub>nm</sub> has the interpretation of a SFT amplitude/matrix element involving only 2 particles

 Obtaining the Neumann matrices is surprisingly nontrivial as it involves inverting an infinite-dimensional matrix

> He, Schwarz, Spradlin, Volovich → Lucietti, Schafer-Nameki, Sinha

$$\Gamma_{\mu}(z) = \frac{e^{-\gamma\sqrt{z^2 + \mu^2}}}{z} \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{\sqrt{n^2 + \mu^2} + \sqrt{z^2 + \mu^2}} e^{\frac{\sqrt{z^2 + \mu^2}}{n}}$$

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r,s=1}^{3}\sum_{n,m}N_{nm}^{rs}a_{n}^{+(r)}a_{m}^{+(s)}\right\}|0\rangle$$

- The Neumann coefficient N<sup>rs</sup><sub>nm</sub> has the interpretation of a SFT amplitude/matrix element involving only 2 particles
- Obtaining the Neumann matrices is surprisingly nontrivial as it involves inverting an infinite-dimensional matrix

He, Schwarz, Spradlin, Volovich → Lucietti, Schafer-Nameki, Sinha

$$\Gamma_{\mu}(z) = \frac{e^{-\gamma\sqrt{z^2 + \mu^2}}}{z} \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{\sqrt{n^2 + \mu^2} + \sqrt{z^2 + \mu^2}} e^{\frac{\sqrt{z^2 + \mu^2}}{n}}$$

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r,s=1}^{3}\sum_{n,m}N_{nm}^{rs}a_{n}^{+(r)}a_{m}^{+(s)}\right\}|0\rangle$$

- The Neumann coefficient N<sup>rs</sup><sub>nm</sub> has the interpretation of a SFT amplitude/matrix element involving only 2 particles
- Obtaining the Neumann matrices is surprisingly nontrivial as it involves inverting an infinite-dimensional matrix

He, Schwarz, Spradlin, Volovich → Lucietti, Schafer-Nameki, Sinha

$$\Gamma_{\mu}(z) = \frac{e^{-\gamma\sqrt{z^2 + \mu^2}}}{z} \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{\sqrt{n^2 + \mu^2} + \sqrt{z^2 + \mu^2}} e^{\frac{\sqrt{z^2 + \mu^2}}{n}}$$

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r,s=1}^{3}\sum_{n,m}N_{nm}^{rs}a_{n}^{+(r)}a_{m}^{+(s)}\right\}|0\rangle$$

- The Neumann coefficient N<sup>rs</sup><sub>nm</sub> has the interpretation of a SFT amplitude/matrix element involving only 2 particles
- Obtaining the Neumann matrices is surprisingly nontrivial as it involves inverting an infinite-dimensional matrix

He, Schwarz, Spradlin, Volovich → Lucietti, Schafer-Nameki, Sinha

$$\Gamma_{\mu}(z) = \frac{e^{-\gamma\sqrt{z^{2}+\mu^{2}}}}{z} \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{\sqrt{n^{2}+\mu^{2}} + \sqrt{z^{2}+\mu^{2}}} e^{\frac{\sqrt{z^{2}+\mu^{2}}}{n}}$$

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

 Once the Neumann coefficients are fixed, it remains to fix the (*Prefactor*), which was a (quadratic) polynommial in creation and anihilation operators

This is done by imposing target space supersymmetry algebra very long story in the pp-wave case...

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

- Once the Neumann coefficients are fixed, it remains to fix the (*Prefactor*), which was a (quadratic) polynommial in creation and anihilation operators
- This is done by imposing target space supersymmetry algebra very long story in the pp-wave case...

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

- Once the Neumann coefficients are fixed, it remains to fix the (*Prefactor*), which was a (quadratic) polynommial in creation and anihilation operators
- This is done by imposing target space supersymmetry algebra very long story in the pp-wave case

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

- Once the Neumann coefficients are fixed, it remains to fix the (*Prefactor*), which was a (quadratic) polynommial in creation and anihilation operators
- This is done by imposing target space supersymmetry algebra very long story in the pp-wave case...

**Our goal:** Concentrate on defining (and constructing) the string field theory vertex for a generic integrable worldsheet theory

- ▶ We no longer have any mode expansions at our disposal...
- Even if we had, inverting the infinite dimensional matrices would be hopeless...
- It is extremely difficult to work directly in finite volume even the single string spectrum is given only implicitly in terms of Bethe Ansatz equations...
- ▶ We do **not** expect the exponential structure to hold...

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

$$\mathbf{N}_{L_3|L_2;L_1}^{3|2;1}\left(p_1,\ldots,p_n \mid p_1',\ldots,p_m'; p_1'',\ldots,p_l''
ight)$$

- In particular no separation between the (*Prefactor*) and the Neumann coefficient part...
- ▶ We will still refer to an amplitude with only two particles as Neumann coefficient... e.g. N<sup>33</sup><sub>nm</sub> = N<sup>3|2;1</sup><sub>L3|L3:L1</sub> (p<sub>n</sub>, p<sub>m</sub> | ∅; ∅)

- We no longer have any mode expansions at our disposal...
- Even if we had, inverting the infinite dimensional matrices would be hopeless...
- It is extremely difficult to work directly in finite volume even the single string spectrum is given only implicitly in terms of Bethe Ansatz equations...
- ▶ We do **not** expect the exponential structure to hold...

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

$$\mathbf{N}_{L_3|L_2;L_1}^{3|2;1}\left(p_1,\ldots,p_n \mid p_1',\ldots,p_m'; p_1'',\ldots,p_l''
ight)$$

- In particular no separation between the (*Prefactor*) and the Neumann coefficient part...
- ▶ We will still refer to an amplitude with only two particles as Neumann coefficient... e.g. N<sup>33</sup><sub>nm</sub> = N<sup>3|2;1</sup><sub>L3|L3:L1</sub> (p<sub>n</sub>, p<sub>m</sub> | ∅; ∅)

- ▶ We no longer have any mode expansions at our disposal...
- Even if we had, inverting the infinite dimensional matrices would be hopeless...
- It is extremely difficult to work directly in finite volume even the single string spectrum is given only implicitly in terms of Bethe Ansatz equations...
- We do **not** expect the exponential structure to hold...

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

$$\mathbf{N}_{L_3|L_2;L_1}^{3|2;1}\left(p_1,\ldots,p_n \mid p_1',\ldots,p_m'; p_1'',\ldots,p_l''
ight)$$

- In particular no separation between the (*Prefactor*) and the Neumann coefficient part...
- ▶ We will still refer to an amplitude with only two particles as Neumann coefficient... e.g. N<sup>33</sup><sub>nm</sub> = N<sup>3|2;1</sup><sub>L3|L3:L1</sub> (p<sub>n</sub>, p<sub>m</sub> | ∅; ∅)

- ▶ We no longer have any mode expansions at our disposal...
- Even if we had, inverting the infinite dimensional matrices would be hopeless...
- It is extremely difficult to work directly in finite volume even the single string spectrum is given only implicitly in terms of Bethe Ansatz equations...
- We do **not** expect the exponential structure to hold...

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

$$\mathbf{N}_{L_3|L_2;L_1}^{3|2;1}\left(p_1,\ldots,p_n \mid p_1',\ldots,p_m'; p_1'',\ldots,p_l''
ight)$$

- In particular no separation between the (*Prefactor*) and the Neumann coefficient part...
- ▶ We will still refer to an amplitude with only two particles as Neumann coefficient... e.g. N<sup>33</sup><sub>nm</sub> = N<sup>3|2;1</sup><sub>L3|L3:L1</sub> (p<sub>n</sub>, p<sub>m</sub> | ∅; ∅)

- ▶ We no longer have any mode expansions at our disposal...
- Even if we had, inverting the infinite dimensional matrices would be hopeless...
- It is extremely difficult to work directly in finite volume even the single string spectrum is given only implicitly in terms of Bethe Ansatz equations...
- We do **not** expect the exponential structure to hold...

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

$$\mathsf{N}_{L_3|L_2;L_1}^{3|2;1}\left(p_1,\ldots,p_n \mid p_1',\ldots,p_m'; p_1'',\ldots,p_l''\right)$$

- In particular no separation between the (*Prefactor*) and the Neumann coefficient part...
- ▶ We will still refer to an amplitude with only two particles as Neumann coefficient... e.g. N<sup>33</sup><sub>nm</sub> = N<sup>3|2;1</sup><sub>L3|L3:L1</sub> (p<sub>n</sub>, p<sub>m</sub> | ∅; ∅)

- ▶ We no longer have any mode expansions at our disposal...
- Even if we had, inverting the infinite dimensional matrices would be hopeless...
- It is extremely difficult to work directly in finite volume even the single string spectrum is given only implicitly in terms of Bethe Ansatz equations...
- We do not expect the exponential structure to hold...

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

$$\mathbf{N}_{L_{3}|L_{2};L_{1}}^{3|2;1}\left(p_{1},\ldots,p_{n}\mid p_{1}',\ldots,p_{m}'; p_{1}'',\ldots,p_{l}''\right)$$

- In particular no separation between the (*Prefactor*) and the Neumann coefficient part...
- ▶ We will still refer to an amplitude with only two particles as Neumann coefficient... e.g. N<sup>33</sup><sub>nm</sub> = N<sup>3|2;1</sup><sub>L3|L3:L1</sub> (p<sub>n</sub>, p<sub>m</sub> | ∅; ∅)

- ▶ We no longer have any mode expansions at our disposal...
- Even if we had, inverting the infinite dimensional matrices would be hopeless...
- It is extremely difficult to work directly in finite volume even the single string spectrum is given only implicitly in terms of Bethe Ansatz equations...
- We do not expect the exponential structure to hold...

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

$$\mathbf{N}_{L_3|L_2;L_1}^{3|2;1}\left(p_1,\ldots,p_n \mid p_1',\ldots,p_m'; p_1'',\ldots,p_l''\right)$$

- In particular no separation between the (*Prefactor*) and the Neumann coefficient part...
- ▶ We will still refer to an amplitude with only two particles as Neumann coefficient... e.g. N<sup>33</sup><sub>nm</sub> = N<sup>3|2;1</sup><sub>L3|L2;L1</sub> (p<sub>n</sub>, p<sub>m</sub> | ∅; ∅)

- ▶ We no longer have any mode expansions at our disposal...
- Even if we had, inverting the infinite dimensional matrices would be hopeless...
- It is extremely difficult to work directly in finite volume even the single string spectrum is given only implicitly in terms of Bethe Ansatz equations...
- We do not expect the exponential structure to hold...

