

Wojciech Flieger

Number of light and heavy neutrinos in Seesaw type I

Institute of Physics, University of Silesia

NBIA PHD School, 1-5 August 2016 Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen

Seesaw mass matrix and diagonalization

Mass matrix

$$\mathcal{L}^{D+M} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{N}^T \mathcal{C}^{\dagger} M \tilde{N} + H.c.$$
$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_D \\ M_D^T & M_R \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\tilde{N} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ \nu_R^C \end{pmatrix}$$
two mass scales

 $M_R \gg M_D$

Block diagonalization

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_D \\ M_D^T & M_R \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\lambda(M_R) \gg |M_D|$$
$$W^T M W \simeq \begin{pmatrix} M_{light} & 0 \\ 0 & M_{heavy} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$M_{light} \simeq -M_D^T M_R^{-1} M_D$$
$$M_{heavy} \simeq M_R \end{pmatrix}$$
Seesaw

e.g.

 $M_R \sim 10^{15} \text{ [GeV]}, M_D \sim 10^2 \text{ [GeV]} \Rightarrow M_{light} \sim 10^{-2} \text{ [eV]}$ LHC [wishful thinking?] $M_R \sim 10^3 \text{ [GeV]}, M_D \sim 10^{-4} \text{ [GeV]} \Rightarrow M_{light} \sim 10^{-2} \text{ [eV]}$

Problems



Why $\lambda(M_R) \gg |M_D|$?

Example

$$M_R = \begin{pmatrix} 100 & 100 & 100 \\ 100 & 100 & 100 \\ 100 & 100 & 100 \end{pmatrix} \implies rank one matrix \Rightarrow \lambda(A) = (300, 0, 0)$$



 M_R , M_D rank one M has four zero eigenvalues!!!

Czakon, Gluza and Zralek [2] gave a formal proof that for $M_R \gg M_D$ we can not get a fourth light sterile neutrino.

Problems

In general a full mass matrix M is complex and symmetric

Not Hermitian, not even normal!!! Consequences:

1. A complex symmetric matrix may not even be diagonalizable

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2i & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{c} A^{\dagger} \neq A \,, \ A^{\dagger}A \neq AA^{\dagger} \\ algebraic \ multiplicity \neq geometric \ multiplicity \Rightarrow not \ diagonalizable \\ \end{array}$$

2. Even if it is, we have $M_{heavy} \simeq M_R \Rightarrow$ small differences can dramatically change eigenvalues

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \mu & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu \end{array} \right), \qquad E = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \epsilon & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

If μ — eigenvalue of A, λ — (any) eigenvalue of A + E, then

$$|\lambda - \mu| = \epsilon^{1/3}$$

If $\epsilon = 10^{-15}$, then $|\lambda - \mu| = 10^{-5}$ — spectrum shifted by a number 10 orders larger than the parameter ϵ !



Number of nutrinos in CP invariant case

In the CP invariant seesaw scenario with two mass scales $M_R \gg M_D$, $M_D \in M_{3 \times n}$, $M_R \in M_{n \times n}$ and $\lambda(M_R) \gg |m_D|$ exactly 3 light neutrinos are present.

Matrix Decomposition

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_D \\ M_D^T & M_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_R \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_D \\ M_D^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \hat{M}_R + \hat{M}_D$$

Proof scheme



From Weyl's inequalities

$$\alpha_j + \beta_k \le \gamma_{j+k-1}$$
 and $\gamma_{n-j-k} \le \alpha_{n-j+1} + \beta_{n-k+1}$

$$|\lambda_i(M) - \lambda_i(\hat{M}_R)| \le \rho(\hat{M}_D) \le \|\hat{M}_D\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} m_{ij}^2} \ , \ \rho(\hat{M}_D) = \max\{|\lambda(\hat{M}_D)|\}$$

But

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i,j}m_{ij}^2}\sim |m_D| \Rightarrow
ho(\hat{M}_D) \leq |m_D| \ , \ m_D \in M_D$$

On the other hand matrix \hat{M}_R has at least 3 eigenvalues equal 0. Thus $|\lambda_i(M) - 0| \le \rho(\hat{M}_D)$ for $\lambda_i(\hat{M}_R) = 0$ Hence, three eigenvalues of *M* must be smaller than $|m_D|$.

Conclusions



Conclusion (I): At least 3 light neutrinos exist.

Similar steps with assumption that $\lambda(M_R) \gg |m_D|$ gives Conclusion (II): Remaining masses must be heavy.

Conclusions (I) and (II) implies Conclusion (III): Heavy masses $N_{1,...,n}$ are maximally shifted by $|m_D|$ from eigenvalues of M_R .

e.g.

$$\lambda_1(M_R) = 100, \ |m_D| \sim 1 \Rightarrow \lambda_1(M) \simeq 100 \pm 1$$



Rejandra Bhatia. *Matrix Analysis*. Springer, 1996.
 M. Czakon, J. Gluza, M. Zralek. *Seesaw mechanism and four light neutrino states*. Phys. Rev., D64: 117304, 2001