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

$$\mathbf{N}_{L_{3}|L_{2};L_{1}}^{3|2;1}\left(p_{1},\ldots,p_{n}\mid p_{1}',\ldots,p_{m}'; p_{1}'',\ldots,p_{l}''
ight)$$

- In particular no separation between the (*Prefactor*) and the Neumann coefficient part...
- ▶ We will still refer to an amplitude with only two particles as Neumann coefficient... e.g. N<sup>33</sup><sub>nm</sub> = N<sup>3|2;1</sup><sub>L3|L3:L1</sub> (p<sub>n</sub>, p<sub>m</sub> | ∅; ∅)

- ▶ We no longer have any mode expansions at our disposal...
- Even if we had, inverting the infinite dimensional matrices would be hopeless...
- It is extremely difficult to work directly in finite volume even the single string spectrum is given only implicitly in terms of Bethe Ansatz equations...
- We do not expect the exponential structure to hold...

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

$$\mathbf{N}_{L_{3}|L_{2};L_{1}}^{3|2;1}\left(p_{1},\ldots,p_{n}\mid p_{1}',\ldots,p_{m}'; p_{1}'',\ldots,p_{l}''\right)$$

- In particular no separation between the (*Prefactor*) and the Neumann coefficient part...
- ▶ We will still refer to an amplitude with only two particles as Neumann coefficient... e.g. N<sup>33</sup><sub>nm</sub> = N<sup>3|2:1</sup><sub>lobbl</sub> (p<sub>n</sub>, p<sub>m</sub> | ∅; ∅)

- ▶ We no longer have any mode expansions at our disposal...
- Even if we had, inverting the infinite dimensional matrices would be hopeless...
- It is extremely difficult to work directly in finite volume even the single string spectrum is given only implicitly in terms of Bethe Ansatz equations...
- We do not expect the exponential structure to hold...

$$|V\rangle = (Prefactor) \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s=1}^{3} \sum_{n,m} N_{nm}^{rs} a_n^{+(r)} a_m^{+(s)}\right\} |0\rangle$$

$$\mathbf{N}_{L_{3}|L_{2};L_{1}}^{3|2;1}\left(p_{1},\ldots,p_{n}\mid p_{1}',\ldots,p_{m}'; p_{1}'',\ldots,p_{l}''\right)$$

- In particular no separation between the (*Prefactor*) and the Neumann coefficient part...
- ▶ We will still refer to an amplitude with only two particles as Neumann coefficient... e.g. N<sup>33</sup><sub>nm</sub> = N<sup>3|2;1</sup><sub>L<sub>3</sub>|L<sub>2</sub>;L<sub>1</sub></sub> (p<sub>n</sub>, p<sub>m</sub> | ∅; ∅)

Interlude: Form factors in an integrable quantum field theory

### Form factors



► Form factors are expectation values of a local operator sandwiched between specific multiparticle *in* and *out* states  $p_k = m \sinh \theta$ 

Form factors in infinite volume (on an infinite plane) satisfy a concrete set of functional equations

 $\begin{aligned} f(\theta_1, \theta_2) &= S(\theta_1, \theta_2) f(\theta_2, \theta_1) \\ f(\theta_1, \theta_2) &= f(\theta_2, \theta_1 - 2\pi i) \\ -i \operatorname{res}_{\theta'=\theta} f_{n+2}(\theta', \theta + i\pi, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = (1 - \prod_i S(\theta, \theta_i)) f_n(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \end{aligned}$ Solutions explicitly known for numerous relativistic integrable QFT's


Form factors are expectation values of a local operator sandwiched between specific multiparticle *in* and *out* states *p<sub>k</sub>* = *m* sinh *i* 

 Form factors in infinite volume (on an infinite plane) satisfy a concrete set of functional equations



Form factors are expectation values of a local operator sandwiched between specific multiparticle *in* and *out* states p<sub>k</sub> = m sinh θ

$$_{out}\langle heta_{1}^{\prime},\ldots, heta_{m}^{\prime}|\mathcal{O}\left(0
ight)| heta_{1},\ldots, heta_{k}
angle _{in}$$

 Form factors in infinite volume (on an infinite plane) satisfy a concrete set of functional equations



Form factors are expectation values of a local operator sandwiched between specific multiparticle *in* and *out* states p<sub>k</sub> = m sinh θ

# $_{out} \langle \varnothing | \mathcal{O}(0) | \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \rangle_{in} \equiv f(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$

 Form factors in infinite volume (on an infinite plane) satisfy a concrete set of functional equations



Form factors are expectation values of a local operator sandwiched between specific multiparticle *in* and *out* states p<sub>k</sub> = m sinh θ

$$_{out}\langle \varnothing | \mathcal{O}(0) | \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \rangle_{in} \equiv f(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$$

 Form factors in infinite volume (on an infinite plane) satisfy a concrete set of functional equations



Form factors are expectation values of a local operator sandwiched between specific multiparticle *in* and *out* states p<sub>k</sub> = m sinh θ

$$_{out}\langle \varnothing | \mathcal{O}(0) | \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \rangle_{in} \equiv f(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$$

 Form factors in infinite volume (on an infinite plane) satisfy a concrete set of functional equations



Form factors are expectation values of a local operator sandwiched between specific multiparticle *in* and *out* states p<sub>k</sub> = m sinh θ

$$_{out}\langle \varnothing | \mathcal{O}(0) | \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \rangle_{in} \equiv f(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$$

 Form factors in infinite volume (on an infinite plane) satisfy a concrete set of functional equations

# **Comments:**

- ► In order to formulate the axioms it was crucial to be in infinite volume → analyticity and cossing
- The form factor axioms do not depend at all on the specific local operator inserted...
- They have numerous solutions for each local operator in the theory...

### Finite volume $\equiv$ form factors on a cylinder

▶ Up to wrapping corrections (~ e<sup>-mL</sup>), very simple way to pass to finite volume (cylinder of circumference L): Pozsgay, Takacs

$$egin{aligned} &\langle arnothing | \mathcal{O}\left(0
ight) | heta_{1}, heta_{2} 
angle_{L} = rac{1}{\sqrt{
ho_{2} \cdot S( heta_{1}, heta_{2})}} \cdot f( heta_{1}, heta_{2}) \end{aligned}$$

# **Comments:**

- ► In order to formulate the axioms it was crucial to be in infinite volume → analyticity and cossing
- The form factor axioms do not depend at all on the specific local operator inserted...
- They have numerous solutions for each local operator in the theory...

### Finite volume $\equiv$ form factors on a cylinder

▶ Up to wrapping corrections (~ e<sup>-mL</sup>), very simple way to pass to finite volume (cylinder of circumference L): Pozsgay, Takacs

$$egin{aligned} &\langle arnothing | \mathcal{O}\left(0
ight) | heta_{1}, heta_{2} 
angle_{L} = rac{1}{\sqrt{
ho_{2} \cdot S( heta_{1}, heta_{2})}} \cdot f( heta_{1}, heta_{2}) \end{aligned}$$

# **Comments:**

- ► In order to formulate the axioms it was crucial to be in infinite volume → analyticity and cossing
- The form factor axioms do not depend at all on the specific local operator inserted...
- They have numerous solutions for each local operator in the theory...

### Finite volume $\equiv$ form factors on a cylinder

▶ Up to wrapping corrections (~ e<sup>-mL</sup>), very simple way to pass to finite volume (cylinder of circumference L): Pozsgay, Takacs

$$egin{aligned} &\langle arnothing | \mathcal{O}\left( 0 
ight) | heta_1, heta_2 
angle_L = rac{1}{\sqrt{
ho_2 \cdot S( heta_1, heta_2)}} \cdot f( heta_1, heta_2) \end{aligned}$$

# **Comments:**

- In order to formulate the axioms it was crucial to be in infinite volume —> analyticity and cossing
- The form factor axioms do not depend at all on the specific local operator inserted...
- They have numerous solutions for each local operator in the theory...

### Finite volume $\equiv$ form factors on a cylinder

▶ Up to wrapping corrections (~ e<sup>-mL</sup>), very simple way to pass to finite volume (cylinder of circumference L): Pozsgay, Takacs

$$egin{aligned} &\langle arnothing | \mathcal{O}\left( 0 
ight) | heta_1, heta_2 
angle_L = rac{1}{\sqrt{
ho_2 \cdot S( heta_1, heta_2)}} \cdot f( heta_1, heta_2) \end{aligned}$$

# **Comments:**

- In order to formulate the axioms it was crucial to be in infinite volume —> analyticity and cossing
- The form factor axioms do not depend at all on the specific local operator inserted...
- They have numerous solutions for each local operator in the theory...

### Finite volume ≡ form factors on a cylinder

▶ Up to wrapping corrections (~ e<sup>-mL</sup>), very simple way to pass to finite volume (cylinder of circumference L): Pozsgay, Takacs

$$egin{aligned} &\langle arnothing | \mathcal{O}\left( 0 
ight) | heta_1, heta_2 
angle_L = rac{1}{\sqrt{
ho_2 \cdot S( heta_1, heta_2)}} \cdot f( heta_1, heta_2) \end{aligned}$$

# **Comments:**

- In order to formulate the axioms it was crucial to be in infinite volume —> analyticity and cossing
- The form factor axioms do not depend at all on the specific local operator inserted...
- They have numerous solutions for each local operator in the theory...

### Finite volume $\equiv$ form factors on a cylinder

▶ Up to wrapping corrections (~ e<sup>-mL</sup>), very simple way to pass to finite volume (cylinder of circumference L): Pozsgay, Takacs

$$egin{aligned} &\langle arnothing | \mathcal{O}\left( 0 
ight) | heta_1, heta_2 
angle_L = rac{1}{\sqrt{
ho_2 \cdot S( heta_1, heta_2)}} \cdot f( heta_1, heta_2) \end{aligned}$$

# **Comments:**

- In order to formulate the axioms it was crucial to be in infinite volume —> analyticity and cossing
- The form factor axioms do not depend at all on the specific local operator inserted...
- They have numerous solutions for each local operator in the theory...

### Finite volume $\equiv$ form factors on a cylinder

 Up to wrapping corrections (~ e<sup>-mL</sup>), very simple way to pass to finite volume (cylinder of circumference L): Pozsgay, Takacs

$$\left\langle arnothing | \mathcal{O}\left(0
ight) | heta_{1}, heta_{2} 
ight
angle_{L} = rac{1}{\sqrt{
ho_{2} \cdot S( heta_{1}, heta_{2})}} \cdot f( heta_{1}, heta_{2})$$

# **Comments:**

- In order to formulate the axioms it was crucial to be in infinite volume —> analyticity and cossing
- The form factor axioms do not depend at all on the specific local operator inserted...
- They have numerous solutions for each local operator in the theory...

### Finite volume $\equiv$ form factors on a cylinder

 Up to wrapping corrections (~ e<sup>-mL</sup>), very simple way to pass to finite volume (cylinder of circumference L): Pozsgay, Takacs

$$egin{aligned} &\langle arnothing | \mathcal{O}\left(0
ight) | heta_1, heta_2 
angle_L = rac{1}{\sqrt{
ho_2 \cdot S( heta_1, heta_2)}} \cdot f( heta_1, heta_2) \end{aligned}$$

- 1. We need an infinite volume formulation in order to have analyticity/crossing and other functional equations
- 2. Expect simple passage to finite volume neglecting wrapping  $(\sim e^{-mL})...$

- **1.** How does this relate to the pp-wave SFT which seemed inherently tied to finite volume??
- 2. How did wrapping effects manifest themselves in the pp-wave case?

- 1. We need an infinite volume formulation in order to have analyticity/crossing and other functional equations
- 2. Expect simple passage to finite volume neglecting wrapping  $(\sim e^{-mL})...$

- **1.** How does this relate to the pp-wave SFT which seemed inherently tied to finite volume??
- 2. How did wrapping effects manifest themselves in the pp-wave case?

- 1. We need an infinite volume formulation in order to have analyticity/crossing and other functional equations
- 2. Expect simple passage to finite volume neglecting wrapping  $(\sim e^{-mL})...$

- **1.** How does this relate to the pp-wave SFT which seemed inherently tied to finite volume??
- 2. How did wrapping effects manifest themselves in the pp-wave case?

- 1. We need an infinite volume formulation in order to have analyticity/crossing and other functional equations
- 2. Expect simple passage to finite volume neglecting wrapping  $(\sim e^{-mL})...$

- **1.** How does this relate to the pp-wave SFT which seemed inherently tied to finite volume??
- 2. How did wrapping effects manifest themselves in the pp-wave case?

- 1. We need an infinite volume formulation in order to have analyticity/crossing and other functional equations
- 2. Expect simple passage to finite volume neglecting wrapping  $(\sim e^{-mL})...$

- 1. How does this relate to the pp-wave SFT which seemed inherently tied to finite volume??
- 2. How did wrapping effects manifest themselves in the pp-wave case?

- 1. We need an infinite volume formulation in order to have analyticity/crossing and other functional equations
- 2. Expect simple passage to finite volume neglecting wrapping  $(\sim e^{-mL})...$

- 1. How does this relate to the pp-wave SFT which seemed inherently tied to finite volume??
- 2. How did wrapping effects manifest themselves in the pp-wave case?

► In the pp-wave times, people used simplified expressions for  $N_{nm}^{rs}$ neglecting exponential  $e^{-\mu\alpha_r}$  terms  $\alpha_r = L_r/L_3$ (these are exactly wrapping terms  $e^{-ML_r}!!$ )

▶ Going to an exponential basis (BMN basis) one got in this limit

$$N_{mn}^{rs} = \left[\frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r + \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s + \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s} - \frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r - \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s - \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s}\right] \cdot (simple)$$
  
► Instead of integer mode numbers use rapidities...  $p_k = M \sinh \theta_k$ 

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{-1}{\cosh \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{2}} \cdot \sin \frac{p_1 L_1}{2} \sin \frac{p_2 L_1}{2}$$

- The integer mode numbers (characteristic of finite volume) are completely inessential – they only obscure a simple underlying structure
- Pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ (position of kinematical singularity as for form factors!) → there should be some underlying axioms...
- Still some surprising features the sin  $\frac{p_k L_1}{2}$  factors

► In the pp-wave times, people used simplified expressions for  $N_{nm}^{rs}$ neglecting exponential  $e^{-\mu\alpha_r}$  terms  $\alpha_r = L_r/L_3$ (these are exactly wrapping terms  $e^{-ML_r}$ !!)

▶ Going to an exponential basis (BMN basis) one got in this limit

$$N_{mn}^{rs} = \left[\frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r + \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s + \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s} - \frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r - \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s - \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s}\right] \cdot (simple)$$

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{-1}{\cosh \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{2}} \cdot \sin \frac{p_1 L_1}{2} \sin \frac{p_2 L_1}{2}$$

- The integer mode numbers (characteristic of finite volume) are completely inessential – they only obscure a simple underlying structure
- Pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ (position of kinematical singularity as for form factors!) → there should be some underlying axioms...
- Still some surprising features the sin  $\frac{p_k L_1}{2}$  factors

In the pp-wave times, people used simplified expressions for N<sup>rs</sup><sub>nm</sub> neglecting exponential e<sup>-µα<sub>r</sub></sup> terms α<sub>r</sub> = L<sub>r</sub>/L<sub>3</sub> (these are exactly wrapping terms e<sup>-ML<sub>r</sub></sup>!!)

Going to an exponential basis (BMN basis) one got in this limit

$$N_{mn}^{rs} = \left[\frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r + \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s + \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s} - \frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r - \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s - \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s}\right] \cdot (simple)$$
Instead of integer mode numbers use rapidities...

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{-1}{\cosh \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{2}} \cdot \sin \frac{p_1 L_1}{2} \sin \frac{p_2 L_1}{2}$$

- The integer mode numbers (characteristic of finite volume) are completely inessential – they only obscure a simple underlying structure
- Pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ (position of kinematical singularity as for form factors!) → there should be some underlying axioms...
- Still some surprising features the sin  $\frac{p_k L_1}{2}$  factors

In the pp-wave times, people used simplified expressions for N<sup>rs</sup><sub>nm</sub> neglecting exponential e<sup>-µα<sub>r</sub></sup> terms α<sub>r</sub> = L<sub>r</sub>/L<sub>3</sub> (these are exactly wrapping terms e<sup>-ML<sub>r</sub></sup>!!)

▶ Going to an exponential basis (BMN basis) one got in this limit

$$N_{mn}^{rs} = \left[\frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r + \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s + \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s} - \frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r - \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s - \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s}\right] \cdot (simple)$$

$$\blacktriangleright \text{ Instead of integer mode numbers use rapidities...} \qquad p_k = M \sinh \theta_k$$

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{-1}{\cosh \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{2}} \cdot \sin \frac{p_1 L_1}{2} \sin \frac{p_2 L_1}{2}$$

- The integer mode numbers (characteristic of finite volume) are completely inessential – they only obscure a simple underlying structure
- Pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ (position of kinematical singularity as for form factors!) → there should be some underlying axioms...
- Still some surprising features the sin  $\frac{p_k L_1}{2}$  factors

In the pp-wave times, people used simplified expressions for N<sup>rs</sup><sub>nm</sub> neglecting exponential e<sup>-µα<sub>r</sub></sup> terms α<sub>r</sub> = L<sub>r</sub>/L<sub>3</sub> (these are exactly wrapping terms e<sup>-ML<sub>r</sub></sup>!!)

▶ Going to an exponential basis (BMN basis) one got in this limit

$$N_{mn}^{rs} = \left[\frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r + \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s + \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s} - \frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r - \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s - \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s}\right] \cdot (simple)$$

Instead of integer mode numbers use rapidities...

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{-1}{\cosh \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{2}} \cdot \sin \frac{p_1 L_1}{2} \sin \frac{p_2 L_1}{2}$$

- The integer mode numbers (characteristic of finite volume) are completely inessential – they only obscure a simple underlying structure
- Pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ (position of kinematical singularity as for form factors!) → there should be some underlying axioms...
- Still some surprising features the sin  $\frac{p_k L_1}{2}$  factors

In the pp-wave times, people used simplified expressions for N<sup>rs</sup><sub>nm</sub> neglecting exponential e<sup>-µα<sub>r</sub></sup> terms α<sub>r</sub> = L<sub>r</sub>/L<sub>3</sub> (these are exactly wrapping terms e<sup>-ML<sub>r</sub></sup>!!)

▶ Going to an exponential basis (BMN basis) one got in this limit

$$N_{mn}^{rs} = \left[\frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r + \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s + \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s} - \frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r - \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s - \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s}\right] \cdot (simple)$$

Instead of integer mode numbers use rapidities...

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{-1}{\cosh \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{2}} \cdot \sin \frac{p_1 L_1}{2} \sin \frac{p_2 L_1}{2}$$

- The integer mode numbers (characteristic of finite volume) are completely inessential – they only obscure a simple underlying structure
- Pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ (position of kinematical singularity as for form factors!) → there should be some underlying axioms...
- Still some surprising features the sin  $\frac{p_k L_1}{2}$  factors

In the pp-wave times, people used simplified expressions for N<sup>rs</sup><sub>nm</sub> neglecting exponential e<sup>-µα<sub>r</sub></sup> terms α<sub>r</sub> = L<sub>r</sub>/L<sub>3</sub> (these are exactly wrapping terms e<sup>-ML<sub>r</sub></sup>!!)

▶ Going to an exponential basis (BMN basis) one got in this limit

$$N_{mn}^{rs} = \left[\frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r + \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s + \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s} - \frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r - \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s - \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s}\right] \cdot (simple)$$

Instead of integer mode numbers use rapidities...

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{-1}{\cosh \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{2}} \cdot \sin \frac{p_1 L_1}{2} \sin \frac{p_2 L_1}{2}$$

- The integer mode numbers (characteristic of finite volume) are completely inessential – they only obscure a simple underlying structure
- Pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ (position of kinematical singularity as for form factors!) → there should be some underlying axioms...
- Still some surprising features the sin  $\frac{p_k L_1}{2}$  factors

In the pp-wave times, people used simplified expressions for N<sup>rs</sup><sub>nm</sub> neglecting exponential e<sup>-µα<sub>r</sub></sup> terms α<sub>r</sub> = L<sub>r</sub>/L<sub>3</sub> (these are exactly wrapping terms e<sup>-ML<sub>r</sub></sup>!!)

▶ Going to an exponential basis (BMN basis) one got in this limit

$$N_{mn}^{rs} = \left[\frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r + \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s + \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s} - \frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r - \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s - \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s}\right] \cdot (simple)$$

Instead of integer mode numbers use rapidities...

 $p_k = M \sinh \theta_k$ 

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{-1}{\cosh \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{2}} \cdot \sin \frac{p_1 L_1}{2} \sin \frac{p_2 L_1}{2}$$

- The integer mode numbers (characteristic of finite volume) are completely inessential – they only obscure a simple underlying structure
- Pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ (position of kinematical singularity as for form factors!) → there should be some underlying axioms...

Still some surprising features — the sin  $\frac{p_k L_1}{2}$  factors

In the pp-wave times, people used simplified expressions for N<sup>rs</sup><sub>nm</sub> neglecting exponential e<sup>-µα<sub>r</sub></sup> terms α<sub>r</sub> = L<sub>r</sub>/L<sub>3</sub> (these are exactly wrapping terms e<sup>-ML<sub>r</sub></sup>!!)

▶ Going to an exponential basis (BMN basis) one got in this limit

$$N_{mn}^{rs} = \left[\frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r + \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s + \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s} - \frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r - \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s - \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s}\right] \cdot (simple)$$

Instead of integer mode numbers use rapidities...

 $p_k = M \sinh \theta_k$ 

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{-1}{\cosh \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{2}} \cdot \sin \frac{p_1 L_1}{2} \sin \frac{p_2 L_1}{2}$$

- The integer mode numbers (characteristic of finite volume) are completely inessential – they only obscure a simple underlying structure
- Pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ (position of kinematical singularity as for form factors!) → there should be some underlying axioms...

Still some surprising features — the sin  $\frac{p_k L_1}{2}$  factors

In the pp-wave times, people used simplified expressions for N<sup>rs</sup><sub>nm</sub> neglecting exponential e<sup>-µα<sub>r</sub></sup> terms α<sub>r</sub> = L<sub>r</sub>/L<sub>3</sub> (these are exactly wrapping terms e<sup>-ML<sub>r</sub></sup>!!)

▶ Going to an exponential basis (BMN basis) one got in this limit

$$N_{mn}^{rs} = \left[\frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r + \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s + \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s} - \frac{\sqrt{(\omega_m^r - \mu\alpha_r)(\omega_n^s - \mu\alpha_s)}}{\omega_m^r + \omega_n^s}\right] \cdot (simple)$$

Instead of integer mode numbers use rapidities...

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{-1}{\cosh \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{2}} \cdot \sin \frac{p_1 L_1}{2} \sin \frac{p_2 L_1}{2}$$

- The integer mode numbers (characteristic of finite volume) are completely inessential – they only obscure a simple underlying structure
- Pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ (position of kinematical singularity as for form factors!) → there should be some underlying axioms...
- Still some surprising features the sin  $\frac{p_k L_1}{2}$  factors

Proceed to the generic string vertex...



- String #1 still remains of finite size (denoted by L) which can be arbitrary — large or even very small
- The emission of string #1 can be understood as an insertion of some macroscopic (not completely local) operator...
- ► Looks like some kind of generalized form factor with ingoing particles in string #3 and outgoing ones in string #2

Key new feature: string #1 'eats up volume'  $\longrightarrow$  the "operator" should have a  $e^{-ipL}$ branch cut defect...



- String #1 still remains of finite size (denoted by L) which can be arbitrary — large or even very small
- The emission of string #1 can be understood as an insertion of some macroscopic (not completely local) operator...
- ► Looks like some kind of generalized form factor with ingoing particles in string #3 and outgoing ones in string #2

Key new feature: string #1 'eats up volume'  $\longrightarrow$  the "operator" should have a  $e^{-ipL}$ branch cut defect...



- String #1 still remains of finite size (denoted by L) which can be arbitrary — large or even very small
- The emission of string #1 can be understood as an insertion of some macroscopic (not completely local) operator...
- ► Looks like some kind of generalized form factor with ingoing particles in string #3 and outgoing ones in string #2

Key new feature: string #1 'eats up volume'  $\longrightarrow$  the "operator" should have a  $e^{-ipL}$ branch cut defect...



- String #1 still remains of finite size (denoted by L) which can be arbitrary — large or even very small
- The emission of string #1 can be understood as an insertion of some macroscopic (not completely local) operator...
- ► Looks like some kind of generalized form factor with ingoing particles in string #3 and outgoing ones in string #2

Key new feature: string #1 'eats up volume'  $\longrightarrow$  the "operator" should have a  $e^{-ipL}$ branch cut defect...



String #1 still remains of finite size (denoted by L) — which can be arbitrary — large or even very small

- The emission of string #1 can be understood as an insertion of some macroscopic (not completely local) operator...
- ▶ Looks like some kind of generalized form factor with ingoing particles in string #3 and outgoing ones in string #2

Key new feature: string #1 'eats up volume'  $\longrightarrow$  the "operator" should have a  $e^{-ipL}$ branch cut defect...


- String #1 still remains of finite size (denoted by L) which can be arbitrary — large or even very small
- The emission of string #1 can be understood as an insertion of some macroscopic (not completely local) operator...
- ► Looks like some kind of generalized form factor with ingoing particles in string #3 and outgoing ones in string #2

Key new feature: string #1 'eats up volume'  $\longrightarrow$  the "operator" should have a  $e^{-ipL}$ branch cut defect...



- String #1 still remains of finite size (denoted by L) which can be arbitrary — large or even very small
- The emission of string #1 can be understood as an insertion of some macroscopic (not completely local) operator...
- ► Looks like some kind of generalized form factor with ingoing particles in string #3 and outgoing ones in string #2

Key new feature: string #1 'eats up volume'  $\longrightarrow$  the "operator" should have a  $e^{-ipL}$ branch cut defect...



- String #1 still remains of finite size (denoted by L) which can be arbitrary — large or even very small
- The emission of string #1 can be understood as an insertion of some macroscopic (not completely local) operator...
- ► Looks like some kind of generalized form factor with ingoing particles in string #3 and outgoing ones in string #2

Key new feature: string #1 'eats up volume'  $\longrightarrow$  the "operator" should have a  $e^{-ipL}$ branch cut defect...



- String #1 still remains of finite size (denoted by L) which can be arbitrary — large or even very small
- The emission of string #1 can be understood as an insertion of some macroscopic (not completely local) operator...
- ► Looks like some kind of generalized form factor with ingoing particles in string #3 and outgoing ones in string #2

Key new feature: string #1 'eats up volume'  $\longrightarrow$  the "operator" should have a  $e^{-ipL}$ branch cut defect...



- String #1 still remains of finite size (denoted by L) which can be arbitrary — large or even very small
- The emission of string #1 can be understood as an insertion of some macroscopic (not completely local) operator...
- ► Looks like some kind of generalized form factor with ingoing particles in string #3 and outgoing ones in string #2



Key new feature: string #1 'eats up volume'  $\longrightarrow$  the "operator" should have a  $e^{-ipL}$ branch cut defect...



- String #1 still remains of finite size (denoted by L) which can be arbitrary — large or even very small
- The emission of string #1 can be understood as an insertion of some macroscopic (not completely local) operator...
- ► Looks like some kind of generalized form factor with ingoing particles in string #3 and outgoing ones in string #2



Key new feature: string #1 'eats up volume'  $\longrightarrow$  the "operator" should have a  $e^{-ipL}$ branch cut defect...



- String #1 still remains of finite size (denoted by L) which can be arbitrary — large or even very small
- The emission of string #1 can be understood as an insertion of some macroscopic (not completely local) operator...
- ► Looks like some kind of generalized form factor with ingoing particles in string #3 and outgoing ones in string #2



Key new feature: string #1 'eats up volume'  $\longrightarrow$  the "operator" should have a  $e^{-ipL}$ branch cut defect...

# Functional equations for the (decompactified) string vertex

written here for two incoming particles and, for the moment, free theory



The exact pp-wave solution, involving the  $\Gamma_{\mu}(\theta)$  special function solves these equations and can be reconstructed from them!

$$n(\theta)n(\theta + i\pi) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2}ML\sinh\theta\sin\frac{p(\theta)L}{2}$$

- This includes all exponential wrapping corrections e<sup>-μα<sub>1</sub></sup> = e<sup>-ML</sup> for the #1 string
- Straightforward generalization of the axioms to an interacting integrable QFT
- Analyticity conditions deduced from analyzing the known pp-wave solution...

## Functional equations for the (decompactified) string vertex

written here for two incoming particles and, for the moment, free theory

$$n(\theta)n(\theta + i\pi) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2}ML\sinh\theta\sin\frac{p(\theta)L}{2}$$

- ► This includes all exponential wrapping corrections e<sup>-µα<sub>1</sub></sup> = e<sup>-ML</sup> for the #1 string
- Straightforward generalization of the axioms to an interacting integrable QFT
- Analyticity conditions deduced from analyzing the known pp-wave solution...

## Functional equations for the (decompactified) string vertex

written here for two incoming particles and, for the moment, free theory

$$N^{33}(\theta_{1},\theta_{2}) = N^{33}(\theta_{2},\theta_{1})$$

$$N^{33}(\theta_{1},\theta_{2}) = e^{-ip_{1}L}N^{33}(\theta_{2},\theta_{1}-2\pi i)$$

$$-i \operatorname{res}_{\theta'=\theta}N^{33}(\theta+i\pi,\theta) = (1-e^{ipL})F_{0}$$

$$n(\theta)n(\theta + i\pi) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2}ML\sinh\theta\sin\frac{p(\theta)L}{2}$$

- ► This includes all exponential wrapping corrections e<sup>-µα1</sup> = e<sup>-ML</sup> for the #1 string
- Straightforward generalization of the axioms to an interacting integrable QFT
- Analyticity conditions deduced from analyzing the known pp-wave solution...

## Functional equations for the (decompactified) string vertex

written here for two incoming particles and, for the moment, free theory

$$N^{33}(\theta_{1},\theta_{2}) = N^{33}(\theta_{2},\theta_{1})$$

$$N^{33}(\theta_{1},\theta_{2}) = e^{-ip_{1}L}N^{33}(\theta_{2},\theta_{1}-2\pi i)$$

$$-i \operatorname{res}_{\theta'=\theta}N^{33}(\theta+i\pi,\theta) = (1-e^{ipL})F_{0}$$

$$n(\theta)n(\theta + i\pi) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2}ML\sinh\theta\sin\frac{p(\theta)L}{2}$$

- ► This includes all exponential wrapping corrections e<sup>-µα1</sup> = e<sup>-ML</sup> for the #1 string
- Straightforward generalization of the axioms to an interacting integrable QFT
- Analyticity conditions deduced from analyzing the known pp-wave solution...

## Functional equations for the (decompactified) string vertex

written here for two incoming particles and, for the moment, free theory

$$N^{33}(\theta_{1},\theta_{2}) = N^{33}(\theta_{2},\theta_{1})$$

$$N^{33}(\theta_{1},\theta_{2}) = e^{-ip_{1}L}N^{33}(\theta_{2},\theta_{1}-2\pi i)$$

$$-i \operatorname{res}_{\theta'=\theta}N^{33}(\theta+i\pi,\theta) = (1-e^{ipL})F_{0}$$

$$n(\theta)n(\theta + i\pi) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2}ML\sinh\theta\sin\frac{p(\theta)L}{2}$$

- This includes all exponential wrapping corrections e<sup>-μα<sub>1</sub></sup> = e<sup>-ML</sup> for the #1 string
- Straightforward generalization of the axioms to an interacting integrable QFT
- Analyticity conditions deduced from analyzing the known pp-wave solution...

## Functional equations for the (decompactified) string vertex

written here for two incoming particles and interacting worldsheet theory

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = N^{33}(\theta_2, \theta_1) \cdot \mathbf{S}(\theta_1, \theta_2)$$

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = e^{-ip_1 L} N^{33}(\theta_2, \theta_1 - 2\pi i)$$

$$-i \operatorname{res}_{\theta'=\theta} N^{33}(\theta + i\pi, \theta) = (1 - e^{ipL})F_0$$

$$n(\theta)n(\theta + i\pi) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2}ML\sinh\theta\sin\frac{p(\theta)L}{2}$$

- ► This includes all exponential wrapping corrections e<sup>-µα<sub>1</sub></sup> = e<sup>-ML</sup> for the #1 string
- Straightforward generalization of the axioms to an interacting integrable QFT
- Analyticity conditions deduced from analyzing the known pp-wave solution...

## Functional equations for the (decompactified) string vertex

written here for two incoming particles and interacting worldsheet theory

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = N^{33}(\theta_2, \theta_1) \cdot \mathbf{S}(\theta_1, \theta_2)$$

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = e^{-ip_1 L} N^{33}(\theta_2, \theta_1 - 2\pi i)$$

$$-i \operatorname{res}_{\theta'=\theta} N^{33}(\theta + i\pi, \theta) = (1 - e^{ipL})F_0$$

$$n(\theta)n(\theta + i\pi) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2}ML\sinh\theta\sin\frac{p(\theta)L}{2}$$

- ► This includes all exponential wrapping corrections e<sup>-µα<sub>1</sub></sup> = e<sup>-ML</sup> for the #1 string
- Straightforward generalization of the axioms to an interacting integrable QFT
- Analyticity conditions deduced from analyzing the known pp-wave solution...

**Novel kinematics** 

- Complex rapidities *z* are defined on a covering of an elliptic curve
- ▶ The momentum *p* is *not* a well defined function
- Only e<sup>ip</sup> is a well defined elliptic function
- The phase factors e<sup>ip L</sup> make sense directly only for integer L which is nice from the point of view of N = 4 SYM...

# **Complicated dynamics**

- ► The S-matrix does not depend on the difference of rapidities
- The S-matrix is nondiagonal which drastically complicates solving form factor axioms (which are a special case of our SFT axioms)

# **Novel kinematics**

- Complex rapidities z are defined on a covering of an elliptic curve
- ▶ The momentum *p* is *not* a well defined function
- Only e<sup>ip</sup> is a well defined elliptic function
- The phase factors e<sup>ip L</sup> make sense directly only for integer L which is nice from the point of view of N = 4 SYM...

# **Complicated dynamics**

- ► The S-matrix does not depend on the difference of rapidities
- The S-matrix is nondiagonal which drastically complicates solving form factor axioms (which are a special case of our SFT axioms)

# **Novel kinematics**

- Complex rapidities z are defined on a covering of an elliptic curve
- ▶ The momentum *p* is *not* a well defined function
- Only e<sup>ip</sup> is a well defined elliptic function
- The phase factors e<sup>ipL</sup> make sense directly only for integer L which is nice from the point of view of N = 4 SYM...

# **Complicated dynamics**

- ► The S-matrix does not depend on the difference of rapidities
- The S-matrix is nondiagonal which drastically complicates solving form factor axioms (which are a special case of our SFT axioms)

# **Novel kinematics**

- ► Complex rapidities *z* are defined on a covering of an elliptic curve
- The momentum p is not a well defined function
- Only e<sup>ip</sup> is a well defined elliptic function
- The phase factors e<sup>ip L</sup> make sense directly only for integer L which is nice from the point of view of N = 4 SYM...

# **Complicated dynamics**

- ► The S-matrix does not depend on the difference of rapidities
- The S-matrix is nondiagonal which drastically complicates solving form factor axioms (which are a special case of our SFT axioms)

## **Novel kinematics**

- ► Complex rapidities *z* are defined on a covering of an elliptic curve
- The momentum p is not a well defined function
- Only e<sup>ip</sup> is a well defined elliptic function
- The phase factors e<sup>ip L</sup> make sense directly only for integer L which is nice from the point of view of N = 4 SYM...

# **Complicated dynamics**

- ► The S-matrix does not depend on the difference of rapidities
- The S-matrix is nondiagonal which drastically complicates solving form factor axioms (which are a special case of our SFT axioms)

## **Novel kinematics**

- Complex rapidities z are defined on a covering of an elliptic curve
- The momentum p is not a well defined function
- Only e<sup>ip</sup> is a well defined elliptic function
- The phase factors e<sup>ipL</sup> make sense directly only for integer L which is nice from the point of view of N = 4 SYM...

#### **Complicated dynamics**

- ► The S-matrix does not depend on the difference of rapidities
- The S-matrix is nondiagonal which drastically complicates solving form factor axioms (which are a special case of our SFT axioms)

## **Novel kinematics**

- Complex rapidities z are defined on a covering of an elliptic curve
- The momentum p is not a well defined function
- Only e<sup>ip</sup> is a well defined elliptic function
- The phase factors e<sup>ip L</sup> make sense directly only for integer L which is nice from the point of view of N = 4 SYM...

## **Complicated dynamics**

- ► The S-matrix does not depend on the difference of rapidities
- The S-matrix is nondiagonal which drastically complicates solving form factor axioms (which are a special case of our SFT axioms)

## **Novel kinematics**

- Complex rapidities z are defined on a covering of an elliptic curve
- The momentum p is not a well defined function
- Only e<sup>ip</sup> is a well defined elliptic function
- The phase factors e<sup>ip L</sup> make sense directly only for integer L which is nice from the point of view of N = 4 SYM...

# **Complicated dynamics**

- The S-matrix does not depend on the difference of rapidities
- The S-matrix is nondiagonal which drastically complicates solving form factor axioms (which are a special case of our SFT axioms)

## **Novel kinematics**

- ► Complex rapidities *z* are defined on a covering of an elliptic curve
- The momentum p is not a well defined function
- Only e<sup>ip</sup> is a well defined elliptic function
- The phase factors e<sup>ip L</sup> make sense directly only for integer L which is nice from the point of view of N = 4 SYM...

# **Complicated dynamics**

- > The S-matrix does not depend on the difference of rapidities
- The S-matrix is nondiagonal which drastically complicates solving form factor axioms (which are a special case of our SFT axioms)

## **Novel kinematics**

- ► Complex rapidities *z* are defined on a covering of an elliptic curve
- The momentum p is not a well defined function
- Only e<sup>ip</sup> is a well defined elliptic function
- The phase factors e<sup>ip L</sup> make sense directly only for integer L which is nice from the point of view of N = 4 SYM...

# **Complicated dynamics**

- > The S-matrix does not depend on the difference of rapidities
- The S-matrix is nondiagonal which drastically complicates solving form factor axioms (which are a special case of our SFT axioms)

## **Novel kinematics**

- ► Complex rapidities *z* are defined on a covering of an elliptic curve
- The momentum p is not a well defined function
- Only e<sup>ip</sup> is a well defined elliptic function
- The phase factors e<sup>ip L</sup> make sense directly only for integer L which is nice from the point of view of N = 4 SYM...

# **Complicated dynamics**

- > The S-matrix does not depend on the difference of rapidities
- The S-matrix is nondiagonal which drastically complicates solving form factor axioms (which are a special case of our SFT axioms)

- Consider an amplitude with only two particles in the ingoing string #3 and vacuum on the two outgoing strings...
- The equations satisfied by  $N_L^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2}$  are

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_{1},z_{2})_{i_{1},i_{2}} &= S_{i_{1}i_{2}}^{kl}(z_{1},z_{2})\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_{2},z_{1})_{l,k} \\ \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_{1},z_{2})_{i_{1},i_{2}} &= e^{-ip(z_{1})\mathsf{L}}\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_{2},z_{1}-\tau)_{i_{2},i_{1}} \\ \operatorname{res}_{z'=z}\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z'+\tau/2,z)_{\overline{l},i} &= \left(1-e^{ip(z)\mathsf{L}}\right) \end{split}$$

Suppose that we know a solution of 2-particle form factor equations in AdS F(z₁, z₂)<sub>i₁,i₂</sub> s.t.

$$\mathbf{F}(z+\tau/2,z)_{i_1,i_2}=\delta_{\bar{i}_1,i_2}$$

Then we can solve the SFT vertex equations by

$$\mathsf{N}^{33}_{\mathsf{L}}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1 i_2} = \mathsf{F}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} \cdot N^{33}_{\mathsf{L}}(z_1, z_2)$$

- Consider an amplitude with only two particles in the ingoing string #3 and vacuum on the two outgoing strings...
- The equations satisfied by  $N_L^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2}$  are

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} &= S_{i_1 i_2}^{kl}(z_1, z_2) \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_2, z_1)_{l, k} \\ \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} &= e^{-ip(z_1)\mathsf{L}} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_2, z_1 - \tau)_{i_2, i_1} \\ \operatorname{res}_{z'=z} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z' + \tau/2, z)_{\overline{l}, i} &= \left(1 - e^{ip(z)\mathsf{L}}\right) \end{split}$$

Suppose that we know a solution of 2-particle form factor equations in AdS F(z₁, z₂)<sub>i₁,i₂</sub> s.t.

 $\mathbf{F}(z+\tau/2,z)_{i_1,i_2}=\delta_{\bar{i}_1,i_2}$ 

Then we can solve the SFT vertex equations by

$$\mathsf{N}^{33}_{\mathsf{L}}(z_1,z_2)_{i_1i_2} = \mathsf{F}(z_1,z_2)_{i_1,i_2} \cdot N^{33}_{\mathsf{L}}(z_1,z_2)$$

- Consider an amplitude with only two particles in the ingoing string #3 and vacuum on the two outgoing strings...
- The equations satisfied by  $N_{L}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2}$  are

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} &= S_{i_1 i_2}^{kl}(z_1, z_2) \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_2, z_1)_{l, k} \\ \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} &= e^{-ip(z_1)\mathsf{L}} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_2, z_1 - \tau)_{i_2, i_1} \\ \operatorname{res}_{z'=z} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z' + \tau/2, z)_{\overline{l}, i} &= \left(1 - e^{ip(z)\mathsf{L}}\right) \end{split}$$

Suppose that we know a solution of 2-particle form factor equations in AdS F(z₁, z₂)<sub>i₁,i₂</sub> s.t.

$$\mathbf{F}(z+\tau/2,z)_{i_1,i_2}=\delta_{\bar{i}_1,i_2}$$

Then we can solve the SFT vertex equations by

$$\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1 i_2} = \mathsf{F}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} \cdot N_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)$$

- Consider an amplitude with only two particles in the ingoing string #3 and vacuum on the two outgoing strings...
- The equations satisfied by  $N_{L}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2}$  are

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} &= S_{i_1 i_2}^{kl}(z_1, z_2) \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_2, z_1)_{l, k} \\ \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} &= e^{-ip(z_1)\mathsf{L}} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_2, z_1 - \tau)_{i_2, i_1} \\ \operatorname{res}_{z'=z} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z' + \tau/2, z)_{\overline{l}, i} &= \left(1 - e^{ip(z)\mathsf{L}}\right) \end{split}$$

Suppose that we know a solution of 2-particle form factor equations in AdS F(z₁, z₂)<sub>i₁,i₂</sub> s.t.

$$\mathbf{F}(z+\tau/2,z)_{i_1,i_2}=\delta_{\bar{i}_1,i_2}$$

Then we can solve the SFT vertex equations by

$$\mathsf{N}^{33}_{\mathsf{L}}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1 i_2} = \mathsf{F}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} \cdot N^{33}_{\mathsf{L}}(z_1, z_2)$$

- Consider an amplitude with only two particles in the ingoing string #3 and vacuum on the two outgoing strings...
- The equations satisfied by  $N_{L}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2}$  are

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} &= S_{i_1 i_2}^{kl}(z_1, z_2) \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_2, z_1)_{l,k} \\ \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} &= e^{-ip(z_1)\mathsf{L}} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_2, z_1 - \tau)_{i_2, i_1} \\ \operatorname{res}_{z'=z} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z' + \tau/2, z)_{\overline{i}, i} &= \left(1 - e^{ip(z)\mathsf{L}}\right) \end{split}$$

Suppose that we know a solution of 2-particle form factor equations in AdS F(z₁, z₂)<sub>i₁,i₂</sub> s.t.

$$\mathbf{F}(z+\tau/2,z)_{i_1,i_2}=\delta_{\bar{i}_1,i_2}$$

Then we can solve the SFT vertex equations by

$$\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1 i_2} = \mathsf{F}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} \cdot N_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)$$

- Consider an amplitude with only two particles in the ingoing string #3 and vacuum on the two outgoing strings...
- The equations satisfied by  $N_{L}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2}$  are

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} &= S_{i_1 i_2}^{kl}(z_1, z_2) \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_2, z_1)_{l, k} \\ \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} &= e^{-ip(z_1)\mathsf{L}} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_2, z_1 - \tau)_{i_2, i_1} \\ \operatorname{res}_{z'=z} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z' + \tau/2, z)_{\overline{i}, i} &= \left(1 - e^{ip(z)\mathsf{L}}\right) \end{split}$$

Suppose that we know a solution of 2-particle form factor equations in AdS F(z₁, z₂)<sub>i₁,i₂</sub> s.t.

$$\mathbf{F}(z+\tau/2,z)_{i_1,i_2}=\delta_{\bar{i}_1,i_2}$$

Then we can solve the SFT vertex equations by

$$\mathsf{N}^{33}_{\mathsf{L}}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1 i_2} = \mathsf{F}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} \cdot \mathsf{N}^{33}_{\mathsf{L}}(z_1, z_2)$$

- Consider an amplitude with only two particles in the ingoing string #3 and vacuum on the two outgoing strings...
- The equations satisfied by  $N_{L}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2}$  are

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} &= S_{i_1 i_2}^{kl}(z_1, z_2) \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_2, z_1)_{l, k} \\ \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} &= e^{-ip(z_1)\mathsf{L}} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_2, z_1 - \tau)_{i_2, i_1} \\ \operatorname{res}_{z'=z} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z' + \tau/2, z)_{\overline{i}, i} &= \left(1 - e^{ip(z)\mathsf{L}}\right) \end{split}$$

Suppose that we know a solution of 2-particle form factor equations in AdS F(z₁, z₂)<sub>i₁,i₂</sub> s.t.

$$\mathbf{F}(z+\tau/2,z)_{i_1,i_2}=\delta_{\bar{i}_1,i_2}$$

Then we can solve the SFT vertex equations by

$$\mathsf{N}^{33}_{\mathsf{L}}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1 i_2} = \mathsf{F}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} \cdot \mathsf{N}^{33}_{\mathsf{L}}(z_1, z_2)$$

$$N_{L}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1i_2} = F(z_1, z_2)_{i_1,i_2} \cdot N_{L}^{33}(z_2, z_1)$$

It satisfies a set of scalar equations:

$$N_{L}^{33}(z_{1}, z_{2}) = N_{L}^{33}(z_{2}, z_{1})$$

$$N_{L}^{33}(z_{1}, z_{2}) = e^{-ip(z_{1})L}N_{L}^{33}(z_{2}, z_{1} - \tau)$$

$$\operatorname{res}_{z'=z} N_{L}^{33}(z' + \tau/2, z) = \left(1 - e^{ip(z)L}\right)$$

- ▶ N<sub>L</sub><sup>33</sup>(z<sub>2</sub>, z<sub>1</sub>) incorporates all L dependence (all wrapping corrections w.r.t. string #1) at any coupling
- Conversely, if we have any solution of the SFT axioms, then the ratio

 $\frac{\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1,z_2)_{i_1i_2}}{N_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1,z_2)}$ 

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1 i_2} = \mathbf{F}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} \cdot N_{\mathbf{L}}^{33}(z_2, z_1)$$

It satisfies a set of scalar equations:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1,z_2) &=& \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_2,z_1) \\ \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1,z_2) &=& e^{-ip(z_1)\mathsf{L}}\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_2,z_1-\tau) \\ \mathrm{res}_{z'=z}\,\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z'+\tau/2,z) &=& \left(1-e^{ip(z)\mathsf{L}}\right) \end{array}$$

▶ N<sub>L</sub><sup>33</sup>(z<sub>2</sub>, z<sub>1</sub>) incorporates all L dependence (all wrapping corrections w.r.t. string #1) at any coupling

Conversely, if we have any solution of the SFT axioms, then the ratio

 $\frac{\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1,z_2)_{i_1i_2}}{N_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1,z_2)}$ 

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1 i_2} = \mathbf{F}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} \cdot N_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_2, z_1)$$

It satisfies a set of scalar equations:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1,z_2) &=& \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_2,z_1) \\ \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1,z_2) &=& e^{-ip(z_1)\mathsf{L}}\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_2,z_1-\tau) \\ \mathrm{res}_{z'=z}\,\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z'+\tau/2,z) &=& \left(1-e^{ip(z)\mathsf{L}}\right) \end{array}$$

▶ N<sup>33</sup><sub>L</sub>(z<sub>2</sub>, z<sub>1</sub>) incorporates all L dependence (all wrapping corrections w.r.t. string #1) at any coupling

Conversely, if we have any solution of the SFT axioms, then the ratio

 $\frac{\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1,z_2)_{i_1i_2}}{N_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1,z_2)}$ 

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1 i_2} = \mathbf{F}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} \cdot N_{\mathbf{L}}^{33}(z_2, z_1)$$

It satisfies a set of scalar equations:

$$N_{L}^{33}(z_{1}, z_{2}) = N_{L}^{33}(z_{2}, z_{1})$$

$$N_{L}^{33}(z_{1}, z_{2}) = e^{-ip(z_{1})L}N_{L}^{33}(z_{2}, z_{1} - \tau)$$

$$\operatorname{res}_{z'=z} N_{L}^{33}(z' + \tau/2, z) = \left(1 - e^{ip(z)L}\right)$$

- ▶ N<sup>33</sup><sub>L</sub>(z<sub>2</sub>, z<sub>1</sub>) incorporates all L dependence (all wrapping corrections w.r.t. string #1) at any coupling
- Conversely, if we have any solution of the SFT axioms, then the ratio

 $\frac{\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1,z_2)_{i_1i_2}}{N_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1,z_2)}$
$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1 i_2} = \mathbf{F}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1, i_2} \cdot N_{\mathbf{L}}^{33}(z_2, z_1)$$

It satisfies a set of scalar equations:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1,z_2) &=& \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_2,z_1) \\ \mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1,z_2) &=& e^{-ip(z_1)\mathsf{L}}\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_2,z_1-\tau) \\ \mathrm{res}_{z'=z}\,\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z'+\tau/2,z) &=& \left(1-e^{ip(z)\mathsf{L}}\right) \end{array}$$

- ▶ N<sup>33</sup><sub>L</sub>(z<sub>2</sub>, z<sub>1</sub>) incorporates all L dependence (all wrapping corrections w.r.t. string #1) at any coupling
- Conversely, if we have any solution of the SFT axioms, then the ratio

$$\frac{\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)_{i_1 i_2}}{N_{\mathsf{L}}^{33}(z_1, z_2)}$$

is a solution of ordinary L-independent form factor axioms

We will solve the equations following the general structure of the pp-wave answer:

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{2\pi^2}{L} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1 + \tanh\frac{\theta_1}{2} \tanh\frac{\theta_2}{2}}{M\cosh\theta_1 + M\cosh\theta_2}}_{P(\theta_1, \theta_2)} n(\theta_1) n(\theta_2)$$

- The denominator generalizes directly to the AdS case however it in addition to the kinematical singularity pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ, it has another pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = -θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ
- The tanh  $\frac{\theta_i}{2}$  factors in the numerator exactly cancel the unwanted pole
- Use the following ansatz in the general  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  case...

$$N_{\rm L}^{33}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{2\pi^2}{L} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1 + f(z_1)f(z_2)}{E(z_1) + E(z_2)}}_{P(z_1, z_2)} n(z_1)n(z_2)$$

We will solve the equations following the general structure of the pp-wave answer:

$$N^{33}(\theta_1,\theta_2) = \frac{2\pi^2}{L} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1 + \tanh\frac{\theta_1}{2} \tanh\frac{\theta_2}{2}}{M\cosh\theta_1 + M\cosh\theta_2}}_{P(\theta_1,\theta_2)} n(\theta_1)n(\theta_2)$$

- The denominator generalizes directly to the AdS case however it in addition to the kinematical singularity pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ, it has another pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = -θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ
- The tanh  $\frac{\theta_i}{2}$  factors in the numerator exactly cancel the unwanted pole
- Use the following ansatz in the general  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  case...

$$N_{\rm L}^{33}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{2\pi^2}{L} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1 + f(z_1)f(z_2)}{E(z_1) + E(z_2)}}_{P(z_1, z_2)} n(z_1)n(z_2)$$

We will solve the equations following the general structure of the pp-wave answer:

$$N^{33}(\theta_1,\theta_2) = \frac{2\pi^2}{L} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1 + \tanh\frac{\theta_1}{2} \tanh\frac{\theta_2}{2}}{M\cosh\theta_1 + M\cosh\theta_2}}_{P(\theta_1,\theta_2)} n(\theta_1)n(\theta_2)$$

- ► The denominator generalizes directly to the AdS case however it in addition to the kinematical singularity pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ, it has another pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = −θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ
- The tanh  $\frac{\theta_i}{2}$  factors in the numerator exactly cancel the unwanted pole
- Use the following ansatz in the general  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  case...

$$N_{\rm L}^{33}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{2\pi^2}{L} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1 + f(z_1)f(z_2)}{E(z_1) + E(z_2)}}_{P(z_1, z_2)} n(z_1)n(z_2)$$

We will solve the equations following the general structure of the pp-wave answer:

$$N^{33}(\theta_1,\theta_2) = \frac{2\pi^2}{L} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1 + \tanh\frac{\theta_1}{2} \tanh\frac{\theta_2}{2}}{M\cosh\theta_1 + M\cosh\theta_2}}_{P(\theta_1,\theta_2)} n(\theta_1)n(\theta_2)$$

- ► The denominator generalizes directly to the AdS case however it in addition to the kinematical singularity pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ, it has another pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = −θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ
- The tanh  $\frac{\theta_i}{2}$  factors in the numerator exactly cancel the unwanted pole

• Use the following ansatz in the general  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  case...

$$N_{\rm L}^{33}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{2\pi^2}{L} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1 + f(z_1)f(z_2)}{E(z_1) + E(z_2)}}_{P(z_1, z_2)} n(z_1)n(z_2)$$

We will solve the equations following the general structure of the pp-wave answer:

$$N^{33}(\theta_1,\theta_2) = \frac{2\pi^2}{L} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1 + \tanh\frac{\theta_1}{2} \tanh\frac{\theta_2}{2}}{M\cosh\theta_1 + M\cosh\theta_2}}_{P(\theta_1,\theta_2)} n(\theta_1)n(\theta_2)$$

- ► The denominator generalizes directly to the AdS case however it in addition to the kinematical singularity pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ, it has another pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = −θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ
- The tanh  $\frac{\theta_i}{2}$  factors in the numerator exactly cancel the unwanted pole
- Use the following ansatz in the general  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  case...

$$N_{\rm L}^{33}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{2\pi^2}{L} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1 + f(z_1)f(z_2)}{E(z_1) + E(z_2)}}_{P(z_1, z_2)} n(z_1)n(z_2)$$

We will solve the equations following the general structure of the pp-wave answer:

$$N^{33}(\theta_1,\theta_2) = \frac{2\pi^2}{L} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1 + \tanh\frac{\theta_1}{2} \tanh\frac{\theta_2}{2}}{M\cosh\theta_1 + M\cosh\theta_2}}_{P(\theta_1,\theta_2)} n(\theta_1)n(\theta_2)$$

- ► The denominator generalizes directly to the AdS case however it in addition to the kinematical singularity pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ, it has another pole at θ<sub>1</sub> = −θ<sub>2</sub> + iπ
- The tanh  $\frac{\theta_i}{2}$  factors in the numerator exactly cancel the unwanted pole
- Use the following ansatz in the general  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  case...

$$N_{\rm L}^{33}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{2\pi^2}{L} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1 + f(z_1)f(z_2)}{E(z_1) + E(z_2)}}_{P(z_1, z_2)} n(z_1)n(z_2)$$

$$N_{L}^{33}(z_{1}, z_{2}) = \frac{2\pi^{2}}{L} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1 + f(z_{1})f(z_{2})}{E(z_{1}) + E(z_{2})}}_{P(z_{1}, z_{2})} n(z_{1})n(z_{2})$$

• f(z) should satisfy

$$f(-z) = -f(z)$$
  $f(z + \tau/2) = \frac{1}{f(z)}$ 

Such a f(z) can be constructed using *q*-theta functions  $\theta_0(z)$ :

$$f(z) = C \frac{\theta_0(z) \theta_0\left(z - \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\theta_0\left(z - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) \theta_0\left(z - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\tau}{2}\right)}$$

$$N_{L}^{33}(z_{1}, z_{2}) = \frac{2\pi^{2}}{L} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1 + f(z_{1})f(z_{2})}{E(z_{1}) + E(z_{2})}}_{P(z_{1}, z_{2})} n(z_{1})n(z_{2})$$

► *f*(*z*) should satisfy

$$f(-z) = -f(z)$$
  $f(z + \tau/2) = \frac{1}{f(z)}$ 

Such a f(z) can be constructed using *q*-theta functions  $\theta_0(z)$ :

$$f(z) = C \frac{\theta_0(z) \theta_0\left(z - \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\theta_0\left(z - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) \theta_0\left(z - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\tau}{2}\right)}$$

$$N_{L}^{33}(z_{1}, z_{2}) = \frac{2\pi^{2}}{L} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1 + f(z_{1})f(z_{2})}{E(z_{1}) + E(z_{2})}}_{P(z_{1}, z_{2})} n(z_{1})n(z_{2})$$

► *f*(*z*) should satisfy

$$f(-z) = -f(z)$$
  $f(z + \tau/2) = \frac{1}{f(z)}$ 

Such a f(z) can be constructed using *q*-theta functions  $\theta_0(z)$ :

$$f(z) = C \frac{\theta_0(z) \theta_0\left(z - \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\theta_0\left(z - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) \theta_0\left(z - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\tau}{2}\right)}$$

• n(z) satisfies in particular

$$n(z)n(z+\tau/2)\propto\sinrac{pL}{2}$$

► This already implies a set of zeroes – we should distribute them on the real line we consider L = 2n

$$n(z) \propto \sqrt{\frac{L}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sqrt{1 + 16g^2 \sin^2 \frac{\pi k}{L}} - E(z)}{4g \sin \frac{\pi k}{L}}$$

• n(z) also satisfies a monodromy property

$$n(z+\tau) = e^{-ip(z)L}n(z)$$

▶ This can be satisfied by a ratio of elliptic Gamma functions...

$$\Gamma_{ell}(z+\tau) = \theta_0(z)\Gamma_{ell}(z)$$

• n(z) satisfies in particular

# $n(z)n(z+\tau/2)\propto\sin\frac{pL}{2}$

► This already implies a set of zeroes – we should distribute them on the real line we consider L = 2n

$$n(z) \propto \sqrt{\frac{L}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\sqrt{1 + 16g^2 \sin^2 \frac{\pi k}{L}} - E(z)}{4g \sin \frac{\pi k}{L}}$$

• n(z) also satisfies a monodromy property

$$n(z+\tau) = e^{-ip(z)L}n(z)$$

▶ This can be satisfied by a ratio of elliptic Gamma functions...

$$\Gamma_{ell}(z+\tau) = \theta_0(z)\Gamma_{ell}(z)$$

• n(z) satisfies in particular

$$n(z)n(z+\tau/2)\propto\sinrac{pL}{2}$$

► This already implies a set of zeroes – we should distribute them on the real line we consider L = 2n

$$n(z) \propto \sqrt{rac{L}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} rac{\sqrt{1+16g^2 \sin^2 rac{\pi k}{L}} - E(z)}{4g \sin rac{\pi k}{L}}$$

• n(z) also satisfies a monodromy property

 $n(z+\tau)=e^{-ip(z)L}n(z)$ 

▶ This can be satisfied by a ratio of elliptic Gamma functions...

$$\Gamma_{ell}(z+\tau) = \theta_0(z)\Gamma_{ell}(z)$$

• n(z) satisfies in particular

$$n(z)n(z+\tau/2)\propto\sinrac{pL}{2}$$

► This already implies a set of zeroes – we should distribute them on the real line we consider L = 2n

$$n(z) \propto \sqrt{rac{L}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} rac{\sqrt{1+16g^2 \sin^2 rac{\pi k}{L}} - E(z)}{4g \sin rac{\pi k}{L}}$$

• n(z) also satisfies a monodromy property

 $n(z+\tau)=e^{-ip(z)L}n(z)$ 

▶ This can be satisfied by a ratio of elliptic Gamma functions...

 $\Gamma_{ell}(z+\tau) = \theta_0(z)\Gamma_{ell}(z)$ 

• n(z) satisfies in particular

$$n(z)n(z+\tau/2)\propto\sinrac{pL}{2}$$

► This already implies a set of zeroes – we should distribute them on the real line we consider L = 2n

$$n(z) \propto \sqrt{rac{L}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} rac{\sqrt{1+16g^2 \sin^2 rac{\pi k}{L}} - E(z)}{4g \sin rac{\pi k}{L}}$$

• n(z) also satisfies a monodromy property

$$n(z+\tau)=e^{-ip(z)L}n(z)$$

> This can be satisfied by a ratio of elliptic Gamma functions...

$$\Gamma_{ell}(z+\tau) = \theta_0(z)\Gamma_{ell}(z)$$

• n(z) satisfies in particular

$$n(z)n(z+\tau/2)\propto\sinrac{pL}{2}$$

► This already implies a set of zeroes – we should distribute them on the real line we consider L = 2n

$$n(z) \propto \sqrt{rac{L}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} rac{\sqrt{1+16g^2 \sin^2 rac{\pi k}{L}} - E(z)}{4g \sin rac{\pi k}{L}}$$

• n(z) also satisfies a monodromy property

$$n(z+\tau)=e^{-ip(z)L}n(z)$$

> This can be satisfied by a ratio of elliptic Gamma functions...

$$\Gamma_{ell}(z+\tau) = \theta_0(z)\Gamma_{ell}(z)$$

We performed a number of checks:

- 1. We verified that our formula has the correct pp-wave limit
- 2. The *L* dependence in the weak coupling limit agrees with spin chain calculations
- **3.** We observe 'vanishing of monodromy' in the asymptotic large *L* limit i.e. for any *L* we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{n(z + \tau - i\varepsilon)}{n(z + i\varepsilon)} = e^{-ip(z)L}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{n(z + \tau - i\varepsilon)}{n(z + i\varepsilon)} = -1$$
(1)

#### We performed a number of checks:

- 1. We verified that our formula has the correct pp-wave limit
- 2. The *L* dependence in the weak coupling limit agrees with spin chain calculations
- **3.** We observe 'vanishing of monodromy' in the asymptotic large *L* limit i.e. for any *L* we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{n(z + \tau - i\varepsilon)}{n(z + i\varepsilon)} = e^{-ip(z)L}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{n(z + \tau - i\varepsilon)}{n(z + i\varepsilon)} = -1$$
(1)

We performed a number of checks:

- 1. We verified that our formula has the correct pp-wave limit
- 2. The *L* dependence in the weak coupling limit agrees with spin chain calculations
- **3.** We observe 'vanishing of monodromy' in the asymptotic large *L* limit i.e. for any *L* we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{n(z + \tau - i\varepsilon)}{n(z + i\varepsilon)} = e^{-ip(z)L}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{n(z + \tau - i\varepsilon)}{n(z + i\varepsilon)} = -1$$
(1)

We performed a number of checks:

- 1. We verified that our formula has the correct pp-wave limit
- 2. The *L* dependence in the weak coupling limit agrees with spin chain calculations
- **3.** We observe 'vanishing of monodromy' in the asymptotic large *L* limit i.e. for any *L* we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{n(z + \tau - i\varepsilon)}{n(z + i\varepsilon)} = e^{-ip(z)L}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{n(z + \tau - i\varepsilon)}{n(z + i\varepsilon)} = -1$$
(1)

We performed a number of checks:

- 1. We verified that our formula has the correct pp-wave limit
- 2. The *L* dependence in the weak coupling limit agrees with spin chain calculations
- **3.** We observe 'vanishing of monodromy' in the asymptotic large *L* limit i.e. for any *L* we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{n(z + \tau - i\varepsilon)}{n(z + i\varepsilon)} = e^{-ip(z)L}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{n(z + \tau - i\varepsilon)}{n(z + i\varepsilon)} = -1$$
(1)

We performed a number of checks:

- 1. We verified that our formula has the correct pp-wave limit
- 2. The *L* dependence in the weak coupling limit agrees with spin chain calculations
- **3.** We observe 'vanishing of monodromy' in the asymptotic large *L* limit i.e. for any *L* we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{n(z+\tau-i\varepsilon)}{n(z+i\varepsilon)} = e^{-ip(z)L}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{n(z + \tau - i\varepsilon)}{n(z + i\varepsilon)} = -1$$
(1)

We performed a number of checks:

- 1. We verified that our formula has the correct pp-wave limit
- 2. The *L* dependence in the weak coupling limit agrees with spin chain calculations
- **3.** We observe 'vanishing of monodromy' in the asymptotic large *L* limit i.e. for any *L* we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{n(z+\tau-i\varepsilon)}{n(z+i\varepsilon)} = e^{-ip(z)L}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{n(z + \tau - i\varepsilon)}{n(z + i\varepsilon)} = -1$$
(1)

- ▶ We propose a framework for formulating functional equations for string interactions (light cone string field theory vertex) when the worldsheet theory is **integrable**
- ► This approach should work in particular for strings in the full AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> geometry
- ► A key step is the existence of an infinite volume setup, which allows for formulating functional equations incorporating e.g. crossing
- We reproduced pp-wave string field theory formulas for the Neumann coefficients
- ▶ We solved for the 'kinematical' part of the AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> Neumann coefficient describing exact volume dependence (for even L) at any coupling may describe all order wrapping w.r.t. one string
- ▶ Solve the form factor equations to obtain the matrix part...
- Understand links with the subsequent 'hexagon' approach of Basso, Komatsu, Vieira

- We propose a framework for formulating functional equations for string interactions (light cone string field theory vertex) when the worldsheet theory is integrable
- ► This approach should work in particular for strings in the full AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> geometry
- ► A key step is the existence of an infinite volume setup, which allows for formulating functional equations incorporating e.g. crossing
- We reproduced pp-wave string field theory formulas for the Neumann coefficients
- ▶ We solved for the 'kinematical' part of the AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> Neumann coefficient describing exact volume dependence (for even L) at any coupling may describe all order wrapping w.r.t. one string
- ▶ Solve the form factor equations to obtain the matrix part...
- Understand links with the subsequent 'hexagon' approach of Basso, Komatsu, Vieira

- We propose a framework for formulating functional equations for string interactions (light cone string field theory vertex) when the worldsheet theory is integrable
- ► This approach should work in particular for strings in the full AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> geometry
- ► A key step is the existence of an infinite volume setup, which allows for formulating functional equations incorporating e.g. crossing
- We reproduced pp-wave string field theory formulas for the Neumann coefficients
- ▶ We solved for the 'kinematical' part of the AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> Neumann coefficient describing exact volume dependence (for even L) at any coupling – may describe all order wrapping w.r.t. one string
- ▶ Solve the form factor equations to obtain the matrix part...
- Understand links with the subsequent 'hexagon' approach of Basso, Komatsu, Vieira

- We propose a framework for formulating functional equations for string interactions (light cone string field theory vertex) when the worldsheet theory is integrable
- ► This approach should work in particular for strings in the full AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> geometry
- ► A key step is the existence of an infinite volume setup, which allows for formulating functional equations incorporating e.g. crossing
- We reproduced pp-wave string field theory formulas for the Neumann coefficients
- ▶ We solved for the 'kinematical' part of the AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> Neumann coefficient describing exact volume dependence (for even L) at any coupling – may describe all order wrapping w.r.t. one string
- ▶ Solve the form factor equations to obtain the matrix part...
- Understand links with the subsequent 'hexagon' approach of Basso, Komatsu, Vieira

- We propose a framework for formulating functional equations for string interactions (light cone string field theory vertex) when the worldsheet theory is integrable
- ► This approach should work in particular for strings in the full AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> geometry
- ► A key step is the existence of an infinite volume setup, which allows for formulating functional equations incorporating e.g. crossing
- We reproduced pp-wave string field theory formulas for the Neumann coefficients
- ▶ We solved for the 'kinematical' part of the AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> Neumann coefficient describing exact volume dependence (for even L) at any coupling may describe all order wrapping w.r.t. one string
- ▶ Solve the form factor equations to obtain the matrix part...
- Understand links with the subsequent 'hexagon' approach of Basso, Komatsu, Vieira

- We propose a framework for formulating functional equations for string interactions (light cone string field theory vertex) when the worldsheet theory is integrable
- ► This approach should work in particular for strings in the full AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> geometry
- ► A key step is the existence of an infinite volume setup, which allows for formulating functional equations incorporating e.g. crossing
- We reproduced pp-wave string field theory formulas for the Neumann coefficients
- ▶ We solved for the 'kinematical' part of the AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> Neumann coefficient describing exact volume dependence (for even L) at any coupling may describe all order wrapping w.r.t. one string
- ▶ Solve the form factor equations to obtain the matrix part...
- Understand links with the subsequent 'hexagon' approach of Basso, Komatsu, Vieira

- We propose a framework for formulating functional equations for string interactions (light cone string field theory vertex) when the worldsheet theory is integrable
- This approach should work in particular for strings in the full AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> geometry
- ► A key step is the existence of an infinite volume setup, which allows for formulating functional equations incorporating e.g. crossing
- We reproduced pp-wave string field theory formulas for the Neumann coefficients
- ► We solved for the 'kinematical' part of the AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> Neumann coefficient describing exact volume dependence (for even L) at any coupling may describe all order wrapping w.r.t. one string
- Solve the form factor equations to obtain the matrix part...
- Understand links with the subsequent 'hexagon' approach of Basso, Komatsu, Vieira

- We propose a framework for formulating functional equations for string interactions (light cone string field theory vertex) when the worldsheet theory is integrable
- ► This approach should work in particular for strings in the full AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> geometry
- ► A key step is the existence of an infinite volume setup, which allows for formulating functional equations incorporating e.g. crossing
- We reproduced pp-wave string field theory formulas for the Neumann coefficients
- ► We solved for the 'kinematical' part of the AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> Neumann coefficient describing exact volume dependence (for even L) at any coupling may describe all order wrapping w.r.t. one string
- Solve the form factor equations to obtain the matrix part...
- Understand links with the subsequent 'hexagon' approach of Basso, Komatsu, Vieira

- We propose a framework for formulating functional equations for string interactions (light cone string field theory vertex) when the worldsheet theory is integrable
- This approach should work in particular for strings in the full AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> geometry
- ► A key step is the existence of an infinite volume setup, which allows for formulating functional equations incorporating e.g. crossing
- We reproduced pp-wave string field theory formulas for the Neumann coefficients
- ► We solved for the 'kinematical' part of the AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> Neumann coefficient describing exact volume dependence (for even L) at any coupling may describe all order wrapping w.r.t. one string
- Solve the form factor equations to obtain the matrix part...
- Understand links with the subsequent 'hexagon' approach of Basso, Komatsu, Vieira